end-of-life care may be more appropriate, but there are many other important issues that explain the observed outcomes. End of life in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) exacerbations is a difficult area of care for which the guidelines are currently vague and where our own data have shown that in large-scale studies all predictors of outcome combined only explain a minority of the variance in outcome.3 Finally studies of patient choice when offered IMV for respiratory failure in COPD have shown patient preference for intervention beyond that considered appropriate by intensivists in many cases.4 In essence, this is an area where prospective research is required to better understand both the wishes of patients and the costs and benefits of intervention or palliative choices.

Childhood immunisation with conjugate vaccines and prevention of pneumonia

Principi and Esposito1 describe that widespread use of Haemophilus influenzae b (Hib) and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV7) has nearly eliminated pneumonia due to the first pathogen and significantly reduced the number of cases due to the second pathogen. So, they advise a strong recommendation of these vaccines worldwide. However, the Indonesia probe-trial cited by them actually found more cases of pneumonia admitted to hospital among those vaccinated, and meningitis admissions were not reduced significantly either. The trial did not support a major role for Hib vaccine in overall pneumonia prevention programmes, but in view of high incidences of Hib meningitis and pneumonia found in the study, the authors mentioned that exclusion of Hib vaccine in routine immunisation programmes in Asia deserves consideration. But if we further analyse this statement, the following points need attention. The cost of these newer vaccines precludes their routine and universal use in most developing countries. In addition, the shift of the disease epidemiology due to an increase in the less common serotypes not covered by the vaccine is being reported. Children in Gambia receiving both vaccines continued to have 15.4 episodes of severe pneumonia per 1000 child years.5 In western countries, the wisdom of having introduced the Hib vaccine is also now being questioned. The vaccine has effectively reduced the incidence of Hib disease, at the same time resulting in an increase of non-Hib and non-serotype strains, causing invasive disease in the post-Hib vaccine era.6 In the Dallas study, PCV7 reduced the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) by reducing the incidence of vaccine-type disease, but at the same time increasing non-vaccine serotypes (particularly 19A) that are more resistant to antimicrobials.4 PCV7 covers 65–80% of serotypes associated with IPD in western countries, but the serotype coverage is lower in developing countries. The new generation vaccines (PCV10 and PCV13) are expected to cover 50–80% of IPD not only in western countries but also worldwide.7 In addition to the PCV7 serotypes, PCV10 covers against strains 1, 5 and 7F and PCV13 covers against strains 1, 3, 5, 6A, 7F and 19A. Both these vaccines also offer broader coverage against pneumococcal strains prevalent in developing countries. So, further surveillance of the changing ecology of these organisms, and study of the true burden of disease in developing countries (also including the cost–benefit ratio of vaccinating each child), is needed before proceeding to universal immunisation.
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Authors’ response

We thank Dr Das for his letter4 regarding our paper on the management of severe community-acquired pneumonia in children.2 He questions our suggestion that both Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) conjugate and pneumococcal conjugate (PCV) vaccines could be given to Asian children living in developing countries on the grounds that the incidence of infections due to Hib and the pneumococcal serotypes included in PCV is low. He also states that there is no clear demonstration that either vaccine is effective, and the risk of replacement phenomena is a significant limitation.

Regarding the burden of Hib infection, data show that Hib is significantly more important in Asia than previously thought mainly because a number of cases are not identified by the short-term administration of low-dose antibiotics used in many countries, which often prevents the microbiological diagnosis of Hib infections.8 Studies carried out in Indonesia and Bangladesh indicate that the clinical efficacy of Hib vaccine is much greater than that calculated on the basis of the reduction in bacteriologically confirmed cases,9 thus suggesting a higher incidence of Hib diseases and the theoretical efficacy of vaccination. Furthermore, the available data (including data from Asia) indicate that the Hib vaccine has an 18% overall effect on radiologically confirmed pneumonia.4 The emergence of new H influenzae serotypes after Hib vaccine administration (including those that cannot be typed) has been documented in some, but not all geographical areas. Furthermore, their relevance is marginal when set against the advantage of vaccination. All the studies have shown that the reduction in invasive Hib diseases is significantly greater than the increase in those due to new serotypes.2 The same is true of PCV, whose role in
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