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ABSTRACT

Background The possible role of leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) therapy in the pathogenesis of Churg-Strauss syndrome (CSS) is uncertain. The aim was to examine the association between LTRA therapy and CSS in cases registered in the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) database.

Methods All cases of suspected drug-induced CSS reported to the AERS database between November 1997 and April 2003 were reviewed. Subjects in whom LTRAs were the suspected medication and sufficient documentation existed to confirm the diagnosis of CSS were sequentially categorised into one of the following groups: (A) CSS before treatment initiation; (B) oral or inhaled corticosteroids reduced or stopped within 6 months of CSS onset; (C) possible prodromal phase of CSS at treatment initiation; (D) unstable asthma at treatment initiation; (E) stable asthma at treatment initiation.

Results There were 181 case reports of suspected drug-induced CSS in 163 cases where an LTRA was a suspect medication. In 140 of these 163 cases, there was sufficient documentation to sequentially categorise the case into groups, with 13 (9%) in A, 27 (19%) in B, 11 (8%) in C, 28 (20%) in D and 61 (44%) in E.

Conclusion LTRA therapy was a suspect medication in most confirmed cases of CSS reported in the AERS database. In the majority of cases treated with an LTRA, CSS could not be explained by either corticosteroid withdrawal or pre-existing CSS. These findings are informative in considering the potential associations between LTRA therapy and CSS.

INTRODUCTION

The possible role of leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) therapy in the pathogenesis of Churg-Strauss syndrome (CSS) is uncertain, with conflicting views on whether the association may be causal or due to confounding by indication. A number of hypotheses have been proposed, including a causal relationship suggested by the temporal relationship between the introduction of LTRA therapy and development of CSS, and the reporting patterns to adverse drug reactions databases. Cases of CSS reported to the Committee on Safety of Medicines in the UK since the beginning of 1998 have mostly been associated with LTRAs. Likewise, a strong association between CSS and LTRAs has been noted in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) database. Another possibility is that LTRA therapy may allow a reduction in corticosteroid therapy leading to an unmasking of underlying CSS which had been suppressed by the corticosteroid therapy. A related hypothesis is that LTRA therapy may have been prescribed in response to severe asthma which represented an early prodromal phase of CSS, which then progressed to full expression of the disease. Previously it has been difficult to assess these hypotheses owing to the paucity of data, being limited primarily to either case reports or case series in which the method of case selection was not specified.

The clinical trial programme showingLTRAs to be effective in the treatment of asthma was not designed to detect the occurrence of rare serious adverse events such as CSS, which has a background incidence of approximately three per million in the general population. For any drug therapy, only once it is registered and widely used in clinical practice will rare adverse events or events in populations not examined in clinical trials become apparent and be reported by clinicians to adverse drug reactions databases. We have analysed cases of CSS reported to the FDA AERS database, focusing on an investigation of (1) the percentage of cases associated with the use of LTRA therapy; (2) patterns of disease and their relation to treatment, in particular corticosteroid withdrawal and possible pre-existing disease; and (3) characteristics of the disease present in this population.

METHODS

All cases in the FDA AERS database from November 1997 (when the term allergic granulomatous angiitis was introduced) to April 2003 of suspected drug-induced CSS were provided by the FDA under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (see online supplement). In some of the case reports provided, key dates relating to disease therapy and CSS onset had been variably blacked out by the FDA; for this reason, we also accessed cases previously provided by the FDA for a related research project in which no details were blacked out.

Information from the MedWatch report form was entered onto a questionnaire in Microsoft Access. Objective data were entered by one investigator (SB or BH), while subjective data were entered independently by both these investigators, with any differences adjudicated by discussion with a third investigator (RS or RB).

Exclusion criteria for case reports

Cases were excluded sequentially by the first applicable category as outlined in box 1. Sequential application of the exclusion criteria resulted in the
inclusion of cases with a confirmed diagnosis of CSS in which an LTRA agent was reported as the suspect medication and which had been prescribed within 6 months of CSS onset. These cases were included in further analyses.

