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ABSTRACT

Rationale Evidence regarding exercise capacity and physical activity in children born extremely preterm (EP) is limited. Since survivors remain at high risk for developing bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) and long-term pulmonary sequelae, reductions in exercise capacity and activity levels may be present.

Objectives To compare maximal exercise ventilation characteristics and physical activity levels at 11 years of age in children born EP (<25 completed weeks gestation) with those of full-term controls.

Methods Participants performed spirometry, body plethysmography and gas transfer testing. A peak exercise test was performed on a cycle ergometer. Physical activity was monitored by accelerometry for 7 days.

Results Lung function and exercise results were obtained in 38 EP children (71% prior BPD) and 38 controls. Those born EP had significantly lower Z-scores (mean [95% CI] of difference) for forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁; −1.74 (−2.25 to −1.23)) and gas transfer (−0.73 (−1.31 to −0.17)), and significantly greater Z-scores for residual volume (RV; 0.58 (0.10 to 1.10)) and RV/total lung capacity (TLC; 0.74 (0.29 to 1.19)). EP birth was associated with a significant reduction in peak oxygen consumption. EP children employed greater breathing frequencies and lower tidal volumes during peak exercise. No differences were observed in physical activity between groups.

Conclusions The reduction in peak oxygen consumption in children born EP, and alterations in ventilatory adaptations during peak exercise were not explained by differences in physical activity, but probably reflects the long-term pathophysiological impact of EP birth.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, survival rates for infants born extremely preterm (EP; ie, <25 completed weeks gestational age (GA)) have increased dramatically.¹ However, survivors remain at risk for development of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) and long-term pulmonary sequelae, with disrupted alveolar development reported in EP infants and those born small-for-gestational age.²⁻³ Despite this, our understanding of the consequences of preterm birth and any subsequent injury on life-long respiratory health is limited, and it remains unclear whether improved survival has been accompanied by increased impairment.

Several studies have focused on the exercise capacity of children born preterm,⁴⁻⁻¹⁵ but many of these data were collected prior to the introduction of modern perinatal care including antenatal steroids and exogenous surfactant. Up to date and adequately powered evidence is therefore required, which relates to the new generation of surviving EP children. There is also limited information regarding specific ventilatory responses such as relative changes in tidal volume or respiratory rate during peak exercise among this group.⁸⁻⁹ Such characteristics may be important in terms of exercise efficiency and tolerance, and in providing further insight into the pathophysiology of lung development in this group.

Similarly, little is known about the physical activity habits of children born preterm.¹⁴ Given that up to 50% of children with prior BPD are reportedly affected by exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB),¹⁵ reduced activity levels might be expected among preterm children. Any such inactivity may eventually lead to reductions in aerobic fitness, thereby increasing the likelihood of reaching ventilatory limitation at modest levels of exercise intensity. The typically unpleasant sensations of breathlessness associated with reaching such a limitation could act as a deterrent to exercise and initiate a self-limiting cycle of inactivity.

A better description of maximal exercise ventilation and physical activity habits among preterm children is required to determine whether deficits are present and whether such pursuits are indeed safe. Such information would further our knowledge with regard to long-term outcomes of preterm birth, and could ultimately lead to improvements in treatment and/or management with respect to physical conditioning programmes.

The primary aim of this study was to compare maximal exercise ventilation characteristics of children born EP with those of age-matched controls, to elucidate the influence of EP birth. Secondary aims were to better characterise physical activity habits among children born EP and to assess self-reported perceptions of exercise ability. We hypothesised that, when compared with full-term controls, children born EP would (1) have a reduced anaerobic threshold and peak oxygen consumption (peakVO₂); (2) demonstrate different exercise ventilation characteristics; (3) engage in less physical activity and; (4) have a reduced perception of exercise ability.

