Simplified cell culture method for the diagnosis of atypical primary ciliary dyskinesia
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ABSTRACT

Background: The diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) can be challenging, and it may be particularly difficult to distinguish primary ciliary disease from the secondary changes after infections.

Objectives: The purpose of the study was to evaluate if nasal epithelial cells, obtained with nasal brushing instead of a biopsy, could be used in a culture system for the diagnosis of PCD in difficult cases.

Methods and main results: Ciliary motion analysis (CMA) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were performed on 59 subjects with persistent or recurrent pneumonia. These investigations allowed the diagnosis of PCD in 13 (22%) patients while the defect of the cilia was considered secondary to infections in 37 (63%) subjects. In the remaining nine (15%) patients the diagnostic evaluation with CMA and TEM remained inconclusive. Ciliogenesis in culture allowed the diagnosis of PCD in four of these patients, it was indicative of a secondary defect in two subjects, and it was not helpful in the remaining three patients.

Conclusions: Culture of cells obtained with brushing of the nasal turbinate is not a perfect test, nevertheless it may offer diagnostic help in doubtful cases of PCD.

In these conditions genetic analysis would represent the ideal solution, but PCD is genetically heterogeneous, being caused by mutations in a number of different genes which have not yet all been discovered. Nasal nitric oxide (nNO) is helpful in the evaluation, usually being low even in atypical PCD. However, nNO may be normal in a minority of patients with PCD and it may be low in subjects with other conditions such as, for example, sinusitis, upper airway infections and cystic fibrosis.

In these difficult situations the diagnosis can be reached with the culture of ciliated cells in sequential monolayer–suspension since all secondary damage is virtually absent after regrowth of the ciliated epithelium in culture while primary defects remain unaffected. However, a number of limitations and disadvantages of cell culture systems have been reported. In particular, a biopsy of nasal turbinate is needed to start the culture, since brushings and scrapings were previously considered not to give sufficient material, with up to 50% of inconclusive investigations; however, this procedure induces epistaxis and pain. Furthermore, the primary culture system using biopsy material is complicated and technically demanding.

For these reasons the aim of our study was to assess if nasal brushing could be used when a simplified cell culture system is applied in the diagnostic investigation of difficult to diagnose patients with PCD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Fifty-nine consecutive subjects with a clinical history of recurrent pneumonia, defined as at least two pneumonia episodes in 1 year or >3 at any time, were evaluated in the Department of Pediatrics, University of Pisa, a National Referral centre, to rule out PCD. Pneumonia was defined as the presence of fever and acute respiratory symptoms with crackles on auscultation. Evidence of concomitant parenchymal infiltrates on chest radiography was required on at least two occasions. The evaluation was performed when subjects were free from acute respiratory infection for at least 3–4 weeks.

Patients were required to stop any pharmacological treatment 48 h prior to the evaluation. Ciliary motion analysis and ultrastructural assessment were performed on nasal brushing samples. Concomitantly, nasal epithelial cells obtained in the same nasal brushing procedure were cultured for ciliary functional analysis after ciliogenesis. A
second ciliary motion analysis and ultrastructural assessment was only performed in subjects with inconclusive results after the first evaluation.

Informed consent for nasal brushing was obtained from the children’s parents, and the Hospital Ethical Committee approved the study protocol.

Nasal sampling
Samples, obtained from the inferior turbinate using a cytology brush (Microvasive, Milford, Massachusetts, USA), were suspended in 2 ml of Medium 199 fluid cell culture, in 2 ml of normal saline or in 5 ml of a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/2% antibiotic solution, for immediate light microscopic evaluation, for TEM evaluation and for cell cultures, respectively. Ciliary motion analysis, ultrastructural assessment and cultures were performed by different operators, each one blind to the results obtained by the others.

