AIRWAY INFLAMMATION IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC NON-ASTHMATIC COUGH
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Chronic non-asthmatic cough (CC) is a clinical challenge and underlying pathophysiological mechanisms remain still not completely understood. One of the most common comorbidities in CC is gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD). Airway epithelium damage can contribute to airway inflammation in CC.

Aims We studied airway inflammation in patients with CC compared to healthy controls. Patients with GORD were treated with proton pump inhibitors (PPI) and cough response to PPI was evaluated.

Patients and methods Sputum was induced in 41 adults with CC and 20 healthy non-smokers who were age and sex matched. We compared sputum differential cell count by cytospin and cytokine and chemokine production at the mRNA and/or protein levels by real-time (RT)-PCR and cytokine bead array (CBA), between patients with CC and healthy subjects. Furthermore we studied airway inflammation in patients with different comorbidities.

Results No differences in sputum differential cell counts were observed between patients with CC and healthy subjects. Sputum monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) protein levels were significantly higher in patients when compared to controls. Thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) mRNA was significantly more often expressed in sputum of patients with CC than from healthy controls. Sputum transforming growth factor (TGF)-β levels did not differ between patients and controls, but were significantly lower in the PPI responders compared to the non-responders; p=0.047. There is no evidence for impaired T helper cell (Th)1/Th2/Th17 balance in CC. Patients with reflux oesophagitis (RO) have significantly more sputum eosinophils than patients without RO.

Conclusions CC is a condition presenting with different disease phenotypes. High sputum MCP-1 levels are present in a large group of patients with CC and a majority of these patients with CC have increased sputum TSLP levels, most likely produced by damaged airway epithelial cells.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic cough (CC), which is defined as cough lasting for more than 8 weeks,1 is a serious socioeconomic problem and often a challenge for doctors. Most often cough is one of the symptoms in subjects with asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), post nasal drip syndrome or chronic infection, but cough might also be associated with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD).2 Whereas infections, allergy or GORD themselves can relatively easily be diagnosed and treated, the causal treatment of CC as a presenting symptom often remains unsuccessful.1 The underlying airway inflammation in patients with CC is still poorly characterised. In GORD-associated CC, the ‘reflex’ theory emphasises the role of neuronal connections between oesophagus and airways and, as a result of oesophagus stimulation by gastric content, the release of neuropeptides, leading to neuroimmune airway inflammation.4 However, the gastro-oesophageal ‘reflex’ theory states that gastric content reaching the pharynx, can also lead to microaspiration into the airways.5 Moreover, in animal models of microaspiration a predominant role for T helper 2 (Th2) lymphocytes in airway inflammation caused by microaspiration has been documented.6 However, we recently revealed that patients with CC and healthy subjects have similar sputum peptic and biliary acid levels, arguing against a major role for microaspiration of gastric content into the airways in the pathophysiology of CC.7

The involvement of the epithelium in the pathogenesis of airway disease is increasingly acknowledged. Activated epithelial cells are potent sources of haematopoietic cytokines such as granulocyte (macrophage) colony stimulating factor (G(M)-CSF), and chemokines such as regulated upon activation normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES), thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP), eotaxin-1, monokine induced by interferon-γ, interferon-inducible protein-10 (IP-10), interferiluken (IL)-8 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1).8–9

Foregoing studies on airway inflammation in chronic unexplained cough are often heterogeneous, thus difficult to compare. Our study aims to characterise airway inflammation in patients with CC, in whom asthma, COPD and infections where
excluded. We used induced sputum as a well validated technique widely used to assess and monitor airway inflammation. In this study we compared markers of airway inflammation present in induced sputum obtained from patients with CC and healthy controls (HC) at the mRNA and at the protein level as well as in different CC phenotypes (atopic vs non-atopic, with reflux oesophagitis (RO) or idiopathic CC (ICC)). We studied the role of T cell cytokines, as well as chemokines and cytokines released by epithelial cells, probably responsible for attracting other inflammatory cells (eg, eosinophils and neutrophils) to the airways in CC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study subjects
Patients who were referred due to CC to the Department of Internal Medicine, Geriatrics and Allergology of Wroclaw Medical University between December 2009 and February 2010 were enrolled in the study, and underwent a diagnostic protocol adapted from European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines. Adult patients (18–75 years), non-smokers or past smokers (pack years <5) with CC were included. Patients have not used inhaled steroids or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors for at least 6 weeks before investigation and were allowed to use proton pump inhibitor (PPI) treatment at the time of sputum induction.

