Controlled trial of cycled antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent initial *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in children with cystic fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

**Background** Initial pulmonary *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) is currently treated with intensive antibiotic therapy. At this stage, inflammation and tissue injury might have already occurred. Moreover, bacterial eradication is not always achieved. Prophylactic treatment against *P aeruginosa* seemed to have a preventive effect in retrospective studies. A study was undertaken to establish prospectively the effect of cycled prophylactic treatment on prevention of initial *P aeruginosa* infection in children with CF.

**Methods** This 3-year triple-blind randomised controlled trial included 65 children with CF without *P aeruginosa* infection. Intervention existed of 3-monthly 3-week treatments with oral ciprofloxacin and inhaled colistin or both placebo controls. The primary outcome was *P aeruginosa* infection. Secondary outcomes were serum anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies, pulmonary function, exacerbations, chest x-ray scores, inflammation parameters, respiratory pathogens and antimicrobial resistance.

**Results** There was no difference in acquisition of *P aeruginosa* infection between the control and treatment groups (annual incidence 14% vs 11%; HR 0.738, 95% CI 0.299 to 1.822). Anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies emerged earlier in the control group, but this difference had disappeared after 3 years. Chronic infection was observed in 19% of controls and 12% of treated patients. Decline in pulmonary function and other clinical outcomes did not differ between the two groups. In the treatment group, significantly fewer Gram-positive bacteria and Entrobacteriaceae were observed but there were more non-*P aeruginosa* non-fermentative Gram-negative bacteria.

**Conclusions** Three-monthly cycled anti-*P aeruginosa* prophylaxis does not reduce the risk of initial and chronic infection in *P aeruginosa*-negative children with CF of all ages. Shifts in bacterial colonisation demand caution.

**Trial Registration Number** ISRCTN 11604593.

INTRODUCTION

Children with cystic fibrosis (CF) are at a high risk of acquiring pulmonary infections with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. A combination of host susceptibility and bacterial adaptation make *P aeruginosa* hard to eradicate once established in the CF airways.1–3 Infection with *P aeruginosa* is associated with irreversible pulmonary damage which is elicited by a primarily neutrophil-dominated inflammatory response and is a major predictor for mortality and morbidity.1–6

*P aeruginosa* infection and pulmonary inflammation often precede respiratory symptoms; inflammation is more pronounced in infections with *P aeruginosa* than with other organisms.7–10 Children are already infected at a young age; figures range from 28–85% at 5 years of age depending on isolation methods.5–7 9 10 A relation between *P aeruginosa* infection and diminished lung function was observed in young children even when *P aeruginosa* was apparently eradicated after initial infection.11

The initial detection of *P aeruginosa* in children with CF is currently managed with intensive antimicrobial therapy. Eradication of the pathogen from CF airways might be achieved when antibiotic treatment is initiated early after pulmonary acquisition.9 12–19 The optimal treatment regimen has not yet been established; there is a lack of randomised controlled trials comparing several regimens of antimicrobial therapy.19 20 Despite early antibiotic therapy, eradication fails in 10–40% of cases and these patients are predisposed to chronic infection with *P aeruginosa*. In addition, when eradication is successful, reinfection often occurs within 1 year, putting the patient at risk for further deterioration of pulmonary function. Limited evidence from two retrospective studies indicated that continuous prophylactic antibiotic therapy against *P aeruginosa* reduced the number of patients with initial and chronic *P aeruginosa* infections.21 22

The fact that *P aeruginosa* infection in early life is associated with irreversible pulmonary damage and that early eradication therapy might fail prompted us to prospectively evaluate the effectiveness of prophylactic antibiotic therapy in preventing initial *P aeruginosa* infection and subsequent decline in pulmonary function. We hypothesised that cycled prophylactic anti- *Pseudomonas* treatment of *P aeruginosa*-negative CF patients would either prevent or delay the first acquisition of *P aeruginosa* or eradicate the organism at a very early phase before the onset of chronic pulmonary infection, the accompanying pulmonary inflammatory response and bacterial adaptation.