Ciliary motion analysis and ultrastructural studies
Ciliary morphology, motion pattern, beat frequency and ultrastructural studies were evaluated according to standardised methodology. Subjects were classified as having PCD or secondary ciliary dyskinesia (SCD) on the basis of structural and functional ciliary abnormalities. In case of inconclusive functional or TEM evaluations, patients had to be considered borderline (fig 1) and a second evaluation was performed 4–6 months later, after appropriate antibiotic and anti-inflammatory treatment (β-lactam compounds in combination with β-lactamase inhibitors and azithromycin) to try to distinguish between primary and secondary problems.

Nasal nitric oxide
nNO was evaluated according to suggested methodology. Nasal air was sampled continuously with a constant transnasal flow of 1.8 ml/s for ≥50 s (CLD 88 Exhalyzer; EcoPhysics, Duernten, Switzerland).

Figure 1. Simplified diagnostic algorithm for atypical primary ciliary dyskinesia (*nasal nitric oxide evaluations might be of help in the diagnostic process).

Suspension cell cultures
The cell suspension used for cell cultures was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 7 min to remove PBS. The pellet was resuspended in 4 ml of medium (see culture medium section for details) and cells were seeded in well culture plates previously coated with 1% gel. After 24 h samples were moved to another well to eliminate adherent cells and erythrocytes. Cultures were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2, adding 1 ml of fresh medium every 3 days and changing the medium once a week. Ciliogenesis of human respiratory epithelium takes place when aggregated cells are floating through the medium in the form of spheroids. After ciliogenesis in culture continuous movements (migrations and/or rotations) of the spheroids are considered to be the expression of coordinated ciliary activity. As previously reported, by this technique spheroids can be identified after 24–48 h of culture but, in our protocol, the evaluation of ciliary activity was performed on the 21st day of culture when new cilia formation had definitely occurred.

Culture medium
For cell cultures we used Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)/nutrient mixture F-12 Ham 1:1 (Sigma, Milan, Italy) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2% (v/v) l-glutamine, 2% (v/v) antibiotic/antimycotic solution, 5 μg/ml insulin, 100 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 30 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF), 2 μg/ml endothelial cell growth supplement from bovine pituitary (ECGS), 200 pM triiodo-L-thyronine and 10 μg/ml transferrin.

Ciliary activity evaluation after ciliogenesis in culture
Spheroids were identified using inverse microscopy (Inverted Microscope TE2000-S, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) at ×60 and images were analysed every 3 days (see video clips in the online data supplement). Absence of coordinated ciliary activity or ciliary immotility on the 21st day of culture was considered diagnostic for PCD. Additional details of the method are provided in the online data supplement.
Statistical analyses
Baseline patient characteristics are reported as group mean (SD). Medians for nNO were considered. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were performed using SPSS version 14.0 software for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA) for personal computers.29

In the absence of a true gold standard test, the diagnosis obtained, at the end of the diagnostic pathway as described above (fig. 1), by ciliary motion analysis, TEM evaluation and based on ciliary activity evaluation after ciliogenesis in culture, was accepted.17

RESULTS
Of 59 subjects (28 males) studied, 42 were children (age range: 3 months–17.5 years, mean (SD) 8.8 (4.7) years) and 17 were adults (age range: 20.8–57.4 years, mean (SD) 54.9 (12.1) years).

Immunological abnormalities were excluded in all patients. No subject had cystic fibrosis or cystic fibrosis gene mutations (33 mutations have been sought), and careful examination allowed us to exclude swallowing problems and clinical signs of supraoesophageal complications of gastro-oesophageal reflux.

In 20 children brushing was repeated a second time, for ciliary motion analysis and ultrastructural assessment, because of equivocal results at the first examination.

Ciliary motion analysis (abnormal motion patterns, including immotile cilia and/or very low ciliary beat frequency) and TEM evaluation of cilia (alterations of the central pair and deficiencies of the dynein arms, associated with a small proportion of swollen cilia and compound cilia) confirmed the diagnosis of PCD in 13 (7 children, 6 adults) subjects (22%); in two patients the diagnosis was reached with the second sampling. In those 13 patients the median nNO was 64.9 parts per billion (ppb) and the range was 9.1–1000 ppb; in 2 patients the value of nNO was >200 ppb. Epithelial cell culture confirmed the diagnosis of PCD in 10 patients (see online video 1); in the remaining 3 subjects this was not possible because the cultures became infected. According to the clinical phenotype, 8 of these 13 patients had Kartagener syndrome.

