Short- and long-term efficacy of a community-based COPD management programme in less advanced COPD: a randomised controlled trial

C R van Wetering,1 M Hoogendoorn,2 S J M Mol,1 M P M H Rutten-van Mölken,2 A M Schols3

ABSTRACT

Background: The effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation in advanced COPD is well established, but few data are available in less advanced disease.

Methods: In a 2 year randomised controlled trial, 199 patients with an average moderate airflow obstruction but impaired exercise capacity (mean (SD) forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) 60 (16)%, peak work load (Wmax) <70%) were randomised to the INTERdisciplinary COMmunity-based COPD management programme (INTERCOM) or usual care. Intervention consisted of 4 months multidisciplinary rehabilitation followed by a 20 month maintenance phase. Outcomes (4, 12, 24 months): health-related quality of life (St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ)), exacerbation frequency, MRC dyspnoea score, cycle endurance time (CET), 6-minute walking distance (6MWD), skeletal muscle strength and patients’ and caregivers’ perceived effectiveness.

Results: Between-group comparison after 4 months revealed the following mean (SE) significant differences in favour of INTERCOM: SGRQ total score 4.06 (1.39), p = 0.004; activity and impact subscors, p<0.01; MRC score 0.33 (0.13), p = 0.01; Wmax 6.0 (2.3) Watt, p = 0.02; CET 221 (104) s, p = 0.04; 6MWD 13 (6) m, p = 0.02; hand grip force 4.3 (1.5) lb, p<0.01; and fat-free mass index 0.34 (0.13) kg/m2, p = 0.01. Between-group differences over 2 years were as follows: SGRQ 2.60 (1.3), p = 0.04; MRC score 0.21 (0.10), p = 0.048; CET 253 (104) s, p = 0.0156; 6MWD 18 (8) m, p = 0.0155. Exacerbation frequency was not different (RR 1.29 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.87)). Patients’ and caregivers’ perceived effectiveness significantly favoured the INTERCOM programme (p<0.01).

Conclusions: This study shows that a multidisciplinary community-based disease management programme is also effective in patients with COPD with exercise impairment but less advanced airflow obstruction.

Trial registration number: NCT00840892

In patients with advanced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), beneficial effects of pulmonary rehabilitation programmes on exercise capacity, dyspnoea and quality of life are well established.1-4 Limited information is available about pulmonary rehabilitation in physically impaired patients with COPD with less advanced disease stages, although the majority of diagnosed patients have mild or moderate COPD as defined by the GOLD guidelines.4-5 Until recently, limited attention has been given to exercise intolerance and systemic impairment in patients with earlier stages of the disease. Patients with COPD are markedly inactive, and functional exercise capacity but not forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) showed the strongest correlation with physical activities in daily life.7 A high proportion of patients with muscle atrophy have mild to moderate COPD, independent of body mass index.8 No difference was seen in the prevalence of muscle atrophy between moderate and severe COPD. Commonly used GOLD stages do not adequately reflect exercise impairment, systemic impairment and the potential need for pulmonary rehabilitation. In a previous paper we showed that impaired exercise capacity was a significant determinant of disease burden, not only in patients with severe and very severe COPD but also in those with moderate disease.9 These findings provided the rationale to study the efficacy of pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with COPD whose exercise capacity is impaired but whose lung function obstruction is less advanced. To be able to provide tailored care to these patients close to their home and to enable access for a large and rapidly growing population of patients with COPD, we designed the INTERdisciplinary COMmunity-based COPD management programme (INTERCOM). The programme consisted of a 4-month rehabilitation phase and a 20-month active maintenance phase. Here we present the results of a 2 year randomised controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of the INTERCOM programme compared with usual care.

METHODS

Setting and participants

The INTERCOM trial recruited patients with COPD who were under the supervision of the department of respiratory medicine of two general hospitals in the Netherlands. Patients recruited had (1) impaired exercise capacity, defined as peak work load (Wmax) during incremental cycle ergometry <70% of the predicted normal value; (2) GOLD stage 2 or 3 COPD; and (3) were able and willing to participate in a community-based programme.11 Patients who had had prior rehabilitation and patients with serious co-morbidity that precluded exercise therapy were excluded. Patients were judged to be clinically stable at inclusion by their respiratory physician and pharmacotherapy was optimised.

