- 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet* 2005:**366**:1267—78
- Schouten O, Boersma E, Hoeks SE, et al. Fluvastatin and perioperative events in patients undergoing vascular surgery. N Engl J Med 2009;361:980—9.
- Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, et al. Inflammation, pravastatin, and the risk of coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Investigators. Circulation 1998;98:839—44.

Diagnosing lung cancer earlier in the UK

We read with interest the article by Hubbard et al who raise late diagnosis as a key determinant of poor lung cancer survival in the UK.1 We would like to highlight discrepancies in rates of radical treatment use as another contributory factor. Figures from the Eurocare 4 study² suggest 5-year survival figures in Northern Ireland have been higher than in England and Wales or Scotland (table 1).²⁻⁴ This could reflect differences in patient demographics, disease, method of recording or treatment modality. There are no published data that directly compare the health or the stage at presentation of patients with lung cancer in relation to geographical location in the UK. If significant regional differences exist, one might expect to find evidence for differential survival from other cancers as well. Current data do not suggest a survival advantage for cancer patients in general from Northern Ireland over the rest of the UK.

Data on cancer survival in Northern Ireland are collected by the Northern Ireland Cancer Registry (NICR), a population-based registry collecting data from pathological records, hospital discharges and death registrations. Over the last decade the introduction of LUCADA in England and Wales, and CaPPS in Northern Ireland have made it possible to look for regional differences in cancer treatment. In Northern Ireland the number of patients included in CaPPS exceeds that recorded in recent years by the cancer registry. This would suggest most patients have been captured. One-year survival is likely to be influenced by palliative treatments, but 5-year survival is largely influenced by radical treatments, of which surgery is the mainstay. The use of radical radiotherapy may also have some influence, but comparative data are not available. We looked at the surgical resection rate and 5-year relative survival rate for lung cancer in Northern Ireland and compared them with those published for England, Wales and Scotland. $^{2-4}$

Reported rates of surgical resection in all areas of Northern Ireland in 2004–08 exceeded the average for England and Wales for 2008. We believe this may offer a plausible reason for better 5-year survival differences and may be worthy of further study. Regional differences in lung cancer treatment are not new and are as yet unexplained. Addressing the remediable differences in appropriate use of radical treatment in the UK may offer a more immediate potential improvement in lung cancer survival than early diagnosis.

M Weir, W J A Anderson, Eoin Murtagh, Ronan Donnelly, Julian Leggett, Jason Wieboldt

¹Department of Respiratory medicine, Antrim Area Hospital, UK; ²School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Sciences, Queens University Belfast, UK; ³Department of Respiratory medicine, Causeway Hospital, UK

Correspondence to Dr M Weir, Antrim Area Hospital, 45 Bush Rd, Antrim BT41 2RL, UK; mark79weir@gmail.com

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 17 September 2010 Published Online First 15 November 2010

Thorax 2011:66:356. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.151415

REFERENCES

- Richard BH, David RB. Diagnosing lung cancer earlier in the UK. Thorax 2010;65:756—8.
- Berino F, De Angelis R, Sant M, et al. Survival for eight major cancers and all cancers combined for European adults diagnosed in 1995—99: results of the EUROCARE-4 study. Lancet Oncology 2007;8:773—83.
- 3. National Lung Cancer audit. NHS information centre 2009.
- Beattie G, Bannon F, McGuigan J, et al. Lung Cancer resection rates have increased significantly in females during a 15-year period. Eur J Cardiothoracic Surg 2010.
- Jack RH, Gulliford MC, Ferguson J, et al. Geographical inequalities in lung cancer management and survival in South East England: evidence of variation in access to oncology services? Br J Cancer 2004;91:1852.

Authors' response

In our editorial we argue that, as a group, people with lung cancer in the UK present later and have a worse prognosis than people with lung cancer elsewhere in Europe. We believe that delays in the early diagnostic process are central to this problem. At the

Table 1 A comparison of regional surgical resection rate and 5 year survival

	Total number of lung cancers	Surgical resection rate	1995—99 mean age adjusted 5 year relative survival (SD)*
UK England	27815	10.8	8.6 (0.2)
UK Wales 2008			9.0 (0.9)
UK Scotland 2008	4058	10.6	8.0 (0.5)
UK Northern Ireland 2004-08	4786	12.8	10.2 (1.2)

^{*}Difference in 5 year relative survival for cancers diagnosed between 1995-99 and 1990-94.

moment, however, we do not understand enough about the early patient journey from the development of symptoms to initial investigations in primary care to try to intervene to improve the situation. We need to do this if we are to maximise the benefits of currently available treatments such as surgery. We believe that this is an area in urgent need of further research.

Weir et al make the point that that there is variation in the outcome for people with lung cancer within the UK and that the reasons for this are poorly understood. In addition to delays in diagnosis, Weir et al argue that access to potentially curative surgical treatment may vary geographically and that this, though currently only a treatment option for a small minority of people, may also contribute to variations in survival. We agree.

It is clear that, in addition to understanding the cultural and health service factors which appear to lead to delays in lung cancer diagnosis in the UK, we also need to be sure that, once we have diagnosed lung cancer, people within the UK receive the highest quality of care. To do this we need to determine the extent to which variations in access to care exist, as well as what individual factors—such as comorbidity, performance status and stageinfluence treatment decisions for people with lung cancer. The presence of the National Lung Cancer Audit, which now provides more than 5 years of data for people with lung cancer in the UK, is an important and unique tool to do this research. We hope that the analyses using the national audit which are currently being done by us and by other groups will help to shed some light on these questions.

Lung cancer remains an enormous public healthcare problem for the UK and we desperately need new effective treatments for people with lung cancer. We also need to study the diagnostic processes and the delivery of care for people with lung cancer to ensure that, at each stage, we maximise the benefits from the currently available treatments.

Richard Hubbard, David Baldwin

University of Nottingham, Division of Respiratory Medicine, Nottingham, UK

Correspondence to Richard Hubbard, University of Nottingham, Division of Respiratory Medicine, Clinical Sciences Building, City Hospital, Hucknall Road, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK; richard.hubbard@nottingham.ac.uk

Funding University of Nottingham.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 11 October 2010 Published Online First 15 November 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:356—357. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.153064