**Analysis of LTRA cases with confirmed diagnosis of CSS**

The demographic characteristics and clinical features of the cases were recorded, including organ involvement, presence of anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) and histological results from biopsy or post-mortem examination. The number of American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria reached before beginning LTRA and during the illness were also recorded. Where documented, we calculated the time period from initiation of LTRA therapy to onset of CSS, defined as the date when the case was first documented to have met one of the three diagnostic criteria to confirm the diagnosis of CSS, as stated in box 1. In cases where the onset of CSS preceded LTRA commencement, the time to onset was not calculated.

**Patterns of LTRA use, disease presentation and corticosteroid use**

Criteria were used to stratify cases according to patterns of LTRA use in relation to disease presentation and corticosteroid use, in line with current hypotheses proposed to explain the association between LTRA therapy and CSS, as shown in table 1.

**RESULTS**

There were 1274 case reports of suspected drug-induced CSS in the AERS database. The date of CSS onset ranged from 18 December 1996 to 5 April 2003. The sequential application of the exclusion criteria is shown in figure 1. There were 181 cases of suspected drug-induced CSS reported by a health professional in which there was sufficient documentation to confirm the diagnosis of CSS.

Among these 181 cases there were 12 in whom an asthma medication(s) other than an LTRA was reported as the suspect medication (fluticasone propionate, n=6; fluticasone propionate and salmeterol, n=4; budesonide, n=1; zileuton, n=1), a further 5 in whom a non-asthma medication(s) was reported as the suspect medication and in 1 case the LTRA agent was stopped >6 months before the onset of CSS. There were 165/181 (90%) cases of confirmed CSS in which an LTRA was reported as at least one of the suspect medications, four of which listed a non-LTRA medication as another suspect medication.

**Analysis of LTRA cases with a confirmed diagnosis of CSS**

Among the 165 cases, a confirmed diagnosis of CSS was made by the documentation of ≥4 ACR criteria in 145 (89%), by documentation of a history of asthma, eosinophilia and histology consistent with CSS in a further 17 (10.4%) and by post-mortem findings of CSS in 1 additional case. There were six reported deaths among the 163 cases. The age range was 7–80 years (median 51 years; in two cases age not specified), with a female: male ratio of 1.1:1. The time from starting LTRA therapy to disease onset ranged from 5 to 1340 days (figure 2). The LTRA medications reported included montelukast (n=114), zafirlukast (n=43), montelukast and zafirlukast (n=5), montelukast and pranlukast (n=1).

Figure 3 shows the percentage of patients with documentation of the clinical features associated with CSS. A biopsy was taken in 128 of the 165 cases (79%), with characteristic histological features of CSS reported in 112 (88%). ANCA were measured in 89 patients, 37 of whom were positive (42%). Five patients were both pANCA and cANCA positive, while 28 were pANCA and/or myeloperoxidase (MPO) positive only, 3 were cANCA positive only and 1 was not specified.

For the cases in whom an LTRA was reported as a suspect medication and in whom the date of onset of CSS was available, there were 19, 22, 26, 21, 14 and 6 cases reported yearly from 1997 to 2002, respectively.

**Patterns of LTRA use, disease presentation and corticosteroid use**

In 140 of the 165 cases there was sufficient documentation to sequentially categorise the patterns of disease presentation and corticosteroid use (table 2, figure 4).

**Group A** included 15/140 (9.3%) patients, of whom 5 had a previous diagnosis of CSS and 8 reached ≥4/6 ACR criteria before initiation of LTRA therapy. Four would otherwise have met the criteria for Group B but are not included in Group B owing to sequential allocation of cases.