METHODS

Participants

The ‘EPICure’ study was designed to determine population-based data for children born at extremely low gestations.¹⁶ All children born at <25 completed weeks GA during 1995 in the UK and Republic of Ireland were identified and survivors...
recruited into a longitudinal follow-up study. Participants were initially seen at school between 10 and 11 years by a psychologist and paediatrician; assessments included spirometry and anthropometry. Classroom controls were selected on the basis of age, sex and ethnic group. Controls were ineligible if born preterm (<37 weeks GA), had been hospitalised for a respiratory complaint or had had pneumonia, tuberculosis (TB) or whooping cough. Asthma and atopy were not exclusion criteria. Index and control children whose parents gave written consent and who were living within reasonable travelling distance of London, were recruited. The recruitment process is summarised in the online supplement (OLS; figure E1) as are further comprehensive details on participants and methodology. Local research ethics committee approval was obtained.

### Anthropometry

Height was recorded without shoes to the nearest 0.1 cm using a fixed stadiometer (Harpden Stadiometer, Holtain Ltd, Dyfed, UK). Weight was measured in minimal clothing, without shoes, to the nearest 0.1 kg using digital scales (Tanita BWB 600, Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Height, weight, head circumference and body mass index (BMI, ie, weight/height²) were converted to Z-scores. 

### Pulmonary function testing and respiratory questionnaire

All lung function measurements were carried out according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) standards by two experienced respiratory physiologists (LW and JK), who were masked to birth status. Spirometry, static lung volumes and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) were measured using a Jaeger MasterScreen body plethysmograph (Jaeger v5.02, Würzburg, Germany). Doctor-diagnosed asthma, medication use and current respiratory symptoms including wheeze were determined by parental response to a modified version of the ISAAC questionnaire.

### Peak exercise test

Participants performed a symptom-limited incremental peak exercise test on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur, MedGraphics, Minnesota, USA). Maximum voluntary ventilation (MVV) was estimated as 35× forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁). Peak exercise values were averaged over the final 20 s of maximal work.

### Physical activity

To measure physical activity objectively, participants wore an ActiGraph GT1M accelerometer (ActiGraph, Fort Walton Beach, Florida, USA) for seven consecutive days during waking hours. Accelerometers were given to participants during their first visit to the Institute of Child Health (ICH) and were programmed to begin recording the following morning. In accordance with a recent accelerometer calibration study which focused on UK children of similar age, the lower threshold of moderate intensity activity was set at 3600 counts/min. Participants also completed a diary to document weekly activities including those not captured by the accelerometer (eg, swimming), and a 10 cm visual-analogue scale regarding exercise perception.

### Data management and analysis

Codes regarding birth status were released after data collection and analysis of lung function and exercise tests had been completed. Neonatal data from the EPICure cohort were used to determine which children had had BPD, defined as those requiring supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks postmenstrual age (PMA). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata Version 8.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA). Lung function results were expressed as Z-scores to adjust for height, sex and age. Unpaired t tests with 95% CIs were used to test if population means differed significantly. Since all physical activity variables and oxygen saturation levels were skewed, log₁₀ transformations were performed prior to analysis. To determine whether peakVO₂ (ml/min) was lower in EP children compared with controls after adjustment for body size, peakVO₂ and weight were log₁₀ transformed prior to analysis of variance (ANCOVA) and multivariable regression analysis. Log₁₀VO₂ was set as the dependent variable, with birth status (ie, EP/control) the grouping variable. Identical analyses were performed for anaerobic threshold.

Given that several potential determinants were only relevant to survivors of EP birth, separate multivariable regression models were also developed for the EP and control groups (see OLS). Lung function and physical activity variables initially underwent univariable analysis to determine their inclusion in the multivariable model. GA, birth weight, BPD, supplemental oxygen at 40 weeks PMA, head circumference at test, dyspnoea on exercise, cough during exercise, current wheeze and asthma diagnosis were adjusted for in the multivariable model for EP

