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ABSTRACT
Healthcare workers (HCWs) are at increased risk of exposure to tuberculosis (TB). Traditionally, screening for latent TB infection (LTBI) is done using the tuberculin skin test (TST). Interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) are now increasingly being used for diagnosis of LTBI, but their role in HCW screening is unclear. A systematic review was conducted of all IGRA studies in HCWs to summarise their performance in cross-sectional and serial testing settings. By searching four electronic databases and other sources, all available studies using any one of the commercial IGRA assays in HCWs were retrieved and screened. Fifty unique studies were identified which met the inclusion criteria including five from high TB incidence settings. Among 24 cross-sectional studies in low TB incidence settings, the pooled prevalence of positive IGRA using either test was significantly lower than for a positive TST. However, in high-incidence settings the prevalence of positive IGRA using either test was consistently lower than for a positive TST. In high-incidence settings (n=2) there were no consistent differences in the prevalence of positive tests. IGRA showed good correlation with occupational risk factors for TB exposure in low-incidence settings. Only 10 studies assessed use of IGRAs for one-time screening and all showed large variation in the rates of conversions and reversions, with no data suggesting that IGRAs are better at identifying the incidence of new TB infection than the TST. The use of IGRA showed good correlation with occupational risk factors for TB exposure. However, the use of IGRAs for serial testing is complicated by lack of data on optimum cut-offs for serial testing and unclear interpretation and prognosis of conversions and reversions. Further longitudinal research will be required to inform guidelines on serial testing using IGRAs.

INTRODUCTION
Tuberculosis (TB) continues to have a significant health impact worldwide with an estimated one-third of the world’s population infected with latent TB (LTBI). TB poses a significant occupational health problem and healthcare workers (HCWs) are at increased risk of exposure to TB.1 2 A systematic review of 51 studies showed that the prevalence and incidence of TB infection and disease were high among HCWs in low and middle income countries.3 The emergence of extensively drug-resistant TB has resulted in a renewed interest and emphasis on the protection of the healthcare workforce. The World Health Organization (WHO) recently issued a policy on TB infection control (TBIC) in resource-limited settings and is now actively promoting TBIC programmes.3

In many high-income countries, periodic screening of HCWs for LTBI is an important component of TBIC programmes.4 Traditionally, the prevalence of LTBI and incidence of new TB infection (ie, conversion) among HCWs has been estimated using the tuberculin skin test (TST), a test with known limitations.5–7 Recently, interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) have emerged as alternatives for the diagnosis of LTBI.5–10 Two IGRAs are commercially available—the QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT) assay (Cellestis Ltd, Carnegie, Australia) and the T-SPOT.TB assay (Oxford Immunotec, Abingdon, UK). With the development of new national guidelines incorporating IGRAs, their use is steadily increasing.11 IGRAs have features that make them attractive for repeated screening: they are ex vivo blood-based tests that, in contrast to the TST, can be repeated any number of times without sensitisation or boosting, they require only one visit and do not need a baseline two-step protocol.

There is strong evidence from systematic reviews that IGRAs, especially QFT, have excellent specificity that is unaffected by BCG vaccination, while the T-SPOT.TB shows improved sensitivity for active TB over both the TST and QFT.7,12,13 However, reviews have suggested that IGRA performance differs in high versus low TB incidence settings, with relatively lower sensitivity in high-incidence countries.6,14

Despite the substantial body of literature on IGRAs, almost all the available studies have limitations—namely, lack of a gold standard for LTBI, cross-sectional design, use of sensitivity and specificity as surrogates for patient-important outcomes, and lack of adequate data on predictive/prognostic value of IGRAs. In particular, data are lacking on how to interpret repeated (serial) IGRA testing results.15,16 Currently, no guidelines exist on the use of IGRAs in countries with a high incidence of TB. Some guidelines from high-income low-incidence countries have not recommended IGRAs for serial testing of HCWs17 while others state that IGRAs may be used for serial testing of HCWs in place of the TST.4,9

METHODS
Our objective was systematically to review all studies using IGRA to test HCWs, including cross-sectional, longitudinal and serial testing studies, to summarise their performance characteristics. Secondary objectives included (1) to compare IGRA performance in HCWs in high or low TB incidence settings; (2) to determine if IGRAs are better...
correlated with occupational exposure to TB than the TST in cross-sectional studies; (3) to estimate the rate of IGRA conversions and reversions and assess whether IGRA conversions are more closely associated with recent occupational exposure than TST conversions; and (4) to summarise the evidence produced by cost-effectiveness analyses and programmatic studies.