Randomisation and interventions

Patients were randomised to the INTERCOM programme or to usual care using a computerised
procedure with concealed patient allocation. Outcomes were assessed at enrolment and 4, 12 and 24 months after the start of the trial, except for exacerbations which were recorded continuously and peak exercise capacity which was measured at baseline and 4 months only. All measurements were assessed single blind.

INTERCOM programme
The intervention consisted of an intensive 4-month standardised supervised rehabilitation phase and a 20-month active maintenance phase. The programme was designed to improve and subsequently maintain exercise capacity, to promote self-management skills and improve knowledge of COPD. Nutritional intervention and smoking cessation support were provided when indicated. The programme was offered by local physiotherapists and dieticians in the proximity of the patient’s home and by respiratory nurses from the hospital. Local caregivers were supervised by colleagues from the hospital.

During the first 4 months the patients visited the physiotherapists twice a week (30 min per visit) for intensive exercise training consisting of endurance training (cycling and walking) and four specific exercises for upper and lower extremities to improve both strength and endurance without the use of special equipment. Patients were instructed to perform the same exercises twice a day during 30 min in their home environment in addition to walking and cycling outside. Furthermore, all patients participated in an individualised education programme that was structured using a patient education book. All smokers were assigned to the respiratory nurse for standardised smoking cessation counselling according to the Minimal Intervention Strategy for Lung patients.12 Nutrionally depleted patients received scheduled counselling (four visits) by a dietician and nutritional supplements (Respifor, Nutricia, The Netherlands).13

During the 20-month active maintenance phase, patients visited the physiotherapist once a month to monitor exercise capacity and adherence to the training and to provide encouragement to continue the exercise training at home. After a patient had experienced an exacerbation, he/she was allowed to start six extra training sessions in 3 weeks at the physiotherapy practice. Nutrionally depleted patients visited the dietician four times in the maintenance phase (after 6, 9, 12 and 24 months). The visits to the respiratory nurse were scheduled upon indication or request.

The usual care group received pharmacotherapy according to accepted guidelines, a short smoking cessation advice by their chest physician and, if they were nutritionally depleted, a recommendation by their respiratory physician to eat more.

Outcomes and follow-up
Primary outcomes were change from baseline in disease-specific quality of life as assessed by the St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) total score and the total number of exacerbations (moderate plus severe).14 15 A moderate exacerbation was defined as a visit to the general practitioner or respiratory physician in combination with a prescription of antibiotics and/or prednisolone or a visit to the emergency department or day care of a hospital which, according to the patient, was related to a worsening of COPD symptoms. A severe exacerbation was defined as hospitalisation for a COPD exacerbation.

Secondary outcomes were change from baseline in subscores of the SGRQ (symptom, activity and impact scores), dyspnoea (modified MRC dyspnoea scale),16 exercise performance (Wmax), cycle endurance test (CET) at 50% Wmax for maximal 10 min and thereafter at 70% Wmax until exhaustion,17 6-minute walking test (6MWD), muscle strength (handgrip force (HGF)), isometric quadriceps peak torque (OFT), maximal inspiratory mouth pressure (PImax)),17 body composition (fat-free mass (FFM))17 and lung function. Details of the methods are provided in the online supplement.

After 24 months, both patients and caregivers were asked for a global assessment of perceived effectiveness on a 5-point Likert scale (much improved, slightly improved, no change, slightly worse and much worse).

Statistical analyses
All reported data analyses were prespecified in the statistical analyses plan; p values <0.05 indicated statistical significance. The analysis was performed according to an intention-to-treat (ITT) approach. All randomised patients who started the treatment (in the INTERCOM group) and who completed at least one post-randomisation outcome measurement (in both the INTERCOM group and usual care group) were included in the statistical analysis.

Differences in baseline characteristics between patients who completed the trial and patients who prematurely discontinued the trial were statistically tested using independent sample t tests for continuous normally distributed data, Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous non-normally distributed data and χ² tests for categorical variables.