In another 37 (62.7%) patients (27 children, 10 adults), ciliary motion analysis demonstrated abnormal patterns in a small proportion of cilia, prevalence of thick cilia and low ciliary beat frequency, compatible with SCD (in 9 of them the diagnosis was reached after the second sampling). In those 37 subjects, TEM evaluation clearly showed non-specific abnormalities compatible with chronic inflammation (prevalence of swollen cilia and compound cilia). In this group the median nNO was 550.2 ppb and the range was 32.7–1000 ppb (in one subject nNO was <200 ppb), and epithelial cell culture confirmed the secondary nature of the lesions in 50 subjects and failed in 7 subjects (4 infected cell culture, 3 borderline—that is, ambiguous migration and/or rotations: see video 2 in the online supplement). In the 3 patients with a borderline culture, nNO values were 579.9, 576.7 and 77.6 ppb, respectively.

In the remaining 9 (15.2%) subjects (1 with dextrocardia, 3 with situs viscerum inversus) functional or TEM evaluations were inconclusive (borderline), even when the investigation was repeated after intensive and prolonged treatment with antibiotics. In those patients the median nNO was 466.6 ppb, range 19.8–814.8 ppb (in 5 patients >200 ppb). However, in 4 out of these 9 patients classified as borderline (44%) functional ciliary evaluation after ciliogenesis in culture allowed a firm diagnosis of PCD in relation to complete absence of either migration or rotation of spheroids (see video 3 in the online supplement). In these 4 patients the individual results for nNO were 19.8, 26.9, 33.7 and 466.6 ppb. Furthermore, the culture was indicative of SCD in 2 cases with nNO values of 814.8 and 794.7 ppb and did not help diagnostically in 3 subjects (1 infected cell culture, 2 borderline). In these 3 patients who remained undiagnosed, individual nNO results were 725.5, 64.3 and 576.7 ppb.

Thus the nasal epithelial cell culture procedure was successful in confirming or excluding the diagnosis of PCD in 46 out of 59 cases (78%); 8 cultures became infected and were unusable. Unfortunately, the cultures were borderline in 5 (9%) cases, since a coordinated activity or, on the contrary, complete immotility was not observed. However, in 5 out of these 5 cases ciliary motion analysis and TEM evaluation already had provided a diagnosis of SCD. Therefore, combining the results of all the investigations, the diagnosis of PCD was established in 17 subjects, the diagnosis of SCD was obtained in 59 subjects, and only 5 patients were classified as borderline (table 1).

DISCUSSION
We have shown that nasal epithelium cell culture is also possible from cells obtained with nasal brushing. A successful culture in nearly 80% of the samples is clearly superior to the 50% previously reported17 and is similar to that reported from cultures of samples obtained from a nasal turbinate biopsy.26 Culture from brushings16 instead of a biopsy15–17 31 38 has several advantages besides that of easier sampling. Epithelial cells do not need to be dissociated from the tissue with proteases, require fewer suspension–centrifugation–resuspension passages which reduces the risk of cellular damage, and do not need to be placed on thick collagen. Furthermore, the culture medium does not have to be replaced each day but only once a week, and the formation of stable aggregates (epithelial spheroids) of cilia-producing cells occurs earlier. All these aspects reduce the technical complexity of the procedure which has the same specificity (100%) claimed in a review on the topic of cultures of cells obtained with a turbinate biopsy.32 Furthermore, starting the culture directly from the brushed material may reduce the need for a second sampling after a period of antibiotic and anti-inflammatory treatment as suggested in fig 1. A slightly similar approach for the culture of airway epithelial cells was previously followed by Toskala et al patients with chronic sinusitis.32 This group performed a simple brush after pretreatment with cocaine and adrenaline, and the samples had to be digested with pronase in order to remove fibroblasts. Furthermore, large mucosal specimens were not taken from controls for ethical reasons, suggesting theirs is a more invasive technique. Our method, not previously attempted, has the advantage of being simple and non-invasive, and can give an answer after 3 weeks.