**Group B** included 27/140 (19.3%) patients, of whom there were 21 with withdrawal or reduction in dose of OCS, 5 of ICS and 1 in whom the route of corticosteroid therapy was not specified.
Table 1  Criteria used to classify cases of CSS according to clinical presentation including corticosteroid use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A: CSS before initiation of LTRA</th>
<th>Group B: Potential unmasking of CSS within 6 months of development of CSS</th>
<th>Group C: Possible prodromal phase of CSS before initiation of LTRA</th>
<th>Group D: Unstable asthma at time of initiation of LTRA</th>
<th>Group E: Stable asthma at initiation of LTRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1: Physician diagnosis of CSS before starting LTRA therapy</td>
<td>Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous ICS and/or OCS and not on continuous corticosteroids (unspecified if oral or inhaled)</td>
<td>Documentation of ACR criteria of 3 before starting LTRA therapy</td>
<td>D1: Required a short course of OCS within 1 month before starting LTRA</td>
<td>E1: Taking continuous ICS within the 6 months before starting LTRA and no continuous OCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2: ACR criteria of ≥3 before starting LTRA therapy</td>
<td>Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous ICS and/or OCS</td>
<td>Documentation of ACR criteria of 3 when OCS is not indicated</td>
<td>D2: Started continuous OCS or was required to increase the dose of LTRA within 6 months of starting LTRA</td>
<td>E2: Not on any continuous ICS or OCS within 6 months of starting LTRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3: Presence of a characteristic biopsy* in the presence of asthma and eosinophilia before starting LTRA therapy</td>
<td>Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous corticosteroids (unspecified if oral or inhaled)</td>
<td>Documentation of ACR criteria of 3 when OCS is not indicated</td>
<td>D3: Taking continuous OCS at stable dose within 6 months of starting LTRA</td>
<td>E2:1: Corticosteroid-naïve, specifically documented never to have taken OCS or ICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4: Hospital admission for asthma within 1 month before starting LTRA</td>
<td>E2:2-1: Corticosteroid-naïve, did not have OCS and/or ICS use in the 6 months before starting LTRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E5: Hospital admission for asthma within 1 month of starting LTRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A positive biopsy refers to the presence of extravascular eosinophils and/or granulomas and/or vasculitis and/or a histology consistent with CSS. This excludes extravascular eosinophils on nasal polyp, sinonasal or bronchofibroscopic biopsy.

Continuous corticosteroid use is defined as corticosteroid use for >1 month for at least 1 month before starting LTRA. It does not include continuous corticosteroid use if commenced within 1 month before, on the same day or after LTRA started. Short course OCS use is defined as a course of oral corticosteroids of <1 month duration. Initiation of corticosteroids or hospital admission within 1 month includes the day that LTRA was commenced.

CSS: Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; LTRA: Long-acting β2-agonist; LABA: long-acting β2-agonist; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; OCS: oral corticosteroids.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this review of the FDA AERS database represents the largest case series of CSS. It identified that, in 90% of the cases of confirmed CSS, an LTRA agent was a suspect medication. Of the cases of CSS in which an LTRA was a suspect medication and there was adequate documentation to classify the case, 36% had pre-existing CSS, had reduced or stopped oral or inhaled corticosteroid therapy, or had possible prodromal CSS at the time of initiation of LTRA therapy.

Before discussing these findings we will consider the methodological issues potentially limiting this analysis. First, some reports had inadequate details documented, others had conflicting information from different sources and, in others, key dates were blacked out by the FDA. As a result, less than half of the case reports had adequate documentation to enable confirmation of the diagnosis of CSS. Furthermore, among the cases of CSS associated with LTRA use, categorisation according to clinical presentation including corticosteroid use was difficult, with adjudication by a third investigator required in 20% of the 163 cases. Thus, one of our recommendations is that a more comprehensive and effective method of data documentation and investigation is required in patients who are reported to have suffered a serious adverse event in association with a suspected drug.

