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ABSTRACT

Background The value of quantitative CT (QCT) to identify chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) phenotypes is increasingly appreciated. The authors hypothesised that QCT-defined emphysema and airway abnormalities relate to St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and Body-Mass Index, Airflow Obstruction, Dyspnea and Exercise Capacity Index (BODE).

Methods 1200 COPDGene subjects meeting Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria for COPD with QCT analysis were included. Total lung emphysema was measured using the density mask technique with a −950 Hounsfield unit threshold. An automated programme measured mean wall thickness (WT), wall area percentage (WA%) and 10 mm lumenal perimeter (pi10) in six segmental bronchi. Separate multivariate analyses examined the relative influence of airway measures and emphysema on SGRQ and BODE.

Results In separate models predicting SGRQ score, a 1 unit SD increase in each airway measure predicted higher SGRQ scores (for WT, 1.90 points higher, p<0.002; for WA%, 1.52 points higher, p=0.02; for pi10, 2.83 points higher p<0.001). The comparable increase in SGRQ for a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema percentage in these models was relatively weaker, significant only in the pi10 model (for emphysema percentage, 1.45 points higher p=0.01). In separate models predicting BODE, a 1 unit SD increase in each airway measure predicted higher BODE scores (for WT, 1.07-fold increase, p<0.001; for WA%, 1.26-fold increase, 1.20-fold increase, p<0.001). In these models, emphysema more significantly influenced BODE.

Conclusion Emphysema and airway disease both relate to clinically important parameters. The relative influence of airway disease is greater for SGRQ; the relative influence of emphysema is greater for BODE.

Key messages

What is the key question?

Do measures of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) severity as assessed by quantitative CT relate to clinically relevant outcomes?

What is the bottom line?

The relative influence of airway disease is greater for St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ); the relative influence of emphysema is greater for BODE.

Why read on?

To learn about how quantitative CT can be used to identify unique clinical phenotypes in COPD.

Although multiple methods for describing the heterogeneity intrinsic to this disease have been developed, quantitative CT (QCT) may have significant value as a non-invasive means to identify distinct phenotypes in COPD. To establish the validity of COPD phenotyping based on QCT characteristics, correlations must be made in large groups of comprehensively assessed patients with COPD.

METHODS

Patient selection

The COPDGene Study is a National Heart Lung Blood Institute funded multicentre investigation to...
examine the genetic epidemiology of smoking-related lung disease. Patients were selected for participation in the study based on the following criteria: age 45–80 years, non-smoker or cigarette smoking ≥10 pack years, and willingness to undergo study-related testing that included spirometry, CT scan of the chest, and blood collection for biomarker and genetic analysis. In our analysis patients were selected from the first 2500 dataset from the COPDgene study, April 2010, and included 1200 patients who met GOLD criteria for stages 1–4 fixed airflow obstruction with a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio ≤0.7 and additionally had complete QCT-based emphysema and airway analyses available. Our analyses included all 1200 patients except for the BODE analyses, which included a smaller subset of 1179 patients who had complete data available to calculate the BODE index. All participants provided written informed consent. This research protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each participating institution.

Data collection and exacerbation determination
Demographical data, smoking and medical history were collected via interview or self-administered questionnaires. Dyspnoea was quantified using the modified Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale (MMRC), which is a five-point scale that asks respondents to rate dyspnoea from 0 (absent) to 4 (dyspnoea when dressing/undressing). The SGRQ is a health-related quality of life (HRQL), obstructive lung disease-specific instrument with three domains (symptoms, activities and impact), all scored from 0 to 100. Higher scores correspond to worse HRQL. All patients underwent the standard 6-min walk distance (6MWD) test. The BODE index was calculated using 6MWD, FEV1 % predicted, MMRC and body mass index (BMI), as previously described.7

Physiological testing
Patients underwent spirometry before and after the administration of short acting bronchodilating medication (albuterol). All spirometric tracings were independently reviewed to ensure that ATS criteria were met.8

