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ABSTRACT

In this report, we detail the results of the 2010 BTS national pleural procedures audit, to which 58 hospitals covering a collective population of more than 20 million patients contributed data regarding local pleural procedure practice and training policies and the process and complications associated with a total of 824 chest drain insertions. The results highlight a promising increase in the use of real time ultrasound guidance for pleural procedures but also deficiencies in pre-procedure consent practice and a significant rate of avoidable minor complications such as drain fall-out and procedure related pain. Action points for improvement to local pleural procedure practice are suggested.

BACKGROUND

Recent reports of complications associated with chest drain insertion in the UK have contributed to a sea change in opinion regarding the management of pleural disease.1 2 This national audit, which is the greatest part relates to chest drain insertion practice, was carried out prior to the publication of the 2010 British Thoracic Society (BTS) pleural disease guidelines.3 It was conducted with the key objective of establishing current practice and policies regarding chest drain insertion in the UK with an emphasis on complication rates, level of respiratory team involvement in patient care, use of thoracic ultrasound, frequency of out of hours (OOH) procedures and the training of junior doctors.

AUDIT DESIGN

The audit was open to all hospitals in the UK.

The 2-month audit period ran from 1 June to 31 July 2010 with a further 10-week period for data entry to the online BTS audit tool system.

Three sections gathered data regarding (1) local policies for pleural procedures, thoracic ultrasound and chest drain insertion training; (2) burden of pleural disease cases and procedures; and (3) detailed records for all chest drain insertions performed for medical patients.

Prospective case identification with retrospective data entry was encouraged.

RESULTS

Fifty-eight hospitals, covering a collective patient population of >20 million, contributed adequate data for inclusion (Supplementary appendix WA 1). The minority of participating respiratory departments had a specialist pleural service, with 12 (20%) running a dedicated pleural clinic, 11 (19%) having access to onsite thoracic surgery and 18 (31%) delivering physician-led thoracoscopy.

Chest drain insertions

Of 867 records submitted, 824 fulfilled inclusion criteria (585 drains for pleural effusion and 239 for pneumothorax).

Eighty per cent of drains were inserted by the Seldinger technique and 83% for those where size was documented were of small bore (6–14F).

Procedure consent. Consent was taken for 549 (67%); written in 271 (33%) and verbal in 278 (35%). There was no documented evidence of consent in 257 (31%) and no data entered for 18 (2%). Drain insertion for pneumothorax appears to be associated with less reliable consent practice; written in only 24% and no evidence of consent at all in 40% of procedures in this series.

Location and timing. The patient bedside remains the most common location for chest drain insertion (48%) overall, although drains for pneumothorax were most often placed in the Emergency Department (41%). While most drains overall were inserted within daytime working hours, 56% for pneumothorax were placed OOH (Supplementary appendix WA 2).

Complications (table 1). The most serious possible complications were rare in this series, with no instances of organ trauma and one death (mechanism unclear). Patient-reported pain was common both during (4.1%) and following (18%) drain insertion, and is significantly more frequent with drains placed for pneumothorax (Fisher exact test p<0.01). When drains fell out (7.3%), 22/60 (37%) patients underwent another drain insertion and a further 3/42 effusion patients had pleurodesis deferred until fluid re-accumulation. A drain blockage rate of 8.5% among pleural effusions was associated with regular flushing in only 110/585 (19%) of drains and 42/101 (42%) of drains for pleural infection.

Specialist care. A total of 62% patients were nursed on specialist respiratory wards. The medical respiratory team was involved with inpatient management of 78% of patients and 80% were reviewed by a respiratory consultant during their admission. A member of the respiratory team performed or supervised drain insertion in 423/824 (51%) cases overall but only in 90/239 (38%) of drains for pneumothorax.

Drains for pneumothorax. A total of 102 drains were placed for secondary spontaneous...
Drains for pleural effusions


A majority of respiratory departments had at least one ultrasound machine (45/58 (77%). In 34 of 58 departments at least one member of the respiratory team was trained to level 1 competence (Supplementary appendix WA 4) with 27 departments having ≥1 consultant and 25 departments having ≥1 trainee who has been formally accredited in thoracic ultrasound. There was appropriate real-time ultrasound guidance for 52% of procedures. The remote X-marks the spot approach (carrying no advantage over a blind procedure and potentially being falsely reassuring) was employed for 17% of drains for fluid (Supplementary appendix WA 5).

### Thoracic ultrasound

A majority of respiratory departments had at least one ultrasound machine (45/58 (77%). In 34 of 58 departments at least one member of the respiratory team was trained to level 1 competence (Supplementary appendix WA 4) with 27 departments having ≥1 consultant and 25 departments having ≥1 trainee who has been formally accredited in thoracic ultrasound. There was appropriate real-time ultrasound guidance for 52% of procedures. The remote X-marks the spot approach (carrying no advantage over a blind procedure and potentially being falsely reassuring) was employed for 17% of drains for fluid (Supplementary appendix WA 5).

### Chest drain insertion training

Formal training in chest drain insertion (didactic lectures and/or simulated practice sessions) is available to F2-ST2 trainees in 44/58 (76%) participating hospitals. A smaller proportion offer training to ST3+/SFR level trainees (21/58 (36%)).

### CONCLUSION

These results reflect significant scope for improvement in preprocedure consent practice, rate of ‘minor’ complications relating to poor drain insertion technique and excessive use of chest drains for patients with undiagnosed effusions and small or primary pneumothorax where initial aspiration may have been preferable. Adherence to guidelines, consent practice and incidence of procedure-related pain are inferior for drains placed for pneumothorax which are more likely to be inserted OOH, in the Emergency Department and without respiratory team input. There is a promising trend towards the use of real-time ultrasound guidance for drains placed for fluid, with many physicians training in the imaging technique.
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### Table 1  Complications of chest drain insertion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All drains (n = 824)</th>
<th>Drains for pneumothorax (n = 239)</th>
<th>Drains for pleural effusion (n = 585)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immediate complications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>11 (1.3%)</td>
<td>3 (1.3%)</td>
<td>8 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>34 (4.1%)</td>
<td>17 (7.1%)</td>
<td>17 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasovagal syncope</td>
<td>17 (2.1%)</td>
<td>5 (2.1%)</td>
<td>12 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ puncture</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to place drain in pleural space</td>
<td>20 (2.4%)</td>
<td>9 (3.8%)</td>
<td>11 (1.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic pneumothorax</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delayed complications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain fell out</td>
<td>60 (7.3%)</td>
<td>19 (8%)</td>
<td>41 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>148 (18%)</td>
<td>59 (25%)</td>
<td>89 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain blocked</td>
<td>61 (7.4%)</td>
<td>11 (4.6%)</td>
<td>50 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural space infection</td>
<td>6 (0.7%)</td>
<td>3 (1.3%)</td>
<td>3 (0.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>7 (0.8%)</td>
<td>2 (0.8%)</td>
<td>5 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical emphysema</td>
<td>28 (3.4%)</td>
<td>24 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-expansion pulmonary oedema</td>
<td>3 (0.36%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (0.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1 (0.12%)</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Action points

- Reduce number of avoidable drains inserted, particularly in patients with new or undiagnosed pleural effusions and small or primary pneumothorax.
- Written consent should be taken for all drain insertions.
- Address common complications of procedure-related pain and drain fall out rate with emphasis on optimum insertion and fixation techniques within formal training programmes.
- Aim for all drains for fluid to be placed with real-time ultrasound guidance, avoiding the remote X marks the spot technique.
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