### Table 1  Background characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Extremely preterm (EP) n = 38</th>
<th>Controls n = 38</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI) (EP–control)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females: n (%)</td>
<td>27 (71%)</td>
<td>23 (61%)</td>
<td>4 (−11% to 30%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD n (%)</td>
<td>27 (71%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA (weeks)*</td>
<td>25.0 (24.7−25.1)</td>
<td>40.0 (40.0−40.4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>740 (107)</td>
<td>3360 (527)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at test (years)</td>
<td>11.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>11.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (−0.04 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>142.4 (5.6)</td>
<td>145.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>−3.2 (−5.8 to −0.6)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height Z-score</td>
<td>−0.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.8)</td>
<td>−0.6 (−1.0 to −0.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>36.8 (8.5)</td>
<td>39.2 (6.7)</td>
<td>−2.4 (−5.9 to 1.1)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Z-score</td>
<td>−0.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.9)</td>
<td>−0.5 (−1.0 to −0.1)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>18.0 (3.1)</td>
<td>18.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>−0.4 (−1.7 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Z-score</td>
<td>−0.004 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.9)</td>
<td>−0.36 (−0.9 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (cm)</td>
<td>52.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>54.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>−1.5 (−2.6; −0.5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC Z-score</td>
<td>−1.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>−0.15 (1.15)</td>
<td>−1.15 (−1.94 to −0.40)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Current asthma diagnosis</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16 (3% to 34%)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented as median (IQR).
†Five EP children had a gestational age <24 completed weeks, 11 were ≥24 and <25 weeks, and 22 were ≥25 and <26 weeks.

Data are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise stated.

BMI, body mass index; BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; GA, gestational age; HC, head circumference; NA, not applicable.
Table 2 Comparison of pulmonary function in extremely preterm and control children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Extremely preterm (EP) n=38</th>
<th>Control n=38</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI) (EP−control)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 Z-score*</td>
<td>−1.64 (1.32)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.90)</td>
<td>−1.74 (−2.25 to −1.23)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25−75 Z-score*</td>
<td>−2.10 (1.3)</td>
<td>−0.51 (1.1)</td>
<td>−1.59 (−2.12 to −1.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC Z-score*</td>
<td>−0.89 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.97)</td>
<td>−1.14 (−1.6 to −0.67)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCO Z-score†</td>
<td>−2.15 (1.11)</td>
<td>−1.14 (1.15)</td>
<td>−0.73 (−1.31 to −0.17)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLco Z-score†</td>
<td>−0.11 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.70)</td>
<td>−0.94 (−1.41 to −0.43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV Z-score†</td>
<td>1.31 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.10 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC Z-score†</td>
<td>0.23 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.52)</td>
<td>−0.18 (−0.43 to 0.06)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC Z-score‡</td>
<td>1.13 (0.94)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.29 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean (SD).
DLco, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FEF25−75, forced midexpiratory flow rate; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; KCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide corrected for alveolar volume; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

RESULTS
Participants
Eighty-three children (64% female, 43 EP, of whom 28 (65%) had prior BPD) participated in this study. Thirty-four (89%) EP children received surfactant as neonates, 25 (66%) received antenatal steroids and 23 (61%) received systemic postnatal steroids over a median 21 days (IQR 14−50). One EP child was too small (<120 cm) for the cycle ergometer and six children (5 males, 4 EP) did not fulfil the set criteria for a peak exercise test due to submaximal effort, their results were therefore excluded. This left comparative data in 38 EP children (71% with prior BPD), and 38 controls. Success rates for exercise testing were similar in girls (94%) and boys (87%). Table 1 summarises background characteristics for those with successful exercise tests.