**METHODS**

**Patients and settings**

Children aged 0–18 years were recruited from four tertiary CF centres in The Netherlands. Eligibility criteria were CF confirmed by a positive sweat
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chloride test and/or genotyping and *P aeruginosa* negativity determined as the absence of *P aeruginosa*-positive cultures and/or serology and the absence of regular treatment with anti-*Pseudomonas* antibiotics in the previous 2 years.

**Study design and randomisation**

We conducted an investigator-initiated parallel group randomised placebo-controlled trial with triple blinding (investigators, physicians and participants). Participants were randomly assigned by a computerised program in blocks of four, stratified according to centre and age (0–5 years, 6–11 years, 12–18 years). Randomisation and packaging of study interventions was performed by the independent pharmacy department of the University Medical Center Utrecht. The randomisation code was only disclosed after completion of all follow-up data and entry of data in a study database.

**Study intervention**

The study duration was 3 years. The intervention consisted of inhalation of colistimethate sodium (colistin) 80 mg twice daily combined with oral ciprofloxacin 10 mg/kg twice daily or both placebo controls for continuous periods of 3 weeks every 3 months. Within a 3-year study period there were 12 study intervention cycles. This eradication regimen was chosen because it is used extensively as eradication therapy in children in CF centres across Europe. Colistimethate sodium powder 80 mg (1 million units) per dose and an identical placebo containing 80 mg mannitol powder, both with 3 ml normal saline as solvent, were provided by Grünenthal, Aachen, Germany. Colistimethate sodium or placebo was inhaled by a perforated vibrating membrane nebuliser (eFlow Rapid, PARI, Starnberg, Germany) and, if necessary, preceded by salbutamol inhalation.23 Ciprofloxacin granules and corresponding identical placebo (coated sugar sphere granules) with sweet-flavoured suspension were provided by Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany.

**Follow-up during the study**

Follow-up visits were performed 3-monthly 2–5 weeks after the study intervention. At each visit a respiratory specimen (oropharyngeal cough swab or sputum) was collected for microbial culture. The number of pulmonary exacerbations, use of antimicrobial agents, height, weight and adverse events were noted. Additionally, pharmacists were asked to provide an overview of antimicrobial agent use during the study period to prevent recall bias. Spirometric tests for children aged ≥4 years were performed 6-monthly. Pulmonary function was assessed as forced expiratory volume in 1 s percentage predicted for height and sex (FEV1%) and forced vital capacity percentage predicted for height and sex (FVC%) after inhalation of 800 µg salbutamol using reference data of Zapletal and Samenek.24 Serum samples were collected every 6 months for measurement of serum anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies. Chest radiography, complete blood count, C-reactive protein and serum immunoglobulin measurements were performed annually. Other standard CF care was maintained throughout the trial.

**Microbiology**

Respiratory specimens were cultured onto appropriate media and *Pseudomonas* species were identified by a positive oxidase reaction. Further identification of *P aeruginosa* was performed by measuring C-390 resistance.25 Susceptibility testing was performed by disk diffusion using Neo-Sensitabs (Rosco, Taastrup, Denmark) and was interpreted according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints.

Serological testing was performed by ELISA with three common *P aeruginosa* antigens: elastase, exotoxin A and alkaline protease (Mediagnost, Reutlingen, Germany) as described previously.26 A titre of >1:1250 for one or more of the antigens was considered positive, as described in the ELISA manual.

**Outcomes**

The primary efficacy outcome was *P aeruginosa* infection, as determined by two positive cultures taken >1 week apart (to increase the diagnostic values for pulmonary *P aeruginosa* infection in case of oropharyngeal cough swabs) or, at the discretion of the treating physician, one positive culture and a severe pulmonary exacerbation that required rapid antimicrobial treatment. When the primary end point was reached, the participants stopped further study intervention and received standard anti-*Pseudomonas* treatment. All participants who reached the primary end point or who withdrew from study interventions were included in the follow-up. Serological test results were not included in the primary efficacy end point.

Secondary efficacy outcomes included anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies, pulmonary function, body mass index, number of pulmonary exacerbations (defined by increased cough and/or sputum production and/or decreased weight and/or changes in chest auscultation requiring antimicrobial therapy), days of antibiotic use (all antibiotic treatments; anti-*Pseudomonas* antibiotics were only prescribed in cases reaching the primary efficacy outcome), adverse events, antimicrobial resistance, bacterial colonisation, chest x-ray (adjusted Crispin–Norman27) scores and inflammation parameters.

