patients who described their cough as painful or warranting treatment. The MCLCS and VAS are simple cough assessment tools that can be readily used in research and clinical practice to better evaluate cough and facilitate the development of effective cough therapies.

P60 INVESTIGATIONS IN SUSPECTED LUNG CANCER: PATIENTS' PERSPECTIVE

KE Williams, A Boland, MJE Callister, E Paramasivam, D Ghosh; *Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK*

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204457.210

Background NICE recommend choosing "investigations that give the most information about diagnosis and staging with the least risk to the patient" when diagnosing and treating lung cancer. Patient experience data was collected in order to review our service and also as anecdotally it was felt that some investigations were better tolerated than others.
Methods Patients were identified following the weekly MDT; 127 consecutive adults who had undergone either EBUS, EUS, Bronchoscopy, FNA of a neck node or pleural aspiration were sent an anonymous, patient satisfaction questionnaire, 87 responded (69%). Simple questions regarding the practicalities of arranging the test were asked and participants were also required to rate their experience on a scale from1 (very poor) to 10 (excellent). Similarly they were asked to score various aspects of the investigations like pain and discomfort on a 10 point scale and the scores were compared between the investigations.
Results All respondents felt they had received an adequate explanation of the test including the indication and risks. The highest rated investigation (on a 1 to 10 scale) was an US guided FNA of a neck node. See Table 1.

The most 'uncomfortable' procedure was an EUS; this was also the endoscopic procedure that was most likely to be fully remembered. Less than 10% of patients undergoing a bronchoscopic procedure reported that they had full recall of the test.

When asked whether they would have the procedure again if advised, no patient said they would never have the test again.

The worst thing about the investigations was either cough or pain whilst the requirement to stay in one position was commented on by a significant number of patients undergoing a radiologically guided procedure.
Conclusions No one test appeared significantly more tolerable than any other but EUS seem to be the most uncomfortable test. This information will help the team to present clinical equipoise when recommending investigations.

Abstract P60 Table 1.

Investigation	No of patients	Mean Service Rating (range)
EBUS	23	9.56 (8 to 10)
Bronchoscopy	25	9.64 (6 to 10)
CT guided lung biopsy	22	9.00 (5 to 10)
EUS	3	8.33 (8 to 9)
FNA	7	9.71 (8 to 10)
Pleural aspiration	7	9.14 (8 to 10)

P61 FACTORS INFLUENCING IMPROVED LUNG CANCER RESECTION RATES 2006–2012; A SINGLE CENTRE CASE COHORT STUDY

[1]S Selvaraj, [2]P Rajesh, [2]B Naidu, [2]M Kalkat, [1]IS Woolhouse; *[1]University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Trust - Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom; [2]Heart of England NHS Trust - Birmingham Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom*

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204457.211

Background Surgical resection rates for lung cancer have increased steadily over the last decade. There are a number of possible explanations for this increase which include: earlier presentation, earlier detection and an increase capacity and/or willingness for thoracic surgeons to operate. The aim of this study is to identify which of these factors are associated with the increase in surgical resection seen at our institution, in particular, whether the increased use of CT scanning across all areas of medicine, e.g. cardiac CT, has impacted on the rate of incidentally detected operable lung cancers.
Methods We used data submitted to the national lung cancer audit (excluding Mesothelioma) to identify changes in performance status (PS), lung function and stage at presentation from 2006 to 2012. We then performed a retrospective case note analysis of patients who received surgery to identify the proportion of surgical cases in whom the lung cancer had been detected incidentally i.e. on imaging not performed to investigate suspected lung cancer. Statistical comparisons were performed using chi-squared and ANOVA tests.
Results Mean age was 71 years and did not change across the study period. The remaining results are summarised in the table. Surgical resection rates increased significantly during the study period but there was no change in performance status or lung function at presentation. There was a significant increase in the proportion of patients presenting with early stage disease however the proportion of operable lung cancers detected incidentally did not change during the study period.
Conclusion The increase in surgical resection rates seen at our institution appears to relate to a stage shift at presentation. Although incidentally detected lung cancers make up a significant proportion of operable lung cancers, this does not account for the stage shift. An alternative explanation is the impact of the national awareness and early diagnosis campaign (formally launched in 2008) prompting patients to present earlier and GPs to refer sooner.

Abstract P61 Table 1.

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	p value
Lung cancers (n)	189	214	221	237	191	245	255	
PS 0–1	59%	51%	54%	53%	49%	52%	54%	ns
Mean FEV1% pred	73	74	71	70	71	75	78	ns
stage I-II	16%	18%	17%	18%	21%	28%	27%	0.001
surgery	10%	11%	11%	13%	17%	20%	18%	0.006
Incidental	53%	38%	50%	40%	38%	32%	39%	ns

P62 THOROPLASTIC RECONSTRUCTION FOLLOWING CHEST WALL RESECTION

A Lai, M Jones, C Duff; *University Hospital of South Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*

10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204457.212

Background Reconstructive procedures following chest wall resection continue to improve. This study reviews our experience of chest wall reconstruction with multidisciplinary approach.
Methods We conducted a retrospective review of 25 patients who underwent chest wall reconstruction in our department between September 2006 and April 2013.