Imaging
Objective analysis of the lung parenchyma and airways was performed on volumetric CT scans of the chest obtained at full inflation. All CT scans were obtained under a standardised protocol.2 Parenchymal analysis and airway analyses were performed using VIDA Pulmonary Workstation 2 (http://www.vidadiagnostics.com). Lung area with a CT attenuation value of less than −950 Hounsfield Units (HU) on the inspiratory scan was considered to be emphysematous tissue. Reconstruction of CT inspiratory images allowed for estimation of total lung capacity (TLC). TLC % predicted was calculated based on a previously published equation.9 Airway morphology was examined in six segmental airways: apical segment, right upper lobe (RB1), lateral segment, right middle lobe (RB4), posterior basal segment, right lower lobe (RB10), apico-posterior segment, left upper lobe (L1B), superior lingular segment (LB4), and posterior basal segment, left lower lobe (LB10).10 CT-based metrics of airway disease included mean bronchial wall thickness calculated as an average of the six segmental values for each patient, wall area percentage (WA%) (100*WA/total bronchial area)11 obtained at the same sites as those used for bronchial wall thickness, and the square root of the wall area of a theoretical airway of 10 mm luminal perimeter (Pi10), as previously described.12 13

Statistical analyses
All statistical analyses were conducted with the SAS statistical analysis package (V9.2; SAS Institute Inc). For univariate and multivariate analyses, data are presented for ‘normalised’ and ‘unnormalised’ radiological parameters. For ‘normalised’ radiological parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value – mean)/SD. Hence a 1 unit increase in a normalised measure is 1 SD. For SGRQ total score, multivariate linear regression models were used, adjusted for age, gender, current smoking status, height, FEV1 % predicted and scanner type. For BODE, zero-inflated Poisson regression was used, adjusted for age, gender, smoking status and scanner type. This model is more appropriate as the BODE distribution of values in this cohort was skewed, with more patients displaying lower BODE scores (see figure 1). p Values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The three-dimensional, smoothed surface plots of the raw data (figure 2A,C) were created with SAS V9.2 statistical software, using PROC GSGRID, which uses spline interpolation as the smoothing algorithm. The density plots of the raw data (figure 2B,D) were also created with SAS V9.2 statistical software, using PROC KDE, which utilises a non-parametric technique for density estimation.

RESULTS
Data from 1200 patients were available for analysis. Their demographical and physiological data are outlined in table 1. This dataset is roughly split between men and women. The majority were ex-smokers (65.2%) with moderate COPD by GOLD criteria.4 This dataset is roughly split between men and women. The majority were ex-smokers (63.2%) with moderate COPD by GOLD criteria.5 For normalised estimates, a 1 unit increase in a normalised measure is 1 SD. For example, a better interpretation of the relative importance of each parameter can be seen with the normalised parameter estimates, which are also displayed in table 2. For normalised estimates, a 1 unit change corresponds to 1 SD change for that variable. When examining the normalised estimates, the strongest signal for SGRQ is seen for pi10. The strongest signal for BODE is seen with emphysema percentage.

To visualise the relationships between pi10 and emphysema with respect to SGRQ, a three-dimensional plot was created (figure 2A), which demonstrates that regardless of emphysema extent, increasing pi10 is associated with increasing SGRQ score. Similarly, increasing emphysema is also associated with increasing SGRQ score regardless of pi10. To understand these relationships further, we selected patients in approximately the highest 10th percentile of SGRQ scores and created density plots depicting the relationship between emphysema and airway measures (figure 2B). Although a wide range of pi10 and emphysema values are still represented, for the highest density of patients depicted in red, normalised pi10 values were higher than the group average for emphysema (13.9%) whereas emphysema values were lower than the group average for emphysema (13.9%).

By contrast, a similar three-dimensional plot for BODE (figure 2C), showing the independent relationship between pi10, emphysema and BODE revealed that even at extreme values of pi10 in the absence of emphysema, increases in BODE are
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modest. A density plot for patients with approximately the 10th highest percentile BODE scores is depicted in figure 2D. While a wide range of pi10 and emphysema values are present, the highest density of patients in red have a much greater amount of emphysema than either the group mean (13.9%) or patients with SGRQ scores in figure 2B, whereas pi10 values are similar to the group mean (3.78) but lower than patients with high SGRQ scores in figure 2B. Additional density plots by airway measure for the entire cohort are presented in online supplemental figures S1—S3.

Further analysis of the relationships between airway disease measures, emphysema, SGRQ and BODE was carried out via

Figure 1 Frequency distribution of BODE index scores (left panel) and St George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total scores (right panel).