The groups were well matched for sex and age at test. Those born EP were significantly shorter and had lower weight, height and head circumference at test than full-term controls. There were no differences in the time of test in height, weight, BMI or head circumference when comparing EP children with and without BPD. Height and weight Z-scores for the entire EP school cohort (mean (SD): −0.4 (1.0) and −0.4 (1.3), respectively) and the proportion of females tested in school (64%) were comparable with the EP subgroup undergoing exercise testing, as were neonatal characteristics (proportion of EP children with BPD, GA and birth weight (table 1). FEV1 Z-scores for the entire EP school cohort (mean (SD): −1.4 (1.2)) were also similar to those in the subgroup recruited for exercise testing (−1.6 (1.3)) (table 2).28

Lung function
Pulmonary function results are summarised in table 2. Lung function was significantly impaired in those born EP, as shown by lower Z-scores for FEV1, forced midexpiratory flow rate (FEF25−75), forced vital capacity (FVC), DLco and carbon monoxide transfer coefficient (KCO), with higher Z-scores for residual volume (RV) and the RV/TLC (total lung capacity) ratio. EP children with prior BPD had a significantly lower TLC Z-score (mean difference (95% CI) (−0.36 (−0.68 to −0.06)), FEV1 Z-score (−1.0 (−1.9 to −0.09)) and FVC Z-score (−0.82 (−1.15 to −0.51)), when compared with EP children without BPD.

Peak exercise performance
Baseline and peak exercise results are summarised in table 3. Five EP children and two control children (all with current asthma) received a bronchodilator prior to exercise. At baseline, EP children had significantly lower tidal volumes (Vts), higher breathing frequency and were using more of their ventilatory reserve compared with controls. At peak exercise, children born EP had significantly lower oxygen consumption (peakVO2), anaerobic threshold (AT), minute ventilation (Vt), Vt and oxygen pulse (VO2/heart beat), and ∼20% lower workload compared with controls. The EP group also showed greater peak breathing frequencies and used more of their ventilatory reserve (Vt/MVV). In contrast, there were minimal differences in the remaining outcome variables between the groups (table 5). No child desaturated below 96%. Compared with controls, the EP group had significantly smaller changes in VO2, Vt, VE and oxygen pulse, and larger changes in Vt/MVV between baseline and peak exercise (table E1 OLS). There were no significant differences between those with and without BPD at peak exercise.

ANOVA revealed that EP children had a significant deficit in peakVO2 after differences in body size were accounted for. The corresponding multivariable regression equation is shown below:

\[
\log_{10}\text{peakVO2 (ml/min) } = 5.48 - 0.18(\text{birth status}) + 0.52(\log_{10}\text{weight (kg)})
\]

\[R^2=0.44; \ p<0.0001, \text{ where birth status}=1 \text{ for EP, 0 for controls.}\]

In absolute terms this equates to a mean (95% CI) deficit in peakVO2 of ∼255 ml/min (−359 to −147) for EP children after adjusting for body size.

The same analysis for AT revealed a mean (95% CI) deficit of ∼67 ml/min (−134 to −2) for EP children (see OLS for regression equation).

Multivariable regression for children born EP showed that sex, \(\log_{10}\)-transformed weight, height, BMI and head circumference at time of test were all significant independent predictors of \(\log_{10}\) peakVO2 (ml/min) \((R^2=0.57)\). In brief, body weight, male sex and head circumference were all positively associated with peakVO2, whereas height and BMI were negatively associated (see table E2 OLS). Lung function and physical activity variables, along with GA, birth weight, BPD, supplemental oxygen requirement at 40 weeks PMA, dyspnoea on exercise, cough during exercise, current wheeze and asthma diagnosis failed to reach significance. For controls, only \(\log_{10}\)-adjusted weight and sex reached statistical significance.

