LETTERS

Mepolizumab in refractory eosinophilic asthma

In a recent Lung Alert reviewing our study of mepolizumab in severe eosinophilic asthma,1 Barratt states that the study population represented a minority of patients with asthma and that they had corticosteroid-resistant disease.2 These comments require clarification. While we accept that the population studied by us represents about 3% of the total asthma population, it was 30% of patients with refractory asthma, a population with the greatest unmet need for new treatment. In contrast, only 13% of this population met criteria set out by the manufacturer for treatment with omalizumab, and only a minority of these meet NICE criteria for use of the agent.

Our patients were corticosteroid resistant in the sense that they continued to have morbidity despite high-dose inhaled corticosteroids and, in many cases, oral prednisolone. However, many responded well to higher-dose oral prednisone, and a post hoc analysis showed that those who did tended to do better with mepolizumab treatment (table 1). In contrast, there was a poorer response to mepolizumab in patients with marked bronchodilator reversibility. The clear implication is that mepolizumab works best in patients who have airflow limitation and symptoms as a result of corticosteroid-resistant airflow inflammation rather than airflow smooth muscle contraction. Interestingly, the exact opposite relationship between acute bronchodilator response and clinical efficacy has recently been reported for treatment with anti-tumour necrosis factor,3 a treatment which targets airflow smooth muscle function but not eosinophilic airflow inflammation.4 It therefore appears that the population that does well with these different anti-cytokine strategies can be recognised by identifying the mechanism of airflow limitation.

We believe that clinicians who see large numbers of patients with inflammatory airflow diseases will readily recognise patients with prednisolone-responsive bronchodilator-resistant airflow limitation and/or symptoms in their asthma, cough and COPD clinics. The challenge is that many of these patients have disease that is difficult to classify using current physiology-based classification systems. The optimum development of mepolizumab and other promising agents does require new and better ways of assessing and classifying inflammatory Airways disease.
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Table 1 Exacerbation numbers by tertile of response to prednisolone and salbutamol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in FEV1 after prednisolone (ml)</th>
<th>Mepolizumab Exacerbation no/patient/50 weeks</th>
<th>Placebo Exacerbation no/patient/50 weeks</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 220</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s. Exacerbation numbers per patient per 50 weeks in patients treated with mepolizumab (n = 29) and placebo (n = 32) for 50 weeks by tertile of response to prednisolone 0.5 mg/kg up to maximum of 40 mg/day given for 14 days and by tertile of response to inhaled salbutamol 200 μg. The response to prednisolone represents the change in post-bronchodilator FEV1 measured at the same time of day before and 1–2 h after the last dose of prednisolone. FEV1 was measured before and 20 min after inhaled salbutamol. The values in the table represent the improvement in FEV1 before prednisolone and before randomisation to mepolizumab or placebo.

Oxygen or ventilation during flight for patients with neuromuscular disease?

We read with great interest the paper by Mistry et al1 which analysed hypoxic challenge flight assessments in patients with restrictive disorders. In their study they dispute the current British Thoracic Society recommendations, demonstrating that, in this subgroup, all patients planning air travel should have a pre-flight evaluation because, even in patients with normal baseline oxygen saturation (SaO2), arterial oxygen tension (PaO2) can fall below 6.6 kPa during hypoxic challenge.

We wanted to establish whether patients with restrictive disorders (with no lung disease) should be ventilated rather than oxygenated when they are hypoaxemic during flights. In fact, as has been shown by Masa et al,2 only nasal ventilation and not oxygen can normalise baseline nocturnal alveolar hypoventilation in patients with chest wall diseases.

Our group has previously evaluated oxygenation during real flights in healthy subjects3 demonstrating a mean (SD) oxygen desaturation of 12.8 (6.5)% in long-distance flights (≥2 h) and 4.2 (2.6)% in short-distance flights (<2 h).

We have recently studied two patients with neuromuscular disease during a flight from Porto to Barcelona (duration approximately 1 h 50 min). The first patient was an ambulatory 35-year-old woman with mitochondrial myopathy with a vital capacity (VC) of 400 ml (14%) and the ability to walk 200 m. She was ventilated with a volume-cycled ventilator (mean tidal volume 1200 ml) through a 15 mm mouthpiece during the day and a nasal mask during sleep. The average SaO2 for the first patient was 97.9%, time with SaO2 <90% was 5.5 min and the minimum SaO2 was 81% (figure 1).

The second patient was a 50-year-old quadriplegic post-polio man with a VC of 560 ml (18%) and an MIP of 1110 ml (53%). Both patients were on continuous non-invasive ventilation (NIV) with a volume-cycled ventilator (mean tidal volume 1200 ml) through a 15 mm mouthpiece during the day and a nasal mask during sleep. The average SaO2 for the first patient was 97.1%, time with SaO2 <90% was 1.8 min and the minimum SaO2 was 81% (figure 1).

For the second patient, the average SaO2 was 97%, time with SaO2 <90% was 1.6 min and the minimum SaO2 was 84% (ventilator disconnection during micturition). The first patient needed to use a manual resuscitator connected to her mouthpiece to maintain adequate ventilation while the battery of her ventilator battery was being changed. Neither patient experienced respiratory distress during the entire flight and both returned home uneventfully.

In conclusion, when patients with restrictive disorders are correctly ventilated (even with a manual resuscitator) they may fly safely, with oxygen saturation profiles identical to healthy subjects, and may not need supplemental oxygen.
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Lung alert

Longevity of alveolar macrophages allow sustained in vivo expression of the human α1-antitrypsin gene and amelioration of emphysema

This study describes the transduction of mouse pulmonary alveolar macrophages using an intratracheally instilled lentiviral vector. The transferred genes were expressed in vivo for the duration of the lifespan of the mouse. Labelling studies using bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) revealed continued expression of the transgenes by alveolar macrophages present in the mouse lung at the time of infection, and not by those recruited after inoculation.

Using this method, a human α1-antitrypsin-expressing lentiviral vector (ET1αhAAT) was instilled into live immunocompetent mice. The result was stable and sustained secretion of human α1-antitrypsin protein primarily in the lung by alveolar macrophages augmenting murine α1-antitrypsin levels. A model of emphysema was induced in these mice and in a control group by intratracheal installation of porcine pancreatic elastase. The control group consisted of immunocompetent mice previously infected with a lentiviral-mediated reporter transgene (EF1αGDP). The effects of elastase on lung compliance, area-weighted mean alveolar diameter (an index of airspace enlargement in emphysema) and its heterogeneity were significantly less in the ET1αhAAT-expressing mice compared with the EF1αGDP group (all p<0.05).

This study demonstrates longevity of pulmonary alveolar macrophages in mice, and challenges previously held views that they are short lived. Lentiviral transduction to achieve prolonged therapeutic secretion of human α1-antitrypsin in vivo may make them a potential target cell population for application of gene therapy.
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Figure 1 Changes in oxygen saturation with an oximeter (Wristox 3100, Nonin Medical, Plymouth, Minnesota, USA) of a woman with mitochondrial myopathy on continuous non-invasive ventilation during a flight from Porto to Barcelona. Baseline oxygen saturation (SaO2) was 99%; after take-off (first arrow) there was a slight decrease to 97%. The first and second desaturation periods were due to eating and the third (second arrow) coincided with the disconnection of the ventilator (for battery change). At landing (third arrow) SaO2 returned to baseline values.
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