**Data sources and searches**

We have previously published systematic and narrative reviews on IGRA accuracy and performance in various subgroups.\(^{10-12,15,18}\) We updated the database searches used in previous systematic reviews and searched the literature for relevant IGRA studies. PubMed, Embase and Biosis and Web of Science were searched and citations of all original articles published in all languages up to 30 April 2010 that reported data on IGRA performance in HCWs (updated again on 1 October 2010 to include the most recent serial testing studies) were reviewed.

In addition to database searches we reviewed bibliographies of previous reviews and guidelines on IGRA, conference proceedings, abstracts and also screened the citations of relevant original articles. Experts in the field and commercial test manufacturers were contacted to obtain relevant citations. Authors of primary studies were contacted to obtain additional information where necessary. The detailed search string and a list of conferences reviewed for relevant citations are available in the online supplement.

**Outcomes evaluated**

A major challenge for studies evaluating the performance of IGRA is the lack of a gold standard for LTBI. We therefore developed a priori a hierarchy of reference standards for the performance of IGRA in diagnosing LTBI in HCWs (figure 1). At the time of this review, there was no evidence at the highest two levels of the hierarchy nor were there studies looking at sensitivity and specificity for active TB in HCWs. We therefore evaluated studies that reported one or more of the following outcomes: prevalence and incidence of LTBI; correlation between IGRA results and an exposure gradient; and/or agreement between IGRA and TST results.

Details of study selection, inclusion and exclusion criteria, data extraction procedure and quality assessment are given in the online supplement.

**Data synthesis and analysis**

Study characteristics and results are presented as tables and plots. In order to compare prevalence estimates for the tests (IGRAs vs TST) we calculated Fisher exact 95% CIs for the prevalence estimates extracted from the original reports. If the 95% CIs did not overlap, differences between proportions were considered as statistically significant, a conservative approach. For the association between occupational risk factors and IGRA we extracted ORs reported by the original authors. When available we extracted both crude and adjusted ORs. Because the included studies varied greatly in their design, execution and outcomes, and because meta-analysis methods are not well defined for such heterogeneous diagnostic studies with no gold standard, we did not perform a meta-analysis. IGRA performance varies across populations\(^6\) so all the results were stratified by TB incidence in the country where the study was done (high vs intermediate and low incidence). High-incidence countries were defined as countries with more than 100 estimated incident TB cases per year per 100 000 population as determined by the WHO.\(^{19}\)

**RESULTS**

**Description of included studies**

Figure 2 shows a flow chart on study selection. The final tables included 42 IGRA studies in HCWs that reported one of our main outcomes of interest. In addition we identified three studies on cost-effectiveness of IGRA in HCWs and three studies on feasibility and test implementation. Finally, two new serial testing studies were identified by 1 October 2010, giving a total of 50 studies of IGRA in HCWs.\(^{20,21}\) The characteristics of the cross-sectional and serial testing studies identified in this review are shown in tables 1 and 2, respectively. Complete details on study methodology and test performance are given in appendix tables A1 and A2, respectively, in the online supplement. Data are presented stratified by high versus low and moderate TB incidence settings but, even within these strata, the study populations included HCWs with varying risk of TB exposure.

Of the 44 included studies reporting a main outcome, 35 (79%) evaluated QFT only and 3 (7%) used T-SPOT.TB only, while the remaining 6 (14%) evaluated both IGRA. While most studies performed both an IGRA and the TST, 14% of studies only performed IGRA testing and therefore could not compare IGRA results with TST results. Overall, only 5 (11%) were done in high-incidence settings. Only 10 (23%) used a longitudinal or serial testing design. Study sizes ranged from 12 to 1315 HCWs, for a total of 11 963 HCWs across the 44 studies. Most included BCG-vaccinated HCWs; however, the proportion of BCG-vaccinated HCWs varied considerably (7–100%).

**Results of cross-sectional IGRA studies**

**IGRA versus TST positivity rates in HCW populations in high-incidence countries**

Three cross-sectional studies evaluated IGRA performance in HCWs in India, Russia and Vietnam, although TST was not performed in the Russian study.\(^{22,23}\) As shown in figure 3, TST and IGRA positivity rates were high in HCWs (40–66%; IGRA positivity was slightly lower than TST positivity in the studies in India and Vietnam comparing TST and IGRA, but the difference in estimated prevalence between the two tests was significant only in Vietnam.\(^{24}\) The Vietnamese study also reported the lowest rate of BCG vaccination among its participants at 57.3% compared with 71% in the Indian study.