**Statistical analysis and power calculation**

It was estimated that 32 children were needed in each group for the study to have 80% power to detect a 75% reduction in *P aeruginosa* infections in the treatment group compared with placebo at a level of 0.05, assuming a *P aeruginosa* acquisition rate of 15% per year in the control group.

The trial was analysed by the principal investigator according to the intention to treat principle. In participants reaching the primary efficacy end point, data on cultured pathogens and antimicrobial agent use were analysed from the start of the study until the first full study year after the end point was reached. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan–Meier curves and Cox proportional hazard model regression with age and baseline FEV1% as stratifying variables. In order to test the assumption of proportional hazards for the treatment group in the Cox model, a time-dependent variable for the group was created and tested using the likelihood ratio test. Nominal data were analysed using the χ² or Fisher exact test and continuous data were analysed with the t test or Mann-Whitney U test. Data are shown as percentages or mean±SEM.

Longitudinal data with repeated measurements (pulmonary function and antibody titres) were analysed with a mixed effects model with random participant-specific slope and intercept. Baseline FEV1% and age were included as covariates in the pulmonary function model. An interaction term between allocation and time was examined in order to determine whether decline in pulmonary function or increase in antibody titres differed between allocation groups.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Version 15.0.

**RESULTS**

**Study group**

Sixty-five patients were randomised and entered the study. Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of inclusion. Baseline
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characteristics for the control and treatment groups were similar for the total group as well as for different age subgroups except for FEV1% (p = 0.01, table 1).

**Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection**

Nineteen of 65 patients (29%) reached the primary end point during the study period, 10/31 (32%) in the control group and 9/34 (26%) in the treatment group. The incidence rates were 0.14 for the control group and 0.11 for the treatment group. The hazard ratio (HR) for the treatment group to acquire *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection was 0.738 (95% CI 0.299 to 1.822) (p = 0.510) stratified for age and baseline FEV1%. Mean duration to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection was 0.86 ± 0.21 years for the control group and 1.29 ± 0.19 years for the treatment group (p = 0.158). Figure 2A shows the survival curve. The HRs were not different between the groups over time (likelihood ratio test 2.682 with 1 degree of freedom, p = 0.101). The median age at acquiring first *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection was 6.8 years (range 2.45−18.42). Patients with previous *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolation >2 years before study entry did not reach the primary end point more often (p = 0.912).

Of the 19 participants who reached the primary efficacy end point, four had one *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-positive swab culture accompanied by an exacerbation. Three of the four patients with an exacerbation had a second positive culture subsequently. In four of the 19 patients *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was detected in the sputum (5 in the control group, 1 in the treatment group). Three other participants had *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a swab culture on one occasion without an exacerbation, follow-up cultures remained negative and therefore the primary end point was not met.

**Eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa**

In patients who had reached the primary end point and ceased the study interventions to receive usual anti-*Pseudomonas* treatment was established in 60% of patients in the control group and 67% of patients in the treatment group after one or more eradication cycles (p = 0.764).

**Pseudomonas aeruginosa serology**

The proportion of patients having a positive antibody titre was higher in the control group during the first year (p = 0.021); in the second and third years the differences between the two groups disappeared. As calculated by a mixed model, the sum of three serum anti-*Pseudomonas* antibodies was not different (p = 0.08). In general, positive antibody titres followed positive cultures and were strongly associated with a positive culture in the past (figure 2B). Fourteen children had positive antibody titres at least once during the study period: exotoxin A antibody titres were raised in 10 patients, alkaline protease in five patients and elastase in three patients. Ten of the 14 children with positive antibody titres were culture-positive before they were serology-positive. Two patients showed intermittent positive antibody titres without any positive cultures during the study period and two other patients showed positive antibody titres without positive cultures to date (two in the control group and two in the treatment group).
Inflammatory parameters

The rate of decline in pulmonary function (FEV1%) over the 3-year study period in the treatment group was not significantly higher in the treatment group during the study (p = 0.940). The presence of Candida spp. and fungi was not increased in the treatment group.