At the time of sputum induction 12 patients were already treated by PPI (omeprazole 40 mg or pantoprazole 80 mg daily) for at least 2 weeks (see table 1). After sputum induction all patients were uniformly treated with omeprazole 40 mg per day (n=39). The response to PPI treatment was assessed 4 weeks later. A positive response to PPI treatment was defined as a partial or complete resolution of cough (n=15). When cough did not improve sufficiently after at least 4 weeks treatment with PPI, patients were scored to have a negative response to PPI (n=12). In two subjects it was not possible to record the impact of PPI treatment on CC, so they were not included in table 1.

Patients with asthma (also ‘cough variant asthma’), chronic sinusitis, tuberculosis and chlamydial or mycoplasmal infections were identified and excluded. In total, 41 patients were included (see table 2).

The control group consisted of 20 healthy volunteers, age and sex matched, who were non-smokers with negative allergy history, no clinical symptoms of upper or lower airway disease and without GORD-related symptoms. As they had negative history of allergy, no skin prick tests were performed in this group (table 2).

Table 1 Occurrence of study subjects according to the ongoing proton pump inhibitor (PPI) treatment at the time of sputum induction and distribution of patients treated with PPI at the time of sputum induction and their response to PPI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPI response (after 4 weeks treatment)</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI at the time of sputum induction (&gt;2 weeks):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study was approved by the local ethical committee of Wroclaw Medical University. Informed consent was obtained from all study subjects.

Diagnostic procedures
Gastroscopy was performed in 41 patients. One doctor performed all gastroscopies using GIF-Q145 (Olympus) after suitable local anaesthesia. The diagnosis of endoscopic changes (RO) was based on the Los Angeles classification. Exhaled NO (eNO) was measured by a chemiluminescence analyser (NIOX Flex; Aerocline AB, Stockholm, Sweden).

Aptopy was diagnosed by skin prick testing (SPT) for common environmental allergens (house dust mites: Dermatophagoides pteronyssimus, Dermatophagoides farinae, cat, dog, tree, grass and weed pollen, and moulds: Alternaria spp. and Cladosporium spp.). SPT were performed according to the standard procedure using reagents supplied by Allergopharma (Germany). Impact of cough on quality of life (QoL) was tested by Polish-translated (non-validated) Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ).

Sputum induction and processing
Induction of sputum, cytospin preparation and sputum cytokine mRNA measurements were performed as previously described. All mRNA values presented in the text were normalised to β-actin and multiplied by 10⁴. We previously described that sputum CD3γ mRNA levels reflect airway lymphocyte counts. We therefore studied sputum CD3γ mRNA levels in patients with CC and HC.

Chemokine cytometric bead array measurements
Levels of IL-8 (CXCL8), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1; CCL2), macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α; CCL3), interferon inducible protein (IP-10; CXCL10) and transforming growth factor (TGF)-β in sputum supernatants were measured by cytometric bead array (CBA) flex sets (BD Bioscience-PharMingen, San Diego, California, USA). The lowest level of accurate detection for this assay was for IL-8: 1.2 pg/ml, MCP-1: 1.3 pg/ml, MIP-1α: 0.2 pg/ml, and IP-10: 0.5 pg/ml, TGF-β: 14.9 pg/ml.