Figure 2 (A) Three-dimensional plot demonstrating the relationship between increasing 10 mm lumenal perimeter (pi10), emphysema percentage and St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) score. (B) Density plot of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) with SGRQ score approximately in the 90th percentile (score $>64$, $n=146$) demonstrating degree of pi10 and emphysema in this subgroup. High density in red, low density in blue. (C) Three-dimensional plot demonstrating the relationship between increasing pi10, emphysema percentage and BODE index score. (D) Density plot of patients with COPD with BODE score approximately in the 90th percentile (score $>6$, $n=142$) demonstrating the degree of pi10 and emphysema in this subgroup. High density in red, low density in blue.
individual multivariate models including each airway disease measure separately to compare their relative effect on emphysema, adjusting for other relevant confounders. The results of analyses predicting SGRQ are presented in tables 3 and 4. In table 4, radiological predictors are normalised so that the relative importance of airway measures in emphysema can be compared.

Table 3 shows that each airway parameter significantly predicts increasing SGRQ score, with emphysema percentage also being accounted for. A 1 mm increase in segmental wall thickness results in an 8.49-point increase in SGRQ score (95% CI 3.19 to 13.79; p < 0.001); a 1 unit increase in WA% results in a 1.36-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.14 to 1.62; p < 0.001); a 1% increase in WA% results in a 1.02-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.01 to 1.03; p < 0.001). In all three models, an increase in emphysema is also associated with approximately a 1.02-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.01 to 1.03; p < 0.001). In the model utilising pi10, a 1 unit increase in pi10 results in a 3.30-fold increase in SGRQ score (95% CI 1.91 to 4.84; p < 0.001), and a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema results in a 1.90-point increase in SGRQ score (95% CI 1.59 to 2.21; p < 0.001). Only in the model utilising emphysema percentage does the emphysema parameter exceed the upper bound of the 95% CI for the fold increase in BODE score for a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema; exceeding the upper bound of the 95% CI for the fold increase in BODE score for a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema.

Additional multivariate models for SGRQ subscores using normalised pi10 and emphysema measures are presented in online supplemental table 1. This analysis demonstrates that the strongest relationship for radiological parameters exists with the activity subscore. A 1 unit SD increase in pi10 results in a 3.38-point increase in SGRQ activity subscore (95% CI 1.91 to 4.84; p < 0.001), and a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema results in a 3.06-point increase in activity subscore (95% CI 1.54 to 4.60; p < 0.001).

Multivariate analyses for predicting BODE index are reported in tables 5 and 6, for unnormalised and normalised radiological parameters respectively. In table 5, each of the three airway parameters predict increasing BODE index when additionally adjusted for emphysema. A 1 mm increase in segmental wall thickness results in a 1.56-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.14 to 1.62; p < 0.001); a 1% increase in WA% results in a 1.06-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.05 to 1.07; p < 0.001); a 1 unit increase in pi10 results in a 5.50-fold increase in BODE index score (95% CI 1.24 to 4.40; p < 0.001). In all three models, an increase in emphysema is also associated with a 1.02-fold increase in BODE index score (p < 0.001 for all models). Once again, all parameters in table 6 are for a SD change in that predictor so that the scale of change for each predictor in all models is comparable. Here we see that, in contrast to the model for SGRQ, the emphysema parameter is larger than the airway parameters for every model and is statistically significant.

The fold increase in BODE score for a 1 SD increase in emphysema ranges between 1.24 and 1.26 (p < 0.001) in all three models, exceeding the upper bound of the 95% CI for the fold increase in BODE score.
DISCUSSION

The results of this study establish a relationship between QCT metrics and two indices of disease impact, a specific measure of respiratory health status (SGRQ) and a multidimensional index of COPD severity, BODE, that has been correlated with mortality. In multivariate models, increases in both QCT measures of airway disease and emphysema were associated with higher SGRQ and BODE scores. However, in models that were centred and scaled so that the relative weight of airway disease measures and of emphysema measures were associated with the presence of cough and sputum.14 Grydeland, et al reported that in COPD, both pi10 and emphysema were related to dyspnoea, but only pi10 was associated with cough and wheeze.15 Here we broaden these findings by examining the relationships between QCT measures and SGRQ. While cough, phlegm production and shortness of breath are all important items assessed by the SGRQ, the SGRQ is an important independent measure of HRQL in COPD.3 In this study, we help to demonstrate a significant relationship between increasing airways disease and emphysema with SGRQ, but also through normalising the QCT parameters, demonstrate that the relative influence of airway disease on SGRQ is significantly stronger than that of emphysema.