DisCUSSION

This first prospective study of the prophylaxis of initial P. aeruginosa infection by cycled antibiotic treatment failed to show a preventive effect in the entire group of children aged 0–18 years. Anti-Pseudomonas antibodies emerged later in the treated group, but this difference had disappeared after 3 years.

Two previous observational retrospective studies suggested a preventive effect of the use of anti-Pseudomonas prophylaxis. However, these studies were not controlled and the group of children treated twice daily with inhaled anti-Pseudomonas antibiotics was different from the group of untreated children. The approach in the current controlled trial was cycled treatment rather than daily treatment to limit the patient burden and the risk of antimicrobial resistance. It appeared that 3-monthly cycled therapy was not sufficiently intense to prevent initial P. aeruginosa infection. Continuous prophylaxis seems to have a better effect on the prevention of initial P. aeruginosa infection. In the first part of the study the survival lines of the two study groups diverged, indicating an emerging difference between the groups and postponement of infection in the treatment group. However, after 1.5 years there was a decline in the survival curve of the treatment group and the survival curves became more or less parallel. This difference in HRs over time was not statistically significant. We could not find a clear reason for this steep decline, which occurred in patients in all age categories. Reported compliance was not different, but study intervention packages were not returned so compliance was not measured. We wanted to reflect a ‘real life’ situation and it is expected that, in this situation, compliance is not always optimal.

Viral infections, especially the respiratory syncytial virus, predispose to pulmonary P. aeruginosa infection. In this study 13 of the 19 children (68%) acquired P. aeruginosa infection between the months of October to March, suggesting a role for viruses. Continuous prophylaxis during the winter season or a cycle when experiencing a viral infection might be better prevention for P. aeruginosa infections than cycled treatments over all the year. The number of exacerbations and the use of antimicrobial agents were not significantly different between the two groups. A possible reason for the lack of differences is that, in young children, viral infections often induce respiratory exacerbations (excluding maintenance antibiotics) for the total study period was 66 ± 9.52 for the control group and 67 ± 9.92 for the treatment group. The results for the other secondary outcomes are shown in table 2.

Antimicrobial resistance and emerging pathogens

No ciprofloxacin or colistin resistance was observed in any initial infecting P. aeruginosa isolates. One isolate from both groups was, respectively, aminoglycoside-resistant and β-lactam-resistant.

Enterobacteriaceae and Gram-positive bacteria were more frequently detected in cultures from the control group (table 3). The percentage of cultures containing non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria excluding P. aeruginosa was significantly higher in the treatment group (p = 0.0094). The presence of Candida spp. and fungi was not increased in the treatment group.

Table 2 Outcome of clinical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1% decline during study period</td>
<td>−8.3%</td>
<td>−10.4%</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI z-score change</td>
<td>−0.09 ± 0.15</td>
<td>−0.03 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest x-ray score change</td>
<td>+2.10 ± 0.51</td>
<td>+2.00 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflammatory parameters</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunoglobulin G G</td>
<td>9.84 ± 3.54</td>
<td>8.66 ± 3.82</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucocytes (&gt;10⁹/l)</td>
<td>9.17 ± 2.68</td>
<td>8.67 ± 2.68</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of patients with CRP</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 mg/l during study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough and/or increased sputum production</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (headache, erythema, fatigue, incontinence)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CRP, C-reactive protein; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s.

Table 3 Frequency of bacterial pathogens in respiratory cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogens</th>
<th>Control (N)</th>
<th>Treatment (N)</th>
<th>Control (N = 308)</th>
<th>Treatment (N = 368)*</th>
<th>Risk difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive bacteria</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>61.36%</td>
<td>51.09%</td>
<td>10.3 (2.8 to 17.8)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
<td>36.14%</td>
<td>0.8 (−6.2 to 7.8)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fermenting bacteria</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7.79%</td>
<td>14.13%</td>
<td>6.4 (1.8 to 11.1)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
<td>4.89%</td>
<td>4.2 (0.29 to 8.0)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.68%</td>
<td>2.9 (−2.2 to 8.0)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast and fungi</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>49.35%</td>
<td>51.63%</td>
<td>2.3 (−5.3 to 9.8)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences explained by the fact that, in participants reaching the primary efficacy end point, data were analysed from the start of the study until the first full study year after having reached the end point.
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power analysis was executed assuming a 15% annual acquisition rate and 75% reduction in *P. aeruginosa* infections in the treated group. With a larger study group it would have been possible to find smaller treatment differences. However, in the 0–5 years age group the incidence rate was 17% per year with no difference between the control and treatment groups (HR 1.028, p=0.967). In the power calculation we chose a 75% reduction because smaller treatment differences would probably not justify the treatment burden and risks compared with regular culturing and intensive treatment of initial infection.