The culture of ciliated cells is only necessary in the case of doubt and is not obligatory for the diagnosis of patients with clear-cut PCD. However, on occasions the diagnosis of PCD may be difficult due to damage of the respiratory epithelium secondary to infection or inflammation, or there may be near normal TEM despite alteration in ciliary beat patterns strongly suggestive of a primary disease. If secondary damage is suspected a second sampling is usually recommended after a period of antibiotic and anti-inflammatory therapy. Nevertheless, in our study population, this was still not diagnostic in ~15% (9 out of 59) of the patients. The unsuccessful outcome can be explained either by non-adherence to the suggested regimen, to colonisation with resistant microorganisms or to the long-lasting nature of the infection/inflammation processes.
If the diagnosis is difficult, or if the patient is suspected of having an unusual PCD phenotype, which has been reported to be the case in as many as 25% of patients, culture of ciliated cells provides additional information. Effectively, secondary damage is virtually absent after ciliogenesis in a suspension culture. For these reasons we agree with Bush et al that this evaluation is helpful for the selection of patients for brush biopsy and that if a repeated brushing fails to yield an answer, nNO may be a very helpful diagnostic pointer; however, we would like to stress that, until the genetics of the disease are completely worked out, some patients will remain a diagnostic puzzle. Indeed, despite investigations three patients remained undiagnosed, and one of these had nNO <200 ppb, which may indicate a primary defect.

In our study, cultures obtained from three patients with presumed SCD remained borderline—that is, a coordinated activity or, on the contrary, complete immotility was not observed. In these subjects further testing, including possibly genetic testing, is required. Furthermore, one of these patients had nNO <200 ppb, which may indicate a primary defect.

In general, in our study population, adding nNO in the diagnostic algorithm of ciliary dysfunction diseases was misleading (>200 ppb) in 3 out of 17 (17.6%) patients with PCD, and was abnormally low (<200 ppb) in one patient out of 39 (2.6%) with the final diagnosis of SCD and in 1 out of 3 patients who remained without a definitive diagnosis also after ciliogenesis in culture. For these reasons we agree with Bush et al that this evaluation is helpful for the selection of patients for brush biopsy and that if a repeated brushing fails to yield an answer, nNO may be a very helpful diagnostic pointer; however, we would like to stress that, until the genetics of the disease are completely worked out, some patients will remain a diagnostic puzzle. Indeed, despite investigations three patients remained undiagnosed, and one of these had nNO <200 ppb suggesting a possible diagnosis of PCD. Again, whether a culture of respiratory epithelial cells obtained with a turbinate biopsy would solve the diagnostic dilemma in these three patients is unknown. In the meantime we recommend that the follow-up and treatment for those subjects should be the same as that of subjects with PCD, with regular physiotherapy and prompt and aggressive use of antibiotics in the case of exacerbations in order to prevent further airway damage.

Our study has at least two limitations. First, electron microscopy was not performed in cultured cells. This would strengthen our findings, but it was not possible because of the small amount of tissue present in the cultures. Secondly, the sensitivity, specificity, and the positive and negative predictive values of ciliogenesis in culture could not be calculated using the gold standard of cases with an independent genetic diagnosis, but this is actually not possible in clinical practice.

Culture of respiratory epithelial cells obtained with brushing is not a perfect test, mainly because of the possibility of infection leading to loss of the culture, but it may offer diagnostic help in doubtful cases of PCD. It has the further advantage of ease of performance and less trauma than cup forceps biopsy of the turbinates.

In conclusion, the diagnosis of PCD should be performed on the basis of ciliary function analysis and TEM, which are the gold standards in diagnosis by current methods. In light of there being insufficient material for both ciliary function analysis and TEM following culture this approach cannot be used in all patients. However, if ciliary function analysis, a test which is immediately available, is suggestive for the disease and if the patients lives far away from the centre, a cell culture may be considered concomitantly in a single diagnostic session.
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