While univariate analysis suggests a relationship between disease severity as quantified by emphysema and SGRQ score does exist, the multivariate analyses data suggest that airway disease plays the relatively stronger role. In our multivariate analysis, a 0.20-point increase in pi10 would correlate with a four-point increase in SGRQ, the generally accepted minimal important difference for SGRQ.16 The relationship between pi10 and the activity subscore of the SGRQ appears to be the strongest. A ready explanation for this is not obvious, although it may be that patients who have more significant airway disease represent a unique subgroup of patients who, due to pulmonary physiology or comorbid conditions, experience greater decrements in functional status. The current results differ from our prior analysis of 156 patients with COPD, which performed on the BODE index indicated a greater relative increase in BODE index scores for a 1 unit SD increase in emphysema as opposed to airway measures. The colour-coded density plots of patients with high SGRQ and BODE scores also emphasise that an airway disease predominant phenotype is associated with more impaired higher SGRQ whereas an emphysema predominant phenotype is associated with higher BODE index scores.

To better understand the relationship between radiological parameters and BODE components, Spearman’s correlations were performed between radiological parameters and BODE components. These results are displayed in online supplemental table 2. Emphysema correlates most strongly with FEV1% predicted (r=−0.54, p<0.001). Pi10 correlates most strongly with FEV1% predicted, r=−0.57, p<0.001. Online supplemental table 3 displays additional analyses subdividing the cohort based on presence (≥75th percentile) or absence (≤25th percentile) of emphysema and airways disease (using pi10 as a representative airway metric). These analyses further highlight the relationship between increasing emphysema and BODE, and increasing airway disease and SGRQ.

Table 3 Multivariate analysis predicting St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total score using unnormalised radiological parameters* (n=1200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airway disease measure</th>
<th>Airway parameter (95% CI)</th>
<th>Emphysema % parameter (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>8.49 (3.19 to 13.79), p=0.002</td>
<td>0.08 (−0.02 to 0.16), p=0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall area, %</td>
<td>0.47 (0.08 to 0.85), p=0.02</td>
<td>0.10 (−0.01 to 0.21), p=0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi10</td>
<td>22.19 (12.99 to 31.29), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.13 (0.03 to 0.23), p=0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Models additionally adjusted for age, gender, height, forced expiratory volume in 1 s % predicted, current smoking status and scanner type. Parameter estimate is the increase in SGRQ score for a 1 unit increase in radiologic parameter. For normalised radiological parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value − mean)/SD. Hence a 1 unit increase in a normalised measure is 1 SD.

Table 4 Multivariate analysis of St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total score using normalised radiological parameters* (n=1200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airway disease measure</th>
<th>Airway parameter (95% CI)</th>
<th>Emphysema % parameter (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>1.90 (0.72 to 3.09), p=0.002</td>
<td>0.83 (−0.26 to 1.93), p=0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall area, %</td>
<td>1.52 (0.27 to 2.76), p=0.02</td>
<td>1.14 (−0.02 to 2.30), p=0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi10</td>
<td>2.83 (1.66 to 4.00), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.45 (0.33 to 2.57), p=0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Models additionally adjusted for age, gender, height, FEV1% predicted, current smoking status and scanner type. Parameter estimate is the increase in SGRQ score for a one unit increase in radiologic parameter. For normalised radiologic parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value − mean)/SD. Hence a one unit increase in a normalised measure is 1 SD.

Pi10, 10 mm lumenal perimeter.

Changes in airway parameters. The relative weight for the three airway parameters is less, yet still independently predictive when adjusted for emphysema. A 1 unit SD increase in segmental wall thickness results in a 1.07-fold increase in BODE index score (p<0.001), a 1 unit SD increase in segmental WA% results in a 1.20-fold increase in BODE index score (p<0.001); a 1 unit SD increase in pi10 results in a 1.16-fold increase in BODE index score (p<0.001). To correct for differences in inspiratory capacity during the imaging maneuver, tables 5 and 6 models were additionally adjusted for TLC % predicted that was calculated from reconstruction of inspiratory CT images. This did not result in a significant change in results (data not shown).

Models additionally adjusted for age, gender, height, forced expiratory volume in 1 s % predicted, current smoking status and scanner type. Parameter estimate is the increase in SGRQ score for a 1 unit increase in radiologic parameter. For normalised radiologic parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value − mean)/SD. Hence a 1 unit increase in a normalised measure is 1 SD.