It is debatable whether the primary end point should be chronic infection. However, if prevention of initial infection occurs, inflammation and possible progression to chronic infection are delayed. With regard to the definitions of chronic infection, patients in the control group had raised antibody titres indicative of chronic infection earlier, but the difference disappeared after 1 year. The number of patients with chronic infection at the end of the trial did not differ between the groups. Serological measurements did not contribute much to the detection of the initial infection. The timing of emergence of antibodies depends also on the antigens tested. Whole cell ELISA or type 3 system secretion products seem to be more sensitive than the antigens used in this study.\(^{30,31}\) However, positive serological results do not precede a positive culture in every patient.

Mannitol, an osmolytic agent, was used as control inhalation medication. At the time of the study design, mannitol was not known as an airway clearance promoter for patients with CF. Nowadays, clinical trials have been initiated to prove the effect of mannitol inhalation on pulmonary function and number of exacerbations.\(^{32}\) It is not sure whether mannitol has a preventive effect on acquisition of pathogens in the airways because of antimicrobial therapy when *P. aeruginosa* colonisation in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J Am Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163:277–87.


### CONCLUSION

Three-monthly cycled anti-*Pseudomonas* prophylaxis in *P. aeruginosa*-negative children with CF does not prevent initial pulmonary *P. aeruginosa* infection. Frequent culturing and early intensive antimicrobial therapy when *P. aeruginosa* is detected is probably the best option, especially in view of the treatment burden and emerging pathogens. Efforts should be made to identify children or situations with an additional risk for initial and chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection.
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Analysis of bronchoalveolar lavage from the right B5 segment revealed a total cell count increase of 7.8×10⁶/ml with 50% eosinophils. No bacteria or tubercle bacilli were detected in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Eosinophilic infiltration with degranulation and fibrin was seen in the transbronchial lung biopsy specimen (figure 1A,B). These histopathological findings are consistent with eosinophilic pneumonia (EP).

Oesophagostroduodenoscopy revealed an Anisakis simplex on the gastric body mucosa. After removal of the parasite with endoscopic biopsy forceps (figure 2), the patient’s severe epigastralgia, dyspnoea, cough, fever and respiratory failure improved almost immediately without steroid treatment. Radiographic abnormalities improved remarkably at 3 days after removal of the Anisakis. Because improvement of the patient’s EP occurred only after removal of the Anisakis, our ultimate diagnosis was EP due to gastric anisakiasis.

Although various parasitic diseases can cause EP, there have been no reports implicating Anisakis. Anisakiasis can be responsible for four clinical forms of illness in humans: gastric, intestinal and ectopic anisakidosis, and allergic forms. Three cases have been reported in which the Anisakis was located in the intrathoracic area rather than the gastrointestinal tract. Allergic patients suffering from anaphylaxis can exhibit bronchospasm, urticaria, angioneurotic oedema and digestive symptoms.

Figure 1  Histopathological findings of transbronchial lung biopsy. Eosinophilic infiltration (arrows) and fibrin depositions (arrowheads) are seen. (A) ×20 magnification; (B) ×40 magnification.

Figure 2  Oesophagostroduodenoscopy revealed an Anisakis at the gastric corpus. The Anisakis was removed with endoscopic biopsy forceps.

Our patient frequently ate raw seafood such as squid and saba (mackerel) before admission and was positive for the A simplex antibody by radioallergosorbent test. Therefore, we thought this to be a case of fulminant-type gastric anisakiasis with sensitisation and Arthus-type hypersensitivity reaction. Such allergic reactions might possibly cause EP.
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