Against all odds: anti-IgE for intrinsic asthma?
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ABSTRACT
For many years, pathogenetic concepts and the results of clinical trials supported the view that anti-IgE treatment is specifically effective in allergic asthma. However, there is now growing clinical and mechanistic evidence suggesting that treatment with the anti-IgE antibody omalizumab can be effective in patients with intrinsic asthma. Therefore, large and well-controlled clinical trials with anti-IgE are urgently warranted in patients with intrinsic asthma. In addition, there is a need to find new biomarkers which can identify patients with asthma who respond to anti-IgE treatment.

The concept of anti-IgE treatment in asthma is closely linked to the pathogenesis of type I hypersensitivity: allergen-induced cross-linking of IgE antibodies on the surface of mast cells causes mast cell degranulation, inflammation, and subsequently, obstruction of the airways. Removal of IgE antibodies appeared to be a logical strategy to treat allergic asthma.1 Indeed, there is now a large body of evidence from randomised, controlled trials and real-life studies showing that the anti-IgE antibody omalizumab reduces exacerbation rates and improves asthma control and quality of life in patients with allergic asthma.2,3 Thus, clinical evidence and mechanistic concepts appeared to be in perfect harmony and there was no reason to question the proposed mechanism of action of omalizumab in asthma.1

DISTURBING CLINICAL EVIDENCE
Recently, however, the concept of anti-IgE treatment was challenged, not only by case reports,3,4 but also by clinical trials that suggest that omalizumab can be clinically effective in patients with intrinsic asthma.2,5–7 De Llano and colleagues analysed 29 patients with intrinsic asthma treated with anti-IgE.5 Omalizumab treatment over 2 years significantly increased asthma control in these patients, and was associated with a trend to reduced exacerbation rates and improved lung function.5 In a large real-life trial of patients with uncontrolled asthma treated with omalizumab, about 60 patients (16% of the total treatment group) were classified as patients without specific allergy or uncertain allergy.2 This study showed a clear reduction in hospitalisations and emergency department visits in the total group of omalizumab-treated patients (adjusted relative risk 0.40 as compared to patients not treated with omalizumab), and there was no hint that this effect was less pronounced in patients without allergy or with uncertain allergy.2 In a randomised controlled clinical trial exploring the effect of omalizumab on nasal polyps in patients with comorbid asthma, 15 patients were treated with omalizumab and eight patients with placebo, for 16 weeks.8 Of the 15 patients treated with omalizumab, eight were classified as ‘non-allergic’ based on skin prick tests. Changes in clinical symptom scores during omalizumab treatment were comparable between allergic and ‘non-allergic’ patients with asthma. Notably, the improvement in the asthma quality of life questionnaire score was even stronger in the ‘non-allergic’ patients than in the allergic patients.6 The first randomised controlled clinical trial specifically exploring the effect of omalizumab in patients with intrinsic asthma was recently conducted in France.7 In this study, 20 patients with uncontrolled intrinsic asthma and at least two exacerbations per year were treated with omalizumab, and 21 patients with placebo, over a period of 16 weeks. The non-atopic status of the patients was defined by negative results in skin prick tests using a panel of common allergens and by the absence of specific IgE antibodies against common allergens. Total IgE serum levels ranged between 30–700 IU/ml, 60% of the patients had total IgE serum levels >100 IU/ml. The study showed a trend towards a decrease in exacerbations and a significant improvement in lung function in omalizumab-treated patients, as compared with the placebo group. In addition, the authors showed that the expression of the high-affinity IgE receptor on blood plasmacytoid dendritic cells decreased strongly (by 56%), as compared with a non-significant change of 4% in the placebo group.7 Taken together, there is now published clinical data from a substantial number of patients with uncontrolled intrinsic asthma showing a reduction in exacerbation rates and an improvement in symptom scores during omalizumab treatment. This is more than only anecdotal or circumstantial evidence. This is a substantial body of evidence, which should no longer be ignored. The question is, how can these clinical findings be explained? The data seem to contradict the well-established concepts about the role of IgE in asthma.1 There are currently two hypotheses that might explain the clinical effects of omalizumab in patients with intrinsic asthma (figure 1):

