Explaining differential effects of tiotropium on mortality in COPD

The editorial by Jenkins and Beasley¹ makes a speculative recommendation that tiotropium Respimat should not be prescribed in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), being primarily based on meta-analysis where mortality was not the primary end point. The meta-analysis by Singh et al²
reported that treating 124 patients per annum with tiotropium Respimat 5 ug resulted in one additional death, although the associated 95% CI of 52 to 5682 clearly indicates that the data are not particularly robust. In considering the risk-benefit ratio of tiotropium one has to consider the seed and the soil, in terms of the degree of systemic exposure and the predisposing cardiovascular status. There is a lack of biological plausibility for the apparent disconnect between the apparent increased mortality with tiotropium Respimat on the one hand, but reduced mortality with the Handihaler on the other. Such an opposite effect on putative cardiotoxicity seems hard to justify on the basis of a 22% difference in systemic exposure (as area under curve (AUC)) between the devices.

If tiotropium was inherently cardiotoxic at current therapeutic doses, then one would presumably see some sort of increased mortality signal in real life even with the Handihaler device due to systemic exposure, for example in susceptible hypoxic patients with concomitant cardiovascular disease. This hypothesis is however not consistent with a real life retrospective cohort study using an National Health Service (NHS) database of patients with COPD in Tayside, Scotland, where 1857 patients with COPD received tiotropium (90% via dry powder inhaler) together with inhaled corticosteroid plus long acting β-agonist and 996 who received inhaled corticosteroid plus long acting β-agonist alone, with a mean follow-up of 4.65 years. There were 949 (33%) patients who died during the study period. The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for all-cause mortality was 0.74 (95% CI 0.63 to 88) with tiotropium as a time-dependent covariate. Matched propensity scoring analysis showed a HR of 0.64 (95% CI 0.53 to 0.77), thus confirming the benefit of tiotropium in reducing mortality. Moreover, the adjusted HR for death due to cardiovascular disease was 0.49 (95% CI 0.33 to 73) and for death due to respiratory disease was 0.70 (95% CI 0.57 to 0.84). Pointedly in the double and triple therapy groups, there were respectively 43.1% and 47.1% of COPD patients who had a history of concomitant cardiovascular disease, with respective mean oxygen saturations of 92.5% and 91.3%—that is, these were clearly patients who would be at risk from potential cardiotoxicity with tiotropium.

Thus rather than extolling the merits of data torture to substantiate premature recommendations about the use of withdrawal of tiotropium Respimat, we would advocate waiting for more definitive data from the ongoing TIOSPIR study. In the meantime, on a more pragmatic basis, for those patients who prefer to use the Respimat device, physicians could perhaps use a 2.5 ug dose of tiotropium instead, which would exhibit lower systemic bioavailability than the Handihaler device, which has been shown to reduce mortality on COPD in a real life setting.
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