Statistical analysis
Normality was tested by Shapiro–Wilk’s W test and Student t test was used to compare two groups with normal distribution. Differences between the groups with non-Gaussian distribution

Table 2 Subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (median, IQR)</th>
<th>Chronic cough</th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>45.0 (29–57)</td>
<td>40.0 (36–60)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, M/F</td>
<td>30/11</td>
<td>13/7</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of cough duration, years</td>
<td>2.0 (0.8–5.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, percentage predicted</td>
<td>106.7 (100.0–117.25)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, percentage predicted</td>
<td>106.8 (98.2–111.13)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffeneau, FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>103.0 (98.4–108.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eNO, ppb</td>
<td>13.0 (9.9–16.3)</td>
<td>16.2 (12.3–17.6)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopy, yes/no</td>
<td>8/33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as median and IQR, p value tested by Mann–Whitney test. eNO, exhaled NO; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; ppb, parts per billion.
were estimated by the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test for two groups or Kruskal–Wallis test where appropriate. Correlations were studied by Spearman or Pearson (if normally distributed) coefficients (r). Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables between groups. A probability of p<0.05 was regarded as significant (STATISTICA V8.0, StatSoft, Poland).

RESULTS

Differential cell counts and expression of sputum epithelial cell cytokines in patients with CC and healthy subjects

We first studied airway differential cell counts in patients with CC and healthy subjects by means of sputum cytospins. No differences in total cell count or in relative or absolute numbers of epithelial cells, neutrophils, macrophages, eosinophils or lymphocytes could be observed between both groups (data not shown).

We then hypothesised that epithelial cells triggered in CC could lead to epithelial cell cytokine and/or chemokine production in the airways of patients with CC. We therefore measured the expression of sputum epithelial cell cytokines and chemokines in patients with CC in comparison to healthy control subjects.

As shown in figure 1A, sputum MCP-1 protein concentrations were significantly higher in patients when compared to controls. Along the same lines, sputum IL-8 mRNA (median in CC: 38 093 (IQR: 20 670–57 376) versus median in HC: 23 413 (IQR: 18 398–38 722) mRNA copies, p=0.19) and protein levels (median in CC: 737 (IQR: 480–1306) vs median in HC: 528 (IQR: 366–1145) pg/ml, p=0.29) (which correlated significantly with each other in the patient group, r=0.5, p=0.001, data not shown), as well as sputum IL-6 mRNA levels (median in CC: 368 (IQR: 167–632) vs median in HC: 258 (IQR: 148–588) mRNA copies, p=0.21) tended to be higher in the patient group than in the control group. Moreover TSLP mRNA was significantly more often expressed in sputum samples of patients with CC than from healthy control subjects. Sputum TSLP mRNA was present in 54.5% of the patients with CC versus 21.4% of the healthy control subjects (p=0.04, figure 1B). The relative risk in patients in whom sputum TSLP mRNA was detectable, was significantly increased to 1.5 (95% CI 1.023 to 2.157).

We found no differences in sputum IP-10 and MIP-1α protein levels or sputum eotaxin-1 mRNA levels between both groups (data not shown).

We then studied whether epithelial cell chemokine presence in airways might be related to neutrophil attraction in patients with CC. As shown in figure 2A,B, sputum MCP-1 and IL-8 protein levels correlated significantly with sputum absolute neutrophil counts.

Sputum T cell cytokine expression in patients with CC and healthy subjects

Similar to our observations regarding lymphocyte counts on cytospin, no difference in sputum CD3γ mRNA expression...
between patients with CC and control individuals was found (median expression in patient group: 27.6 (IQR: 22.4–48.4), median control group: 33.7 (IQR: 19.6–74.0), p=0.50; data not shown). Sputum RANTES mRNA levels (as chemokine responsible for lymphocyte and monocyte/macrophages migration and maturation) tended to be higher in patients with CC compared to HC (median in CC group: 61.7–169.9) vs median in HC: 73.7 (IQR: 0.0–119.0) in control group; p=0.19, data not shown). We furthermore studied the expression of sputum T cell (Th1/Th2/Th17) cytokine mRNA levels in patients with CC and healthy subjects. Results were similar in both groups (table 3).

### Table 3 Sputum T cell cytokine mRNA expression normalised to β-actin (multiplied by 10^4) in patients with chronic cough and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Chronic cough</th>
<th>Healthy subjects</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Th1 (IFNγ)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.37–1.27)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.37–2.60)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IL-12p35)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.00–1.44)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.00–1.31)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IL-12p40)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.16)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.00–2.19)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th2 (IL-5)</td>
<td>451.01 (134.52–1852.20)</td>
<td>729.07 (213.21–4902.94)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th2/Treg (IL-10)</td>
<td>2.89 (1.58–5.26)</td>
<td>4.46 (1.22–9.13)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th17 (IL-17A)</td>
<td>27.92 (9.39–151.51)</td>
<td>549.89 (18.66–465.69)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IL-23p19)</td>
<td>214.56 (53.53–726.96)</td>
<td>341.56 (119.70–2039.74)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are represented as medians and IQR (median (p25 to p75)).

