Should we be pursuing the earlier diagnosis of lung cancer in symptomatic patients?

Michael D Peake

Three papers published in this issue of Thorax address the issue of the late diagnosis of lung cancer.1–3 The most recent international population-based survival data for lung cancer again show the UK at the bottom of the scale with a predicted 8.8% of those diagnosed between 2005 and 2007 being alive at 5 years compared with 14.4% in Norway, 16.5% in Sweden and 15–20% in areas of Australia and Canada.4 It has been estimated that, in England, around 1300 lives per year would be saved if our survival matched the best in Europe.5 It is well known that late diagnosis is the major reason why lung cancer outcomes are generally so poor and this is illustrated by the fact that, in the UK, more than 70% of patients have advanced stage (IIIB or IV) disease at the time of diagnosis.5 Huge attention has therefore been focused on the possibility of screening asymptomatic individuals and the most recent findings of the National Lung Cancer Screening Trial7 would appear promising.

In considering reasons underlying the consistent finding of poor UK survival rates, most attention has been given to shortcomings in treatment in secondary care and there is no doubt that there are wide variations in treatment and survival within the UK itself.6 What is not yet known is the extent to which later stage at diagnosis in the UK may explain these survival differences. Some have proposed that at least part of the explanation may be a higher rate of comorbidities in the UK,8 although the evidence for this is limited. One recent study, however, suggests that late diagnosis in the UK is a major factor. Holmberg et al9 compared excess lung cancer deaths at 5 years in different age groups in Sweden, Norway and England. There were considerably more excess deaths in all age groups in England but when patients who died within the first year were excluded the death rates among the countries were similar; indeed most of the excess deaths in England could be explained by deaths in the first 3 months after diagnosis. Since there are no screening programmes in any of these countries, the assumption has to be that symptomatic patients are, for whatever reason, getting treatment earlier in Norway and Sweden than in England. That very late diagnosis is an important issue is well illustrated in work by the National Cancer Intelligence Network. This work showed that in 2007, 38% of all patients with lung cancer in England first presented to secondary care as emergencies. Only 8.9% of these patients were alive at 1 year compared with 39.8% of those referred by an elective route from primary care.10 Several studies have described delays of many weeks or months in patients seeking medical advice for the symptoms of lung cancer.11 12

Simon et al13 developed a robust lung cancer awareness measure and have clearly demonstrated that awareness of the symptoms of, and risk factors for, lung cancer is very poor in the UK, with 58% of the large study sample unable to recall a single symptom. This cancer awareness measure is now being used as a tool in UK-based public awareness campaigns and in an International Cancer Benchmarking Study to examine international differences in public awareness of symptoms. Athey et al14 report the impact of a campaign in Doncaster to raise public and primary care awareness of lung cancer. The message of the campaign was that a persistent cough should trigger people to seek advice from their GP and to ask for a chest X-ray. This innovative project, which is a collaboration of public health, secondary and primary care clinicians, used social marketing techniques to identify and target communities at high risk of developing lung cancer. One particularly effective method adopted by the campaign was to install chips in bus stops so that the sound of coughing was triggered as people approached. This led to wide local press coverage. Although this has to be considered a pilot project, it clearly showed that the campaign resulted in enhanced public recall of cough as an important symptom; a change in behaviour of the public, with more patients going to their GP; a change in behaviour of GPs, with a 20% increase in chest X-ray requests; and an increase in new lung cancer diagnoses, with a suggestion that there were more patients diagnosed with early stage disease. Clearly further work is needed on a larger scale to confirm these findings and to prove that such an approach can have an impact on radical treatment rates and survival. As part of the government’s National Awareness and Early Diagnosis Initiative (NAEDI), a joint project between the English Department of Health and Cancer Research UK,15 these findings, taken together with other evidence of late diagnosis referred to above, have led to a number of local public and primary care lung cancer awareness projects and a major public awareness campaign in the Midlands in autumn 2011. This campaign, part of a wider ‘Be Clear on Cancer’ programme, aims to raise awareness of the symptom of a cough lasting for more than 3 weeks and uses TV, radio, press, etc to convey this message. We await the evaluation of these projects with interest.

Coming back to the screening of asymptomatic people, most international efforts aimed at improving early diagnosis of lung cancer have been driven by the often unspoken belief that, by the time patients with lung cancer develop symptoms, the die is cast and the likely long term survival benefits will be small. All of the major recent work on screening has used low-dose CT scanning. Widely divergent, indeed polarised, views have been expressed by a variety of authors on the relative benefits and cost effectiveness of this approach.14 15 One issue which is much less discussed, but that may limit the effectiveness of any screening programme, is whether people at high risk would wish to take part in such programmes.16 This is the subject of the study by Patel et al17 in the context of the Lung-SEARCH trial. The risks of smoking are so well known that the residual smokers (around 21% of adults in the UK18) are probably people with a pattern of high-risk behaviour with a mind set of fatalism and denial who intuitively one would expect to be a group less likely to take up the offer of screening. There is also a strong culture of nihilism around the diagnosis of lung cancer, which is popularly thought of as a universal death penalty. One previous study has added weight to the idea that poor uptake in this
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Airway disease and emphysema on CT: not just phenotypes of lung pathology

Peter D Paré,1 Pat G Camp2

In this issue of the journal, Martinez et al1 examined the relationships between quantitative CT (QCT) parameters of emphysema, airway wall remodelling and airway narrowing and composite clinical and physiological indices of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), the BODE index2 and the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRO).3 BODE stands for Body mass index (BMI), airflow Obstruction, Dyspnoea and Exercise capacity.

Not surprisingly, these QCT estimates of pathological changes were related to measures of clinical impact. More interestingly, the authors found that there were differences in the strength of the associations between measures of emphysema and airway disease and the composite indices. Measures of emphysema were more closely related with the BODE index while the airway wall abnormalities were better predictors of the SGRO.

While it has long been recognised that there is a spectrum of changes in the airways and parenchyma in COPD,4 the separation of the airway predominant phenotype from the parenchymal predominant phenotype was largely limited to the autopsy room until the advent of CT CT has confirmed that some patients have airflow obstruction with little emphysema while others have predominant emphysema with little airway disease. Such individuals form the extremes while the majority of patients have various combinations of airway disease and emphysema.5 In addition, there is evidence that the predominant pattern is to some extent familial6 and is associated with different rates of decline of lung function.7 The presence of airway disease and emphysema on CT can be assessed qualitatively or quantitatively. The power
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