

## REFERENCES

1. **Milburn H**, Ashman N, Davies P, *et al*. British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee and Joint Tuberculosis Committee. Guidelines for the prevention and management of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection and disease in adult patients with chronic kidney disease. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:559–70.
2. **Passalent L**, Khan K, Richardson R, *et al*. Detecting latent tuberculosis infection in hemodialysis patients: a head-to-head comparison of the T-SPOT.TB test, tuberculin skin test, and an expert physician panel. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007;**2**:68–73.
3. **Winthrop KL**, Nyendak M, Calvet H, *et al*. Interferon-gamma release assays for diagnosing mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in renal dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;**3**:1357–63.
4. **Inoue T**, Nakamura T, Katsuma A, *et al*. The value of QuantiFERON TB-Gold in the diagnosis of tuberculosis among dialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;**24**:2252–7.

## CORRESPONDENCE

## Authors' response

We thank Dr Connell and colleagues for their interesting letter in response to the 2010 British Thoracic Society guidelines for the management of tuberculosis infection and disease in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD),<sup>1</sup> and for demonstrating their recent experience with both commercially available interferon- $\gamma$  release assays (IGRA) and the Mantoux tuberculin skin test (TST) in a group of patients with CKD who had been exposed to tuberculosis. This is a welcome addition to the literature which currently remains sparse in this patient group, particularly in the UK.

We note the disappointingly poor completion of the TST (in only 48%) and subsequent reduction in positive TST responses. We can only assume that the patients, who were initially inpatients at the time of contact, subsequently dispersed to be managed in satellite clinics. In the past we have managed this problem by teaching patients and their carers to read the TST and have followed this up with a telephone call 48 h after administration of the Mantoux test. While not ideal, this has worked well for similar patients who live a considerable distance from a centre (H Milburn, unpublished data 2009).

It is interesting that Connell and colleagues did not find any association of any of the three tests with length of exposure to the index case, as suggested in other studies for the IGRA tests but not the TST.<sup>2</sup> It is possible that larger numbers would be needed to demonstrate such an association. This study also described the performance of the three tests in a contact tracing situation, so the numbers tested have depended on the numbers thought to have had significant contact with a particular index case.

We are only aware of two published studies on the relative use of all three of

these tests in screening<sup>3 4</sup> (as opposed to contact with a known index case) in patients receiving haemodialysis, which is important for the management of patients with CKD, particularly before transplantation.<sup>1</sup> Both publications favoured the IGRA tests over the TST in this patient group, but also identified limitations with these tests. There is also one large multicentre study in immunocompromised patients currently underway across Europe, and this includes groups of patients with CKD as well as those with solid organ transplants (Tuberculosis Network European Clinical Trials Group). It is hoped that this study will report next year and will give us definitive data on the relative merits of each of the IGRA tests as well as the TST in this complex group of patients.

**Heather Milburn,<sup>1</sup> Neil Ashman,<sup>2</sup> Peter Davies,<sup>3</sup> on behalf of the BTS Guidelines Group for TB in Renal Patients**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Renal Medicine, Barts and the London NHS Trust, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, Liverpool Hospitals Trust, Liverpool, UK

**Correspondence** to Dr Heather Milburn, Chest Clinic, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK; [heather.milburn@gstt.nhs.uk](mailto:heather.milburn@gstt.nhs.uk)

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 31 August 2010  
Published Online First 14 October 2010

*Thorax* 2011;**66**:730.  
doi:10.1136/thx.2010.150102

## REFERENCES

1. **Milburn HJ**, Ashman N, Davies, *et al*. Guidelines for the prevention and management of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection and disease in adult patients with chronic kidney disease. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:559–70.
2. **Winthrop KL**, Nyendak M, Calvet H, *et al*. Interferon-gamma release assays for diagnosing mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in renal dialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;**3**:1357–63.
3. **Triverio PA**, Bridevaux PO, Roux-Lombard P, *et al*. Interferon-gamma release assays versus tuberculin skin testing for detecting of latent tuberculosis in chronic haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009;**24**:2186–9.
4. **Chung WK**, Zheng ZL, Sung JY, *et al*. Validity of interferon-gamma-release assays for the diagnosis of latent tuberculosis in haemodialysis patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009;**16**:960–5.

## Eosinophils best marker of steroid response

There are important aspects of the study design that cast doubt on the claim of Cowan *et al* that 'modified responses' to corticosteroids occur in patients with non-eosinophilic asthma.<sup>1</sup>

First, the population recruited was more likely to include patients who experienced loss of control of their asthma after steroid withdrawal than those who remained stable or improved. This increases the potential for regression to the mean as well as identifying a particularly steroid-responsive population. Secondly, it is not possible to make any firm claims about the efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids in either population as the intervention was not placebo controlled. In the only placebo-controlled trial, Berry *et al*<sup>2</sup> showed no evidence of a response to inhaled corticosteroids in patients with non-eosinophilic asthma.

A more reasonable interpretation of the authors' findings is that there is a much greater response to re-introduction of inhaled corticosteroids in patients classified as eosinophilic compared with non-eosinophilic. This reinforces the view that the presence of sputum eosinophilia is a strong predictor of steroid responsiveness. The apparent relationship between the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FE<sub>NO</sub>) and improvement in airway responsiveness after re-introduction of inhaled steroids in the non-eosinophilic patients is interesting. One possible explanation is that an increased FE<sub>NO</sub> is an early marker of returning eosinophilic airway inflammation. The concept that non-eosinophilic asthma can be subclassified into a group that is non-eosinophilic as a result of treatment and a group where eosinophilic inflammation is not a component of the disease is supported by a recent study investigating the presence of eosinophilic proteins in airway macrophages.<sup>3</sup>

**Neil Martin,<sup>1</sup> Chris E Brightling,<sup>1</sup> Ian D Pavord<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Lung Health, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK; <sup>2</sup>Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK

**Correspondence** to Neil Martin, Institute for Lung Health, Glenfield Hospital, Groby Road, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK; [nmartin@doctors.org.uk](mailto:nmartin@doctors.org.uk)

**Competing interests** None.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 17 July 2010  
Published Online First 23 September 2010

*Thorax* 2011;**66**:730.  
doi:10.1136/thx.2010.144592

## REFERENCES

1. **Cowan DC**, Cowan JO, Palmay R, *et al*. Effects of steroid therapy on inflammatory cell subtypes in asthma. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:384–90.
2. **Berry M**, Morgan A, Shaw DE, *et al*. Pathological features and inhaled corticosteroid response of eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic asthma. *Thorax* 2007;**62**:1043–9.
3. **Kulkarni NS**, Hollins F, Sutcliffe A, *et al*. Eosinophil protein in airway macrophages: a novel biomarker of eosinophilic inflammation in patients with asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;**126**:61–9.e3.

## Authors' response

We are grateful to Dr Martin *et al* for their comments, and accept that our study had

THORAX

## Authors' response

Heather Milburn, Neil Ashman and Peter Davies

*Thorax* 2011 66: 730 originally published online October 14, 2010  
doi: 10.1136/thx.2010.150102

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://thorax.bmj.com/content/66/8/730.1>

---

### References

*These include:*

This article cites 4 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://thorax.bmj.com/content/66/8/730.1#BIBL>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

### Notes

---

To request permissions go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:  
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:  
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>