### Differences in airway inflammation between patients with CC, depending on comorbidities

We finally studied whether differences in cellular inflammation and/or sputum cytokine/chemokine expression could be observed among the patients with CC themselves, depending on probable comorbidities.

We first studied differences between atopic and non-atopic patients with CC. Atopy was defined as having at least one positive result in skin prick tests. Eight patients had at least one positive skin prick test (19.5%). No differences in airway cellularity, FeNO, or sputum cytokine/chemokine expression levels between atopic and non-atopic patients with CC were found (data not shown).

We then compared airflow inflammation in patients with RO (RO+, n=27) and those without RO (RO−, n=10). As indicated in figure 3, relative and absolute eosinophil scores were higher in patients with RO in comparison to those without RO. However, no significant differences in sputum IL-5 (median in RO+: 630.8 (IQR: 128.2–1892.3) vs median in RO−: 584.5 (247.8–1847.2) mRNA copies, p=0.89) and/or eotaxin-1 mRNA levels (median in RO+: 0.0 (IQR: 0.0–1.2) versus median in RO−: 0.1 (IQR: 0.0–1.9), p=0.63) (although both are eosinophil attractants), nor in interferon (IFNγ) mRNA levels (median RO+: 0.6 (IQR: 0.3–1.2 vs median in RO−: 0.7 (IQR: 0.6–1.4), p=0.27) between patients with CC with RO and patients with CC without RO could be observed. A total of 17 out of 27 patients with RO reported that their cough responded to PPI treatment compared with 5/10 without RO who were PPI responders. We concluded that the presence of reflux oesophagitis is not an independent predictive factor for positive response of cough symptoms to PPI treatment (OR: 1.3; 95% CI 0.3 to 5.2).

Other sputum cell subpopulations were similar between these two groups (data not shown).

Finally, we also studied the sputum cytokine and chemokine expression in the airways from patients with CC related to treatment with PPI and the response to this treatment in terms of CC resolution (see table 1). The PPI non-responders were classified as having ‘ICC’. We could not find any differences in age, gender distribution, severity of cough, lung function, PC20 or eNO levels between patients ‘on IPP’ and ‘off IPP’ or between ICC and PPI responders (data not shown). PPI non-responders, however, had the tendency to report more severe cough in the LQC, median in ICC: 66.0 (IQR: 56.9–83.0) versus median in PPI responders: 79.0 (IQR: 59.0–100.0) than responders, p=0.27.

TGF-β sputum protein levels were significantly lower in the PPI responders compared to the non-responders group: medians 105.8 ng/ml (IQR: 61.0–170.2) versus 177.6 ng/ml (IQR: 119.3–274.0); p=0.047 (figure 4A). TGF-β sputum protein levels correlated with sputum absolute eosinophil counts (figure 4B). We found no correlation between sputum TGF-β protein levels and age of the patient, cough duration or cough severity (data not shown).