Repeated measurement analysis was performed to analyse the change from baseline in all continuous outcome variables using the SAS procedure PROC MIXED with the covariance among repeated measures modelled as “unstructured”. The model included treatment, time (ie, measurement at 4, 12 and 24 months), treatment by time interaction, baseline SGRQ score, smoking status at baseline, FEV₁% predicted at baseline and the self-reported number of exacerbations during the 12 months preceding the trial. Differences in perceived effectiveness were tested using χ² tests. The total number of exacerbations was compared between treatment groups using negative binomial regression with treatment, smoking status at baseline, FEV₁% predicted at baseline and the self-reported number of exacerbations during the 12 months preceding the trial as factors and the natural logarithm of the length of the observation period as offset variable. The duration of the exacerbations was subtracted from the length of the observation period.

Based on a mean (SD) SGRQ score of 59 (12.5) and an improvement of 5 units, 98 patients were required in each group (α = 0.05, β = 0.20).

RESULTS
Patients
Between January 2002 and December 2004, 199 patients were enrolled in the trial. The patient disposition and the reasons for drop out are shown in fig 1. The baseline characteristics of the INTERCOM and usual care groups were comparable (table 1). At baseline, 89 patients (20%) were nutritionally depleted (23 in the INTERCOM group and 16 in the usual care group) and qualified for nutritional intervention. Thirteen of the 199 randomised patients did not start the treatment. The total drop-out rate was 24.5% (25 patients) in the INTERCOM group and 16.5% (16 patients) in the usual care group. This difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.22). In the INTERCOM
group drop-outs were older than those who completed the study, while in the usual care group drop-outs were younger than those who completed the study.

Outcomes at 4 months

Primary outcomes
Table 2 shows the results of the 4-month intensive part of the intervention. The SGRQ total score improved in the INTERCOM group and remained stable in the usual care group (mean (SE) difference in change from baseline 4.06 (1.39) units (p = 0.004). The number of exacerbations after 4 months did not differ between the two groups (RR 1.01 (95% CI 0.57 to 1.79).

Secondary outcomes
The differences between the two groups in mean (SE) change from baseline in SGRQ activity and SGRQ impact scores were 5.17 (2.00) units (p = 0.01) and 4.26 (1.56) units (p = 0.007), respectively. The between-group difference in mean (SE) change from baseline in MRC score was 0.33 (0.15) (p = 0.01). CET improved by 234 (79) s in the INTERCOM group compared with 29 (77) s in the usual care group, a mean (SE) difference of

Figure 1  Patient enrolment scheme.
FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; Wmax, peak work load.
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the randomised study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health outcome</th>
<th>Randomised patients (n = 199)</th>
<th>INTERCOM (n = 102)</th>
<th>Usual care (n = 97)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% male)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>65.9 (8.8)</td>
<td>67.2 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD hospital admissions in 12 months before trial (n)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>58 (17)</td>
<td>60 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Symptom score</td>
<td>45 (19)</td>
<td>42 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Total score</td>
<td>39 (15)</td>
<td>38 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Activity score</td>
<td>55 (18)</td>
<td>56 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Impact score</td>
<td>27 (16)</td>
<td>25 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MRC score</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-min walk test (% predicted)</td>
<td>80 (13)</td>
<td>83 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wmax (% predicted)</td>
<td>60 (19)</td>
<td>61 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGF (% predicted)</td>
<td>77 (17)</td>
<td>78 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPT (% predicted)</td>
<td>95 (21)</td>
<td>92 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg/m²)</td>
<td>17.1 (4.4)</td>
<td>16.7 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are n (%) or mean (SD).

*St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire: a higher score indicates a worse quality of life.

1Modified MRC dyspnoea score.

2Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

3BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FFMI, fat-free mass index; FVC, forced vital capacity; HGF, handgrip force; 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; QPT, isometric quadriceps peak torque; Pimax, maximal inspiratory mouth pressure; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; Wmax, peak exercise capacity.