**IGRA versus TST positivity rates in HCW populations in low- and moderate-incidence countries**

We identified 31 cross-sectional studies from low or intermediate TB incidence countries.\(^{25,26,28,29}\) Twenty-five studies used only...
the QFT-Gold test, 2 studies only the T-SPOT.TB and 4 performed head-to-head comparisons of the QFT and T-SPOT.TB tests. The prevalence of positive QFT ranged from 1% to 66.8% and of positive T-SPOT.TB tests from 1% to 60%. Among 25 studies that compared IGRA with TST, all but one reported a lower prevalence of positive QFT or T-SPOT.TB than positive TST (figures 3 and 4), with statistically significant differences found in 17 of these 24 studies. The studies in figure 4 are shown in order of increasing proportion of participants BCG vaccinated; studies in which a higher proportion of study participants were BCG vaccinated did not necessarily have a higher prevalence of positive TST or a larger difference between the prevalence of positive TST and positive IGRA.

Concordance was weak between TST and IGRA in these studies with k values ranging widely from 0.05 in Denmark (using a 12 mm TST cut-off) to 0.56 in a study from Spain (using a 15 mm TST cut-off for BCG-vaccinated individuals). Three studies evaluated a range of TST cut-offs in the analysis and all showed that agreement could be improved by employing a more stringent TST cut-off (ie, 15 mm vs 10 mm). In all 19 studies that reported on discordance, TST+/IGRA− subjects was the predominant type of discordance.

In summary, among these 34 cross-sectional studies the prevalence of positive IGRA was lower than positive TST. The difference in prevalence was significant in low and moderate TB incidence settings (figure 4) but not in high-incidence settings.

Association between occupational risk factors and test results in HCW populations

The majority of studies reporting such analyses were done in low-incidence settings. Of three cross-sectional studies conducted in high-incidence settings, only Pai et al evaluated associations between occupational risk factors and both TST and IGRA. They found a stronger but non-significant association between occupational risk factors and IGRA positivity than the TST.

Of the 31 cross-sectional studies conducted in low-incidence countries, 22 evaluated potential risk factors for IGRA and/or TST positivity rates. Fourteen studies reported a positive association between IGRA positivity and occupational risk factors, including higher risk for clinical staff working in a high-risk ward, TB clinic or geriatric care and increased duration of healthcare employment. Two studies reported no association between test positivity and risk factors; the remaining studies either did not perform the TST or did not calculate ORs for risk factors associated with test positivity. The age-adjusted ORs for TST and IGRA and occupational risk factors for relevant studies are compared in figure 5; all studies are from low- and moderate-incidence countries with the exception of the India study. All three tests correlated well with established indicators of occupational risk of TB exposure, although no test was consistently more often associated with these indicators of exposure. Being of foreign birth or having lived in a high TB incidence country was correlated with QFT positivity in four studies, and the only study to do this using the TSPOT.TB test—found no association with place of birth although there was an association for TST. From these cross-sectional studies, IGRA appear to be well correlated with TB infection risk factors (including occupational risk factors) in HCWs in low- and intermediate-incidence countries.
Table 1  Characteristics of 34 cross-sectional IGRA studies in HCWs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TST (PPD dose)</th>
<th>IGRA (QFT, TSPOT.TB or both)</th>
<th>BCG vaccinated (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High TB incidence countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pai et al</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>1 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drobniewski et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lien et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate and low TB incidence countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harada et al</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>2.5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozekinci et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5 IU</td>
<td>T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veeer et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soborg et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niehaus et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niehaus et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtskhulava et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotta et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>3 TU</td>
<td>QFT-TB-2G</td>
<td>92% (48% with &gt;1 BCG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niehaus et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciaschetti et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eum et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-GIT</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5 IU</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barsigian et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stebler et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thijssen et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>19*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT and T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demkov et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schablon et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>52.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorman et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT and T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>12†</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinton et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>10 IU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zrinski Topic et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khanna et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvarez-Leon et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casas et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>T-SPOT.TB &amp; QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5 PPD</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girardi et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>5 IU</td>
<td>T-SPOT.TB and QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummings et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two studies based on same cohort, ERJ data displayed in table.
† All subjects were TST converters at recruitment.

Longitudinal serial testing IGRA studies and their results

IGRA conversion and reversion rates in HCW populations in high-incidence countries

We identified only two serial testing studies from high-incidence settings. Studies conducted repeat testing at 0, 6 and 12 months, and 0 and 18 months (table 2). The rates of IGRA conversions from these two studies ranged from 11.6% to 21%. The study by Pai et al was the only study to calculate the TST conversion rate and found a 4% rate after 18 months. Pai et al also found conversions rates varied for both the TST and the QFT-G test.