### DISCUSSION

In this study we defined airway inflammation in patients with CC by means of the non-invasive method of sputum induction. Bronchial epithelium is highlighted as a key site for the regulation of chemokine expression in health and disease conditions. Detachment of human bronchial epithelial cells from their basal membrane or slight stretch of the epithelial cells should be shown to induce expression and release of chemokines, such as IL-8 and to be responsible for subepithelial fibrosis by increased expression of TGF-β. Thus, we hypothesised that upon epithelial triggering in CC the epithelium might start to produce chemokines. The triggering factor in CC can, among other, be vagal nerve stimulation and mediator release caused as reflex reaction upon gastro-oesophageal reflux, or direct contact of the epithelial cells with microaspirates upon this reflux, as well as the repetitive mechanical effects of coughing bouts on the airway epithelium. We observed a significantly
higher sputum MCP-1 protein expression in patients with CC compared to HC. MCP-1 can be released by monocytes, macrophages, smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts but importantly also by airway epithelial cells and might be an important repair mechanism upon epithelial injury. MCP-1 was originally thought to mainly recruit monocytes or macrophages, but might also attract neutrophils towards the site of inflammation. We found a significant linear correlation between sputum MCP-1 levels and sputum neutrophil counts. This could point to an in vivo chemotactic function of MCP-1 but might also be related to its antiapoptotic activity on neutrophils. MCP-1 might furthermore induce the production other proneutrophilic mediators, such as IL-6 and IL-8, for example, by neutrophils (autocrine stimulation). This could explain why we could also observe a tendency towards increased IL-6 and IL-8 levels in patients with CC. IL-8 by itself might furthermore act as neutrophil attractant and also play its role as an antiapoptotic agent. Indeed, we also observed a linear correlation between sputum neutrophil counts and sputum IL-8 protein expression levels. It is therefore possible that airway epithelial cells (initially triggered by mechanical stress or pressure) might also increase and maintain their chemokine and cytokine production by autocrine loop, leading to chronic epithelial stimulation and MCP-1 production, hence initiating a self-perpetuating mechanism of epithelial injury, chemokines release and finally neutrophil attraction to the site of inflammation. However, the latter could not be confirmed by recent in vitro resting neutrophil chemotaxis assays. Despite the observed correlations between sputum neutrophil count and MCP-1 as well as IL-8, and somehow in contrast with the hypothesis of neutrophil recruitment by MCP-1 and/or IL-8, we could not find increased neutrophils in the induced sputum of individuals with CC compared to HC. We furthermore show that a significantly larger proportion of patients with CC express sputum TSLP mRNA when compared to HC. TSLP is produced by epithelial cells as well as dendritic cells and increased TSLP expression in airway epithelial cells from patients with severe asthma has recently been described. Our findings suggest that, similarly to MCP-1, TSLP might also play a major role in CC and the clinical availability of a TSLP blocking antibody, certainly stresses the relevance of these findings. TSLP is however not expressed in all patients. Xie and coworkers reported also increased TGF-β gene and protein expression in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and airway mucosa from patients with CC compared to healthy subjects. We were however, not able to confirm their findings in induced sputum samples from the whole group of patients with CC. We observed that in the group of PPI responders, TGF-β levels were significantly lower than in patients with ICC. Treatment with PPI might therefore be responsible for the absence of significantly increased TGF-β levels in the entire group of patients with CC.

We did not find differences in lymphocyte percentages on cytospin or sputum CD3γ (reflecting infiltrating T cells) between patients with CC and HC. Further on, the expression of Th1/Th2/Th17/T regulatory (Treg) profile of cytokines is also similar in both groups. We conclude that T cell cytokines probably do not play a major role in airway inflammation as observed in our CC population. These findings argue against the hypothesis that airway inflammation due to gastric content aspiration could lead to disturbances in the T helper cell balance.

Other studies also indicates that patients with CC with RO show increased relative and absolute amounts of sputum eosinophils in comparison to patients with CC without RO. This has been previously reported in GORD-related CC. Sputum IL-5 and eotaxin-1 mRNA levels, potentially responsible for eosinophil recruitment, however, were not different between our two groups. The observation showing different eosinophil counts between RO+ and RO− patients with CC, could at least point to the existence of different CC phenotypes, as has been observed in asthma since several years.

Another important clue is the effect of GORD treatment on GORD-related CC. Our finding that TGF-β levels are higher in the PPI non-responders (which is not correlated with the duration of CC or subjective impact of CC on QoL) compared to responders, fits with the idea that PPI responders might have other airway inflammatory characteristics. Alternatively, it is of course not impossible that TGF-β levels in these patients dropped as a result of treatment, leading to less epithelial stress and hence decreased cytokine production.

We conclude that CC probably is a disease with different disease phenotypes, leading to different inflammatory characteristics depending on the primary underlying trigger or comorbidity. However, high sputum MCP-1 levels are present in a large group of patients with CC and a large proportion of these patients with CC have increased sputum TSLP levels, most likely produced by airway epithelial cells, as a consequence of direct mechanical stress or reflex-induced epithelial nerve stimulation.
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