HYPOTHESIS NO. 1
Patients with intrinsic asthma are allergic; we only fail to identify the allergen
It has long been recognised that there is a subgroup of patients with asthma in whom no environmental cause for asthma can be identified.8 As early as 1918, Francis M. Rackemann coined the term ‘intrinsic asthma’ for this group of patients.9 In the
Figure 1 Possible mechanisms of anti-IgE treatment in intrinsic asthma. One hypothesis assumes that patients with intrinsic asthma have a localised allergy with elevated concentrations of allergen-specific IgE antibodies in the airways. In this scenario, anti-IgE treatment would reduce local allergic inflammation in the airways, leading to a reduction in disease severity and exacerbation rates. Another hypothesis assumes that plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) of patients with intrinsic asthma are characterised by an immunological imbalance: enhanced cross-linking of the high-affinity IgE receptor on pDCs suppresses anti-viral activity of these cells. In this scenario, viral infections could trigger intrinsic asthma. Anti-IgE treatment would reduce serum IgE concentrations and IgE receptors on pDCs, and restore the anti-viral activity of pDCs—resulting in reduced disease severity and reduced exacerbation rates.

Figure 1

Chest clinic

HYPOTHESIS NO. 2
Omalizumab modulates innate immunity

The surprising observation that omalizumab leads to a long-lasting reduction in IgE production already points to possible additional effects of omalizumab on the immune system because one would expect an increased endogenous production in response to the removal of IgE. A simple hypothesis to explain omalizumab-induced immunomodulation is an analogy: treatment with IgG is a well-established strategy to suppress immune reactions in various chronic inflammatory diseases, although the mechanisms are still incompletely understood. Thus, omalizumab (an IgG antibody) might have similar unspecific immunosuppressive effects. However, there are more specific ideas to explain immunomodulatory effects of omalizumab beyond allergy. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) play a crucial role in innate immune defenses against (predominantly viral) infections, but are also involved in allergic immune responses. Therefore, these cells are currently discussed to play a major role in asthma pathogenesis. Blood pDCs of patients with asthma display an increased expression of the high-affinity IgE receptor. In addition, the expression of the high-affinity IgE receptor on pDCs correlates with total IgE concentrations in serum. The pDCs act at the crossroads between innate and adaptive immunity, and the different pathways influence each other. For instance, IgE receptor cross-linking on pDCs suppresses the anti-viral activity of pDCs. Thus, a reduced expression of IgE receptors on pDCs and a reduced amount of circulating IgE might generally strengthen anti-viral immune responses, and thus, prevent exacerbations of airway diseases. In the clinical trial by Garcia and colleagues, omalizumab treatment strongly reduced the expression of the high-affinity IgE receptor on pDCs of patients with intrinsic asthma, an effect that was also observed in patients with allergic asthma. Thus, omalizumab could prevent asthma exacerbations, which are often induced by viral infections, by strengthening innate immunity, rather than by reducing allergy (figure 1). This would explain the reduction of asthma exacerbations both in allergic and intrinsic asthma.

CONCLUSION

For many years, pathogenetic concepts and the results of clinical trials supported the view that anti-IgE treatment is specifically effective in allergic asthma. Recent molecular and clinical evidence suggests that anti-IgE treatment might be effective in patients with intrinsic asthma. Therefore, larger, well-controlled clinical trials with anti-IgE are urgently warranted in this patient group. In addition, there is a need to find new biomarkers that can identify patients who respond to anti-IgE treatment. Patients with intrinsic asthma often suffer from more severe and refractory asthma than those with allergic asthma. Although non-adherence to medication, incorrect use of inhalers and failure to eliminate tobacco smoke exposure are major reasons for uncontrolled asthma, which need to be addressed in clinical
practice, there is currently no evidence that these issues are more prevalent in patients with intrinsic asthma than in patients with allergic asthma. After nearly a hundred years, the statement by Francis M. Rackemann from 1918 still holds true: “The treatment of intrinsic asthma is far from satisfactory.” Patients with intrinsic asthma deserve that we explore this promising treatment option—against all odds.
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