Pi10, 10 mm lumenal perimeter.
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Table 5  Multivariate analysis predicting BODE index score using unnormalised radiological parameters*  
(n=1179)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airway disease measure</th>
<th>Airway parameter estimate expressed as fold change in BODE (95% CI)</th>
<th>Emphysema % parameter estimate expressed as fold change in BODE (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>1.36 (1.14 to 1.62), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02 to 1.02), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall area, %</td>
<td>1.06 (1.05 to 1.07), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02 to 1.02), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>3.30 (2.48 to 4.40), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02 to 1.02), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model additionally adjusted for age, gender, current smoking status and scanner type. Parameter estimate is the fold change in BODE index score for a 1 unit increase in radiological parameter. For normalised radiological parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value — mean)/SD. Hence a 1 unit increase in a normalized measure is 1 SD. P10, 10 mm lumenal perimeter.

demonstrated a relationship between increasing emphysema and SGRQ score but not right upper lobe WA%.17 However, that cohort was very small and composed of a unique study population who were being evaluated for lung surgery (tumour resection, lung volume reduction surgery or lung transplantation). This current analysis is significantly larger and includes a more representative sample of the general COPD population.

While we and others have documented a relationship between QCT emphysema measures and BODE score,17 18 in this analysis we demonstrated a relationship between airway disease measures and BODE score and between emphysema and BODE score. However, we are also able to examine the relative relationship between airway disease, emphysema and BODE. The relationship between emphysema and BODE is stronger than the relationship between airway disease and BODE. This is suggested by the relative weight of the normalised parameter estimates in the multivariate models (table 6) and the density plot (figure 2D), in which it is evident that patients with high BODE scores have a much greater burden of emphysema than airway disease. To put this in perspective, our multivariate analysis suggests that a 10% increase in emphysema would correlate with a 1.23-fold increase in BODE score. While an Minimal Important Difference (MID) for BODE is difficult to define with certainty, a 1.19-fold increase in BODE has been reported with pulmonary rehabilitation, which was also associated with improved survival.19

The relationship between emphysema and BODE appears to be driven most strongly by its relationship with FEV1, but not surprisingly significant relationships between emphysema and the other BODE components including BMI, MMRC and 6MWD are also seen. Weight loss20 and hyperinflation21 are known associations with emphysema that likely contribute to these relationships.

Previously we reported no significant relationship between airway disease as measured by right upper lobe WA% and BODE. However, this prior analysis was performed on a small, highly selected COPD cohort compared with the significantly larger cohort described here and also used a very localised measure of airway disease (right upper lobe) as opposed to the measures used here that are averaged from six separate bronchial segments throughout the lung.

This analysis also demonstrates that three of the QCT airway measures currently in use do not behave identically in multivariate modelling. Currently no gold standard airway disease measure has been identified and hence all three are included here. As derivation of the airway measures differs significantly, it is reasonable to expect that they each may contribute different information. For instance, because WA% reflects wall thickness relative to airway size, that measure will not be changed if both elements increase. WA% and pi10 also have a stronger relationship with FEV1 than wall thickness. Determining the airway measure that best characterises patients with COPD is certainly an issue that deserves further attention so that we can better understand which aspects of airway dimensions relate to characteristics intrinsic to normal development as opposed to disease.

These data also raise several questions about the interplay between QCT phenotypes and clinical descriptors of disease. The SGRQ was developed and has been tested in large numbers of patients and can safely be assumed to be a valid indicator of quality of life in patients with COPD with a wide variety of phenotypes. However, our data suggest that the relationship between SGRQ and pathological abnormality is complex as SGRQ appears to be more influenced by changes in airway disease than emphysema. Hence therapies targeted at different aspects of the disease may result in different relative changes in SGRQ, which should be considered when planning clinical trials and determining appropriate outcome measures. Hypothetically speaking, a therapy targeted at slowing emphysema, for instance, may not be expected to have the same influence on SGRQ as therapies targeted at airways disease. While improving quality of life for patients with COPD is an important goal in itself, these data underscore the complexity of inferring a relationship between SGRQ and disease pathology in patient populations that are not well phenotyped.