Outcomes at 24 months

Primary outcomes

Figure 2A shows the change over time in SGRQ total score. The SGRQ total score initially improved in the INTERCOM group and remained stable in the usual care group. At 12 months the SGRQ score in the INTERCOM group had almost returned to baseline, whereas in the usual care group it remained stable up to 12 months and worsened thereafter. Over the total 2-year period there was a statistically significant difference of 2.60 (1.5) units (p = 0.045) in change from baseline between the two groups. The 2-year exacerbation frequency was not significantly different between the groups (RR 1.29; 95% CI 0.89 to 1.87).

Secondary outcomes

Over the 2-year period a significant difference between the groups in change from baseline MRC dyspnoea score of 0.21 (0.10) units (p = 0.048) was found in favour of the INTERCOM group (fig 2B). The difference between the INTERCOM group and the usual care group in exercise capacity increased over time. Over 2 years, CET significantly increased in the INTERCOM group by 172 (73) s (p = 0.02) and decreased in the usual care group by 81 (73) s (p = 0.27), resulting in a mean (SE) difference of 253 (104) s over the 2-year period which was statistically significant (p = 0.02, fig 3A). In contrast, functional exercise capacity reflecting habitual walking speed decreased in both groups, but significantly less in the INTERCOM group. The 6MWD score decreased over 24 months in the INTERCOM group by 15.1 (5.4) m (p =0.01) and in the usual care group by 33.4 (5.2) m (p <0.001), resulting in a mean (SE) difference of 18.3 (7.5) m which was statistically significant (p = 0.016, fig 3B).

Over the total 2-year period, HGF decreased in the usual care group by 1.9 (0.8) lb (p = 0.02) and in the INTERCOM group by 0.08 (0.5) lb (NS), resulting in a non-significant mean (SE) difference of 1.8 (1.5) lb (p = 0.12). Over 2 years, Pimax significantly improved in the INTERCOM group by 0.37 (0.14) kPa (p = 0.008) but did not change in the usual care group (mean (SE) 0.004 (0.15) kPa). This resulted in a mean (SE) difference of 0.37 (0.19) kPa over 2 years (p = 0.06). BMI in the INTERCOM group significantly increased by 0.51 (0.12) kg/m²

Table 2 Outcomes of INTERCOM compared with usual care after 4 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health outcome</th>
<th>Mean (SE) change from baseline</th>
<th>Mean (SE) difference in change from baseline as observed</th>
<th>Adjusted difference in change from baseline*</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Total</td>
<td>0.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Activity</td>
<td>0.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>5.2 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Symptom</td>
<td>45 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGRQ Impact</td>
<td>0.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC dyspnoea score</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CET (s)</td>
<td>29 (77)</td>
<td>205 (108)</td>
<td>221 (104)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD (m)</td>
<td>−15.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>13.8 (5.5)</td>
<td>13.3 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wmax (W)</td>
<td>−0.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>5.6 (2.3)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGF (lb)</td>
<td>−1.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPT (Nm)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>2.1 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimax (kPa)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>−0.23 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% predicted)</td>
<td>−1.74 (1.04)</td>
<td>2.61 (1.27)</td>
<td>2.75 (1.28)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference in change from baseline adjusted for the baseline value of the parameter, smoking status, FEV1 percentage predicted and the self-reported number of exacerbations during the 12 months preceding the trial.

BMI, body mass index; CET, cycle endurance test; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FFMI, fat-free mass index; HGF, handgrip force; 6MWD, 6-minute walking distance; QPT, isometric quadriceps peak torque; Pimax, maximal inspiratory mouth pressure; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; Wmax, peak exercise capacity.
compared with baseline (p = 0.01). In the usual care group BMI increased by 0.14 (0.12) kg/m² (p = 0.24), resulting in a non-significant mean (SE) difference of 0.18 (0.17) kg/m² (p = 0.30). Over the total 24 months the FEV₁ in the usual care group decreased significantly by 2.9 (0.7)% predicted (p < 0.001). In the INTERCOM group the decrease of 1.6 (0.8)% predicted was not significant. The mean (SE) difference between the two groups of 1.3 (1.1)% predicted was also not significant. The change from baseline in SGRQ subscores, QFT and FFMI was not significantly different between or within the two groups.