Table 2  Characteristics of eight longitudinal and serial testing IGRA studies in HCWs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TST (PPD dose)</th>
<th>IGRA (QFT, TSPOT.TB or both)</th>
<th>Timing between repeat testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High TB incidence countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pai et al</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>1 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshi et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>0, 6 and 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate and low TB incidence countries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollock et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT and T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>1–7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zverling et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshiyama et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>2 and 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al, ICHE</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belknap et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>5 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT and T-SPOT.TB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>1–2.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringshausen et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>2 TU</td>
<td>QFT-G-IT</td>
<td>18 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCW, healthcare worker; IGRA, interferon-gamma release assay; IU, international unit; PPD, purified protein derivative; QFT, QuantiFERON test; QFT-G, QFT Gold test; QFT-G-IT, QFT Gold In-Tube test; TB, tuberculosis; TST, tuberculin skin test; TU, tuberculin unit.
IGRA when different cut-offs were used. Neither study reported data to suggest that IGRA conversions were better associated with TB exposure than TST conversions.

Reversion rates in the study by Joshi et al ranged from 27% in the first 6-month period to 40% in the second 6-month period.58 Pai et al reported 18-month IGRA reversion rates around 7% among baseline concordant positives, but up to 70% among those with discordant baseline results (ie, TST+/C0+/IGRA+).15

IGRA and TST conversion and reversion rates in HCW populations in low-incidence countries

Four studies have been recently published in this area, while two others were presented at conferences in 2009 and 2010 (table 2).59–64 As summarised in table 3, conversion rates ranged from 1.8% (5/277) in Japan60 (testing every 2 years) to 14.4% (21/146) in Korea62 (testing every year). A study by Lee et al62 was the only one to report a higher TST conversion rate than IGRA conversion rate (21% vs 14%). Yoshiyama et al in Japan60 and Pollock et al in the USA64 found IGRA conversions were associated with TB exposure; however, neither performed repeat TST. Belknap et al found IGRA conversion rates were associated with older age and male gender but not occupational exposure to patients with active TB.65 In the only published study to examine the association of IGRA and TST conversions with exposure to TB,62 occupational TB exposure was not associated with TST or IGRA conversions.

Three studies from low- and moderate-incidence settings including Japan,60 Canada59 and the USA reported IGRA reversion rates of 40–52.9%.63 In the Japanese study, where participants were tested at baseline, at 2 years and at 4 years, all reversions had initial interferon-gamma values close to the cut-off.59 This study also showed that QFT conversion was associated with working in a TB ward. A study from Canada found half of the subjects with discordant baseline results (QFT+/TST−) reverted to negative QFT at 1 year. TST was not repeated if the participant was TST+ so these studies were not able to estimate TST reversions.

The two most recent serial testing publications in HCWs confirm these early findings. Ringshausen et al in Germany reported a large reversion rate (6/18, 33%) while only 3/162 (1.9%) reported IGRA conversions and demonstrated that, when continuous IGRA results were employed, higher baseline results were more stable than those closer to the cut-off.21 Costa et al found conversion rates of 3.6–11% and reversion rates of 5.2–22% depending on the cut-off used. Using simple negative to positive changes for reversions or conversions always gave the largest estimates (11% and 22%, respectively).

Overall, serial testing data from low-incidence countries suggest that IGRA results vary greatly during serial testing, and rates of conversions and reversions vary depending on the test used and the cut-off definition used. When simple negative/positive changes are used as cut-offs, IGRA had higher rates of reversions and conversions which were frequently higher than the TST. Owing to the limited number of studies evaluating conversions and a relationship between exposure, there are no data to show that IGRA are better at identifying the incidence of new TB infection than the TST.

Figure 3 Cross-sectional studies in countries with a high incidence of tuberculosis (N=2). QFT, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube; TST, tuberculin skin test.

Figure 4 Cross-sectional studies comparing tuberculin skin test (TST) and interferon-gamma release assays (IGRAs) in countries with a low and intermediate incidence of tuberculosis (N=24) in order of increasing proportion of BCG vaccinated. QFT, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube.

*proportion BCG vaccinated was not reported for these studies
TST positivity was computed using the TST cut-point used by authors of the primary studies.
When results for multiple cut-points were presented, data for TST cut-point 10mm was displayed.
Information on secondary outcomes including cost-effectiveness, feasibility and implementation are available in the online supplement.