The next issue is whether there may have been preferential reporting of LTRA therapy because it was a novel treatment in asthma. In 90% of confirmed cases of CSS an LTRA was a suspect medication, compared with long-acting β2-agonist (LABA) drugs which were reported in <3% despite being introduced during a similar period and recommended for use in moderate to severe asthma. This contrasts with a recent European case-control study in which 19% of patients with CSS were receiving treatment with an LTRA compared with 63% on LABAs in the 3 months before the CSS diagnosis. Similarly, a US-based case-control study reported that 13% of patients with CSS were prescribed LTRA therapy in the 2–6 months before the CSS diagnosis. Based on these data, it is likely that the high percentage of cases of CSS in whichLTRAs were reported as the suspect medication in the FDA database is due in part to reporting bias, and that many cases of CSS occur without a medication being suspected and therefore are not reported. In our analysis there was no trend of an increased number of CSS events associated with LTRA therapy throughout the initial 6-year period of the database, despite LTRA therapy becoming a widely prescribed medication and the association with CSS being reported in the medical literature. This suggests that increasing awareness of the potential association between CSS and LTRA therapy did not lead to a corresponding increase in reporting.
Figure 1  Allocation of cases with sequential application of the exclusion criteria as documented in the text. CSS, Churg-Strauss syndrome; LTRA, leukotriene receptor antagonist.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All cases of suspected drug-induced Churg Strauss Syndrome reported to the FDA</td>
<td>1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplicate case reports</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report submitted from non health professional</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of a condition other than CSS:</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Report wrongly filed and provided in error (n=179)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CSS suspected but superseded by an alternative diagnosis (n=35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other exclusion (includes illegible reports, case series, reports later withdrawn)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient document to confirm diagnosis of CSS</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cases of suspected drug induced CSS with sufficient documentation to confirm the diagnosis of CSS by meeting one of the three criteria:</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ACR Criteria of 4 or more</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Asthma, eosinophilia and a biopsy consistent with CSS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CSS confirmed at post mortem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect medication/s include an asthma medication, but not an LTRA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect medication/s do not include a medication used in the treatment of asthma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTRA stopped &gt;6 months prior to CSS onset</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with an LTRA was reported as suspect medication and sufficient documentation exists to confirm definite diagnosis of CSS</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2  Time to onset of Churg-Strauss Syndrome (CSS) from start date of leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) therapy in 108 of 163 cases of confirmed CSS in which an LTRA drug was reported as a suspect medication and in which there was sufficient documentation to determine this variable. In 13 cases the onset of CSS preceded the initiation of LTRA therapy (not shown).
not lead to a greater propensity to report cases to the FDA database.

Despite these limitations, our study found that clinical manifestations of CSS were similar to those reported previously, with asthma, eosinophilia and multisystem involvement including sinusitis, mononeuritis multiplex and polymyoneuropathy, vascular skin lesions, pulmonary, musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal and cardiac disease. About 40% of cases in whom ANCA was measured were positive. As a result, it appears that the spectrum of multisystem involvement and ANCA status was similar to that observed in other case series of CSS.18

Based on the clinical situations hypothesised to account for the observed association between LTRA therapy and CSS, we subsequently categorised cases from the least to the greatest probability that the association was causal. The first situation, where patients developed CSS before initiation of LTRA therapy, was evident in 9% of cases. The next situation, that LTRA therapy leads to an improvement in asthma control allowing tapering or stopping of corticosteroids and thereby expression of CSS which was previously partially suppressed by corticosteroid therapy7–10—was observed in 19% of cases. While it is debatable whether a decrease in inhaled corticosteroid dose would be sufficient to precipitate systemic vasculitis, it was included within this category as previously proposed.12 21 In contrast to our results, Nathani et al reported that 37% of cases of CSS associated with LTRA therapy published in the medical literature occurred in relation to corticosteroid withdrawal.5 This may indicate preferential reporting of corticosteroid tapering in the medical literature or under-reporting of such cases to the FDA.

The third situation proposes that LTRA therapy is prescribed in response to the initial manifestations of a prodromal phase of CSS and that subsequent progression to eosinophilic tissue infiltration and systemic vasculitis occurred as a result of natural disease progression.9 12 In 8% of cases an LTRA was prescribed in the setting of three ACR criteria of CSS, with the subsequent development of confirmed CSS. In these cases it was not possible to determine whether the association was causal or simply coincidental.

Thus, there was in total 36% of cases in whom the previously proposed hypothesis of pre-existing CSS, a reduction or stopping of oral or inhaled corticosteroid therapy or a possible prodromal phase of CSS were present. Conversely, in 64% of patients, CSS could not be attributed to these hypotheses. Among these remaining cases, approximately one-third had poorly controlled asthma when LTRA therapy was started.