Table 6  Multivariate analysis of BODE index score using normalised radiological parameters*  
(n=1179)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airway disease measure</th>
<th>Airway parameter estimate expressed as fold change in BODE normalised</th>
<th>Emphysema % parameter estimate expressed as fold change in BODE normalised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>1.07 (1.03 to 1.11), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.24 (1.20 to 1.27), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental wall area, %</td>
<td>1.20 (1.15 to 1.25), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.26 (1.23 to 1.30), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P10</td>
<td>1.16 (1.12 to 1.21), p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.25 (1.21 to 1.29), p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model additionally adjusted for age, gender, current smoking status, and scanner type. Parameter estimate is the fold change in BODE index score for a 1 unit increase in radiological parameter. For normalised radiological parameters, airway disease measures and emphysema values have been scaled and centred as follows: (value — mean)/SD. Hence a 1 unit increase in a normalized measure is 1 SD. P10, 10 mm lumenal perimeter.
An additional question raised is whether the relationship between BODE and mortality is driven by the presence of emphysema? Would emphysema itself be a better predictor of mortality? Emphysema is associated with systemic inflammation, and interestingly, lung volume reduction surgery in patients with upper lobe predominant emphysema results in improved survival and a decrease in inflammatory mediators, including tumour necrosis factor \( \alpha \) and interleukin-8, as well as an increase in IL-antitrypsin and BMI.2,23 Another question raised by this analysis is whether the BODE index is the best predictor of mortality among patients with an airway predominant disease phenotype. Although longitudinal data will be required to answer these questions definitively, previously published data underscore the potential importance of CT characterisation to identify subsets of COPD for specific therapies. Emphysema distribution helps to identify suitable patients for lung volume reduction therapy.23 We have also previously demonstrated that QCT can be used to identify airway disease predominant and emphysema predominant patient populations with increased risk for exacerbations.23

A limitation to our analysis is that while emphysema and airway disease measures were adjusted for scanner model, these CT data were not corrected for variation within any particular scanner model due to tube calibration or other factors. This is a difficulty associated with performing QCT studies across multiple sites and scanners. The methodology to do this is currently being developed through the use of CT phantoms to standardise images, but the algorithms to perform such corrections are still being researched. Such corrections become even more important when performing longitudinal studies in which both intrascanner and interscanner variations may risk obscuring signal detection.

In summary, our analyses demonstrate that radiological indices of airway disease and emphysema influence BODE and SGRQ. Airway disease, however, appears to be more closely associated with higher SGRQ scores and emphysema appears to be more closely associated with BODE. These analyses all demonstrate the ability of QCT measures to relate to clinically relevant outcomes and add to our ability to understand the heterogeneity implicit to COPD. Future prospective studies will allow us to better understand the predictive value of radiological indices compared with composite indices such as SGRQ and BODE.

**Contributors** MKH, JLC, BJM, NM, FJM and MKH were involved in subject recruitment and data collection. DAL and GRW aided with image acquisition, processing and analysis. PMW, LXL, SM, and MKH participated in data analysis. CHM, YHC, SM, JLC, BJM, EAK, DAL, NM, GRW, FJM and MKH participated in writing of the manuscript.

**Funding** COPDGene is supported by NHLBI Grant Nos U01HL088897 and U01HL088956. Dr Han is supported by funding from NHLBI Grant No. K23 HL093351. Dr Washko is supported by funding from NHLBI Grant No. K23 HL093353 and an award from the Parker B. Francis Foundation. The COPDGene project is also supported by the COPD Foundation through contributions made to an Industry Advisory Board comprised of AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis and Sepracor.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** Ethics approval was provided by National Jewish IRB Protocol No. HS-1863a.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**REFERENCES**


**APPENDIX 1 Members of the COPDGene study group as of June 2010**
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Service targeting hard to reach tuberculosis patients in London is cost effective

The incidence of tuberculosis in the UK is increasing, particularly in hard-to-reach groups such as problematic drug users and homeless individuals. A ‘Find and Treat’ service, which provides mobile radiography and case management support, has been operating in London since 2007. This study assessed the cost effectiveness of the Find and Treat service for diagnosing and managing hard to reach patients with tuberculosis.

Patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis screened or managed by the Find and Treat service between 2007 and 2010 (48 identified by mobile screening, 188 referred for case management support and 180 referred as lost to previous follow-up) were matched with 252 control patients who presented via London’s enhanced tuberculosis surveillance system. A model was constructed based on various parameters such as the likelihood of completing treatment and quality of life with untreated and treated tuberculosis. The Find and Treat service was estimated to gain 220 quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) at a cost of £1.4 million/year, estimating the incremental cost effectiveness ratio of the service at £6400/QALY. Making less favourable assumptions in the model led to a higher cost per QALY, but the service was still cost effective using NICE’s cut-off of £20 000—£30 000/QALY.

This study suggests that the London Find and Treat service is cost effective at identifying and treating hard—to-reach individuals with tuberculosis. Similar initiatives may be rolled out in other cities with a high prevalence of tuberculosis.
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Relationship between quantitative CT metrics and health status and BODE in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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