DISCUSSION

While the TST has been successfully used in TBIC programmes, the availability and growing use of IGRAAs raises the issue of whether IGRAAs could replace the TST for screening of HCWs. Given the repeated nature of routine screening, there are particular issues which may not be relevant in routine practice or contact investigations but become very important when contemplating serial screening. These include test reproducibility, performance of IGRA when repeated frequently, interpretation of discordant TST and IGRA results and the interferon-gamma thresholds (cut-off values) which most accurately distinguish new TB infection (ie, conversion) from random variation. Despite the paucity of data on the above critical issues, some countries such as the USA have recommended the use of IGRAAs for HCW screening.9 In contrast, other guidelines (eg, Canada, Australia, UK)17,66 have been cautious. In June 2010 the US Centers for Disease Control (CDC) released an updated guideline on IGRAAs.69 This guideline is more cautious about serial testing with IGRAAs and emphasises that using a ‘lenient criterion to define IGRA conversion might produce more conversions than are observed with the more stringent criteria applied to TSTs. Furthermore, an association between an IGRA conversion and subsequent disease risk has
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Figure 5 Occupational exposure to TB as a risk factor for tuberculin skin test (TST) and interferon-gamma release assay (IGRA) positivity: comparison of multivariate ORs. QFT, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube.
not been demonstrated. The criteria for interpreting changes in an IGRA that identify new infections remain uncertain. Likewise, the most recent 2010 Canadian guidelines also called for caution in the use of IGRA in serial testing. In December 2010, the WHO released a STAG report including recommendations which discourage the use of IGRA, “for the detection of latent TB infection (LTBI) in adults, children, health-care workers, contacts and those involved in outbreak investigations in low-income and middle-income countries.” This move towards more cautious guidelines in the domain of serial testing is supported by findings in our review, and reinforces the need for an addendum to existing guidelines on IGRA that specifically address issues related to interpretation of conversions and reversions.

Our systematic review provides several useful insights into the performance of IGRA in HCWs. The observed prevalence of LTBI in HCWs depends on the test used and the particular TB incidence setting. In low-incidence countries IGRA estimates a significantly lower prevalence of LTBI than the TST. Some attribute such discordance to the higher specificity of IGRA compared with the TST and, indeed, agreement and reproducibility of IGRA is moderate and a previous TST can potentially boost subsequent IGRA results. IGRA are dynamic assays and interferon-gamma values tend to fluctuate around the cut-off and cause apparent conversions and reversions. The exact cause of the reversions and conversions remains unclear, and might indicate spontaneous clearance of TB infection, reinfection or dynamic changes within the spectrum of latent TB infection. Conversion rates are highest when a simple negative to positive change is used to define a conversion. This is also true for reversion rate where a simple positive to negative change is used as the definition. Our review suggests that this is true in both high- and low-incidence settings and has implications for deciding on criteria (cut-offs) for conversions and reversions. Alternatives to a simple negative to positive definition for conversion have been proposed by others, including definitions involving an absolute increase over baseline (similar to the TST), a proportionate increase over baseline or a proposed ‘grey zone’. These more stringent definitions may lead to smaller rates of conversions and reversions; however, it remains to be seen which conversion definition will be most strongly associated with TB exposure or subsequent disease development.

Studies found good correlation between occupational risk factors and positivity rates, but very few studies have looked at an association between IGRA test conversion and known occupational exposure or progression to disease. Without these, the interpretation and prognosis of conversions and reversions remains unclear.

**Strengths and limitations of the review**

Our systematic review had several strengths. We employed a comprehensive search strategy using multiple sources and databases to retrieve relevant studies, including unpublished studies and conference proceedings. Two review authors independently assessed eligible articles for inclusion. Owing to heterogeneity in study designs and outcomes assessed in each study, it was not appropriate to pool the data, and instead we analysed study results in subgroups by study design and by background TB incidence.
Despite the comprehensive search, we may have missed relevant studies and publication bias is always a concern. Furthermore, very few studies reported prevalence of HIV among their study populations. Lastly, there is lack of evidence at the highest level of the hierarchy of reference standards; a majority of the included studies were cross-sectional and predominantly from low TB incidence settings. Serial testing data, evidence on predictive value in HCWs and reproducibility data are still quite limited.

Research directions and implications
Until further evidence is available, TBIC programmes that include IGRA testing must use caution, as emphasised by recent guidelines will need to be updated with specific definitions on interpretation of serial testing results.
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