Although FEV1 and DLco Z-scores did not reach significance within the regression model, there were significant, albeit weak, correlations between peakVO2 and both FEV1 \((R^2=0.10)\) and DLco Z-scores \((R^2=0.22)\) (figures 1 and 2).
### Table 3  Baseline and maximal exercise performance in extremely preterm and control children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extremely preterm (EP)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI) (EP − control)</th>
<th>Extremely preterm (EP)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI) (EP − control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ (ml/min)</td>
<td>251 (75)</td>
<td>256 (47)</td>
<td>−5 (−24 to 34)</td>
<td>1293 (271)</td>
<td>1590 (263)</td>
<td>−297 (−419 to −175)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>93.5 (12.6)</td>
<td>94.0 (16.0)</td>
<td>−0.5 (−6.7 to 5.5)</td>
<td>185 (15)</td>
<td>190 (12)</td>
<td>−5 (−11.3 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO₂ (%)</td>
<td>99 (98−99)</td>
<td>99 (99−100)</td>
<td>−0.3 (−0.9 to 0.2)</td>
<td>99 (98−100)</td>
<td>99 (99−100)</td>
<td>−0.2 (−0.6 to 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory exchange ratio (VCO₂/VO₂)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.06)</td>
<td>−0.01 (−0.04 to 0.02)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.06)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.01 to 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathing frequency (breaths/min)</td>
<td>19.0 (4.9)</td>
<td>16.7 (4.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.2 to 4.3)**</td>
<td>57.2 (16)</td>
<td>50.9 (11.0)</td>
<td>6.3 (0.1 to 12.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt/kg (ml/kg)</td>
<td>11.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>12.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (−2.7 to 0.64)</td>
<td>24.2 (8.0)</td>
<td>28.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>−4.1 (−7.2 to −1.0)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇e/VMV</td>
<td>0.2 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.01 to 0.03)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.36)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.31)</td>
<td>−0.11 (−0.26 to 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇e/MVV</td>
<td>0.13 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01 to 0.05)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.04 to 0.21)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen pulse (ml/beat)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.03 (−0.4 to 0.4)</td>
<td>7.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>−1.4 (−2.1 to −0.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>37.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>37.3 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.4 (−0.7 to 1.5)</td>
<td>35.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>37.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>−1.1 (−3.1 to 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>106.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>107.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>−1.0 (−3.0 to 0.8)</td>
<td>115.4 (4.9)</td>
<td>114.9 (4.4)</td>
<td>0.5 (−1.7 to 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EqCO₂</td>
<td>30.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>30.5 (2.8)</td>
<td>−0.5 (−1.7 to 0.7)</td>
<td>32.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>30.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (−0.30 to 3.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EqO₂</td>
<td>34.6 (4.2)</td>
<td>34.7 (4.1)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−2.0 to 1.8)</td>
<td>36.3 (5.3)</td>
<td>34.4 (4.1)</td>
<td>1.9 (−0.26 to 4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>97.1 (10.7)</td>
<td>99.0 (8.8)</td>
<td>−1.9 (−8.0 to 4.3)</td>
<td>127.4 (14.2)</td>
<td>127.6 (17.6)</td>
<td>−0.2 (−10.0 to 9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>57.0 (10.6)</td>
<td>60 (7.5)</td>
<td>−3.0 (−8.5 to 3.0)</td>
<td>60.5 (10.5)</td>
<td>63.5 (8.2)</td>
<td>−3.0 (−8.8 to 2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/kg</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.70 (0.63)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.50)</td>
<td>−0.41 (−0.67 to −0.15)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic threshold VO₂ (ml/min)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>760 (172)</td>
<td>858 (180)</td>
<td>−98 (−178 to 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise time (min)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>15.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>−0.9 (−1.7 to 0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Data are presented as median (IQR) and log transformed prior to comparisons. Data are presented as mean (SD), *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

All baseline variables are averaged over the final 20 s of rest; all peak exercise variables are averaged over the final 20 s of maximal completed work, except for anaerobic threshold and time.

EqCO₂, ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide; EqO₂, ventilatory equivalent for oxygen; PETCO₂, end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PETO₂, end-tidal partial pressure of oxygen; SpO₂, peripheral oxygen saturation; V̇e, minute ventilation; V̇e/VMV, ventilatory reserve; VO₂, oxygen consumption; Vt, tidal volume.