### Table 2 Number (and percentage) of cases designated sequentially in each category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A: CSS before treatment initiation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B: Oral or inhaled corticosteroids reduced or stopped within 6 months of CSS onset</td>
<td>27 [B1=21, B2=5, B3=1]</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C: Possible prodromal early phase of CSS at treatment initiation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D: Unstable asthma at treatment initiation</td>
<td>28 [D1=17, D2=1, D3=9, D4=1]</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E: Stable asthma at treatment initiation</td>
<td>61 [E1=52, E2=9, E2-1=5]</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1: Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous OCS, regardless of ICS dose.
B2: Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous ICS, and not on continuous OCS.
B3: Reduction in dose or stopping of continuous corticosteroid (unspecified if oral or inhaled).
D1: Required a short course of OCS within 1 month before starting LTRA.
D2: Started continuous OCS or was required to increase the dose of continuous OCS 1 month before starting LTRA.
D3: Taking continuous OCS at a stable dose in the 6 months before starting LTRA.
D4: Hospital admission for asthma within 1 month of starting LTRA.
E1: Taking continuous ICS within the 6 months before starting LTRA and no continuous OCS.
E2: Not on any continuous ICS or OCS within 6 months of starting LTRA.
E2-1: Corticosteroid-naïve, specifically documented never to have taken OCS or ICS.
CSS, Churg-Strauss syndrome; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LTRA, leukotriene receptor antagonist; OCS, oral corticosteroid.
while two-thirds had stable asthma. It could be proposed that unstable asthma represents the earliest clinical manifestation of CSS, but this has not been done as oral corticosteroid use for exacerbations of asthma is common and the likelihood that it represents early CSS is extremely low. In those with stable asthma, causation was more likely as no other cause was identified as a precipitant of CSS. This group included five cases who had never received oral or inhaled corticosteroid therapy.

These findings are complemented by case-control studies of CSS and medication use. In the European study, there was a significant risk of developing CSS with montelukast (OR 6.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 34.1) but not LABA (OR 2.9, 95% CI 0.6 to 13.5) or inhaled corticosteroids (OR 1.0, 95% CI 0.2 to 4.8), although the wide confidence intervals led to some uncertainty in the interpretation of these findings. In the US study, a strong association between LTRA use and CSS was reported (OR 4.0, 95% CI 1.9 to 10.6), but in the multivariate analysis controlling for other asthma drug use, no significant association was observed (OR 1.32, 95% CI 0.44 to 3.96).

The pathophysiological mechanisms by which CSS may develop as a result of LTRA therapy are not clear. Indeed, the mechanisms underlying all cases of CSS are not well understood. It has been postulated that the use ofLTRAs may lead to imbalances between blockade of the actions of cysteinyl-leukotriene (cysLT) (LTC4, LTD4, and LTE4) on the cysteinyl-leukotriene receptor 1 (cysLT1) and the actions of other mediators.22 It has been postulated that the use of LTRAs may lead to a reduction in oral or inhaled corticosteroid therapy, pre-existing mechanisms underlying all cases of CSS are not well understood.32 More information is required to support any postulated pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the association of LTRAs and CSS.

In conclusion, LTRA therapy was a suspect medication in 90% of confirmed cases of CSS in the FDA AERS database. Among the cases of CSS associated with LTRA use in which there was adequate documentation, about two-thirds were not related to a reduction in oral or inhaled corticosteroid therapy, pre-existing or possible prodromal CSS. We recognise that it is not possible to determine in individual cases whether the association between CSS and LTRA therapy is causal, coincidental or directly related to other patterns of disease presentation or medication use. However, we cautiously suggest that, in a majority of cases, LTRA therapy may have a role in the pathogenesis of this disorder. We consider that these findings justify more intensive epidemiological study of the role of LTRA therapy in the pathogenesis of CSS.
A 69-year-old smoker with mediastinal and hilar lymphadenopathy

CLINICAL PRESENTATION
A 69-year-old male heavy smoker was referred to our service with 6 months minimal productive cough and 2 weeks pleuritic central chest pain, with no weight loss or constitutional symptoms. There was no relevant previous medical history. Clinical examination demonstrated no abnormalities. CT chest revealed extensive mediastinal and right hilar lymphadenopathy with a conglomerate subcarinal lymph node of 7 × 3.9 cm, with no parenchymal lung abnormalities identified.

Whole-body 18fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography revealed increased nodal uptake throughout the mediastinum (figure 1) as well as in the right supraclavicular fossa, and right hilum. Bronchoscopy demonstrated no focal endobronchial lesions, and cytology, Gram staining and culture of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid were non-diagnostic. Endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration of the paratracheal and subcarinal lymph nodes using a 22-gauge transbronchial needle aspiration needle (NA-201SX-4022, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with five passes was performed. Pathological examination revealed fibrous tissue with abundant plasma cells. Immunohistochemical staining for immunoglobulin light chains was equivocal.

QUESTION
What is the likely diagnosis and how should this be confirmed? See page 187 for answer.
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