Results on perceived effectiveness showed that, both for patients (fig 4) and respiratory physicians, the perceived effectiveness was significantly better for the INTERCOM group with p values of <0.001 and 0.01, respectively.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first randomised controlled trial showing that community-based pulmonary rehabilitation is feasible and effective, even for patients with less advanced airflow obstruction. The intensive 4-month rehabilitation resulted in significant improvements in health-related quality of life, breathlessness, exercise performance, muscle strength and body composition. In the following 20 months patients participated in an active maintenance programme. Quality of life, functional exercise capacity and breathlessness remained significantly different between the INTERCOM and usual care groups over the entire 2-year evaluation. The positive results in terms of functional outcomes were not reflected in a decrease in exacerbation frequency. The influence of pulmonary rehabilitation on exacerbations and their appropriateness as a primary outcome variable is still debated. Improved early recognition of exacerbations has been suggested to explain a similar or even increased exacerbation frequency after rehabilitation.

Community-based pulmonary rehabilitation programmes for patients with COPD have been studied before and significant improvements in exercise capacity, dyspnoea and health-related quality of life have been reported. However, mean percentage predicted FEV₁ in former studies was substantially lower at 40–45% compared with 60% in our study. Two other Dutch studies have reported the outcome of community-based programmes in patients with COPD with comparable airflow obstruction. The COPE study evaluated a COPD self-management programme consisting of fitness, education and self-treatment of exacerbations and reported no significant effect on health-related quality of life and 6MWD after 6 and 12 months. Campbach et al reported that rehabilitation in local physiotherapy practices improved exercise tolerance and quality of life after 6 months, but the study group consisted of both patients with asthma (35%) and patients with less severe COPD (65%). Most of the community-based programmes...
studied previously focused particularly on physical exercise training without providing a comprehensive multidisciplinary approach comparable to the INTERCOM programme. Furthermore, since no data are available on cost-effectiveness of outpatient or home-based pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with less severe COPD, we conducted a full economic evaluation of the INTERCOM programme which is reported elsewhere. The physiotherapy sessions focused on behavioural changes towards a more physically active lifestyle including walking, cycling, gardening and any form of physical activity tailored to the preferences and possibilities for each individual patient. This approach has already been proved to be effective in increasing physical activity in healthy elderly subjects but, at the time we designed this study in 2001, had not been applied in COPD before.

The within-group change in CET of 278 s which we observed over 24 months can be considered as clinically relevant according to other studies. Recent guidelines advise using a submaximal cycle ergometer test instead of peak exercise capacity as the outcome measure. While CET significantly improved after 4 months and was maintained in the active phase, no improvement was observed in 6MWD. Interestingly, and in line with our hypothesis, walking time assessed by accelerometry was highly correlated with the 6MWD and more modestly correlated with maximal exercise capacity, lung function and muscle force.

In contrast with the improvements in CET, no effect was seen on quadriceps muscle strength. The lack of effect on this outcome measure is most likely related to the fact that mean quadriceps muscle strength at baseline was within normal limits. The drop-out rate was 24.5% in the INTERCOM group, only 19% of whom actually started the programme. Considering the 2-year duration of the study, this drop-out rate is not unusual. Most patients dropped out because they died or because of serious co-morbidity. It is important to note that only 9% of the patients in the INTERCOM intervention group dropped out because of unwillingness to continue their participation. This low proportion illustrates the feasibility of the community-based programme. The drop-out rate in the usual care group was 16.5%. Moreover, while drop-outs in the INTERCOM group were older than those who completed the study, in the usual care group drop-outs were younger. To adjust for potential differences in disease severity between the groups, the statistical analyses were adjusted for baseline SGRQ score, smoking status, percentage predicted FEV\textsubscript{1} and self-reported number of exacerbations during the 12 months preceding the trial.

In conclusion, our interdisciplinary community-based COPD management programme proved to be a feasible approach to improve disease-specific quality of life, dyspnoea and functional exercise capacity during a 2-year follow-up period in patients with impaired exercise capacity but less advanced airflow obstruction.
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