### Physical activity

All participants were provided with accelerometers, but acceptable data (see OLS for criteria) were only achieved in 61 (73%) children (31 EP, 30 controls). Despite marked differences in peakVO₂, there were no differences in any physical activity measures between groups, and only a very weak within-subject correlation (R²=0.07; p<0.05) between peakVO₂ and activity counts/min (figure 3). Additional activities recorded in the diary (but not by the accelerometer) included a median swimming time of 90 min (range 30–450) in 28 children (16 EP), a median cycling time of 40 min (10–265) in 29 children (14 EP), a mean horse-riding time of 225 min in two EP children and a mean of 45 min trampolining in a further two children (1 EP).

On a self-assessment visual-analogue scale, the EP group had a lower exercise capability and reported more difficulty breathing during exercise when compared with their peers (table 4). There

---

**Figure 1**  Peak oxygen consumption (ml/kg/min) versus forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) Z-score in controls and extremely preterm (EP) children categorised according to bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) status. ○=controls; ■=EP without BPD; ▲=EP with BPD.
were no associations between any of the exercise perception responses and objective or diary-reported measures of physical activity.

**DISCUSSION**

Compared with age-matched controls, EP children had significantly lower peakVO₂. Moreover, EP children had a lower log-adjusted AT and achieved a significantly lower peak workload. Children born EP also adopted an unusual breathing pattern during peak exercise, characterised by relatively shallow breathing and higher breathing frequencies. Despite this exaggerated tachypnoea, these children did not exceed their predicted MVV or have a ventilatory limitation to exercise in the absence of EIB. These differences were not associated with differences in overall physical activity or time spent in moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) between the two groups, although EP children perceived themselves as less able to undertake activity than their classmates.

Since children only attended for exercise tests if they were sufficiently coordinated to perform technically acceptable lung function at school, it is possible that these results may underestimate the true degree of exercise limitation within the entire EPICure cohort. However, as presented earlier, the EP subgroup who underwent exercise testing appeared to be representative of the entire EP school cohort with successful spirometry recordings.

There is some evidence that females born preterm have better outcomes than males during infancy and childhood, whereas by late adolescence males have been reported to have fewer respiratory symptoms. In this study, no significant differences in lung function or physical activity were detected according to sex, although the power to detect such differences was limited.
Strengthes of this study include the fact that investigators were masked to birth status and prior medical history until data collection and analyses were complete, strict quality control was imposed for all physiological and anthropometric measurements, and results were compared with those from a prospectively studied, age-matched control group. Furthermore, this is one of the larger investigations of objectively measured exercise capacity in EP children born in recent years, and the first to collect objective physical activity data in this population; the benefits of which have been highlighted recently.

Though the current study showed clear deficits in peakVO2 for children born EP, there is contradictory evidence regarding exercise capacity among survivors of preterm birth, with a similar number of studies showing no difference in peakVO2 for children born preterm. Interestingly, EP children perceived themselves less capable of exercise than their peers and reported more difficulty breathing during everyday exercise.

The fact that ventilation was augmented during exercise primarily by breathing frequency rather than VT in children born EP indicates an elevated deadspace to tidal volume ratio. Any marked degree of ventilation–perfusion mismatch as a result of this unusual ventilatory response seems unlikely as there was no significant reduction in oxygen saturation during exercise. Nevertheless, the reduced diffusing capacity among EP children at rest indicates that the pulmonary vascular bed may have been less able to accommodate increased cardiac output during peak exercise. In support of these findings, previous studies of EP-born children and adults have also found deficits in DLCO and in turn, peakVO2, but was not measured in this study. EIB should also be considered, with a higher prevalence reported for children born preterm. Interestingly, EP children perceived themselves less capable of exercise than their peers and reported more difficulty breathing during everyday exercise.

While we can only speculate about the cause of observed differences in ventilatory adaptations to exercise, they may reflect early changes in lung pathology and/or chemoreceptor setting following EP delivery. Given the elevated RV and RV/TLC ratio, degree of airway obstruction and resistive load found amongst the EP children, some degree of dynamic hyperinflation is likely to occur during exercise, with an associated increase in elastic load. Rather than elevate VT during exercise (and in turn raise elastic and resistive loads further), increased ventilatory demands may be achieved more efficiently in such subjects by increasing breathing frequency more than usual. Alternatively, these findings may reflect long-term effects from the delayed postnatal resetting of peripheral chemoreceptors in infants with RPD and some fundamental alteration in oxygen consumption following EP birth. Although the markedly elevated breathing frequency did not lead to hypocapnia, subtle differences in peripheral chemoreceptor function in EP-born children may contribute to an altered regulation of ventilation during exercise.

Table 4: Physical activity data in extremely preterm and control children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Extremely preterm (EP) n = 31</th>
<th>Control n = 30</th>
<th>Mean Difference (95% CI) (EP–control)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accelerometer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of daily recording (min)</td>
<td>735 (709–756)</td>
<td>743 (704–764)</td>
<td>–8 (–35 to 19)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of valid recording (days)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–6.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (5.0–6.3)</td>
<td>0 (–0.6 to 1.0)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity counts/min (counts/min)</td>
<td>478 (417–542)</td>
<td>503 (459–623)</td>
<td>45 (–150 to 59)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA (min/day)</td>
<td>9.0 (4.3–11.9)</td>
<td>11.0 (7.0–17.5)</td>
<td>–2 (–11.5 to 1.4)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise perception questionnaire</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 Compared with your friends, how easy do you find physical activity? (0 cm: very difficult, 10 cm: very easy)</td>
<td>6.7 (5.8–7.6)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.3–9.5)</td>
<td>–1.7 (–3.1 to –0.3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 When exercising, do you find it difficult to breathe? (0 cm: very difficult, 10 cm: not at all)</td>
<td>5.7 (4.5–6.9)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.6–9.3)</td>
<td>–2.2 (–4.0 to –0.5)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 When exercising, do you notice that you have a cough? (0 cm: all the time, 10 cm: never)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.3–9.2)</td>
<td>9.0 (8.3–9.8)</td>
<td>–0.8 (–2.1 to 0.6)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 When exercising, do you feel wheezy or have tightness in your chest? (0 cm: all the time, 10 cm: never)</td>
<td>6.8 (5.4–8.0)</td>
<td>7.8 (6.4–9.3)</td>
<td>–1.0 (–3.0 to 0.9)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 How long can you exercise for without feeling uncomfortable or needing to stop to rest? (0 cm: &lt;5 min, 10 cm: &gt;30 min)</td>
<td>6.4 (5.3–7.6)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.4–9.4)</td>
<td>1.5 (–3.3 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as median (IQR) and log transformed prior to comparison.

Responses to exercise perception questions were completed on a 10 cm visual-analogue scale, with 0 cm representing the worst outcome and 10 cm the best outcome. MVPA, moderate to vigorous physical activity.
While physical activity habits and degree of deconditioning among children are important considerations when assessing peak VO2, we found only weak associations in this study, with no differences between EP and controls. Both groups undertook considerably less physical activity than current recommendations of at least 60 min MVPA/day.

This is in keeping with other recent UK studies of similar aged healthy children. Overall activity levels were somewhat higher (but remained similar between groups) if activities including swimming, cycling and horse-riding were considered, yet still remained worryingly low. The majority of children in this study were probably performing insufficient activity to realise any improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness. While both groups would benefit from a physical conditioning programme, the effects are likely to be more marked in those born EP given their deficit in peak VO2.

CONCLUSION
Peak VO2 in childhood survivors of EP birth is lower than in age-matched controls. This impairment was significantly associated with poorer growth and development. The EP group also displayed ventilatory adaptations during peak exercise distinct from controls, employing greater breathing frequencies to compensate for low VTs. Importantly, physical activity could not explain the difference in exercise performance between groups. Considering that EP children did not exceed their predicted MVV or display significant oxygen desaturation on exertion, this study suggests that exercise is likely to be safe for the majority of children born EP and should be encouraged to promote cardiorespiratory fitness.
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