CRB-65 for the assessment of pneumonia severity: who could ask for more?
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According to a widespread consensus reflected in all authoritative guidelines, assessment of severity is the key step in the management of patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). It is a major criterion in the decision where to treat a patient and it widely determines the amount of diagnostic workup as well as the selection of initial empiric antimicrobial treatment. Overall, it has major implications in terms of outcomes and costs. Two lines of investigation have resulted in two competing tools of severity assessment: the pneumonia severity index (PSI)1 and the CURB score and its modifications (CURB-65, CRB-65).2,4 In the meantime, it has become evident that the PSI and the CRB-65 score, as the most simple modification of the original CURB score, perform equivalent in terms of prediction of in-hospital death. Both predict death in a class 5 pattern, with mortality rates of approximately 1–5% (PSI class I–III, CRB-65 class 1), 8–10% (PSI class IV and CRB-class 2) and 20–50% (PSI class V and CRB-65 class 3).2,4 Both scores also work reasonably well in ambulatory patients.4 However, as the CRB-65 score is far easier to remember and to compute, being based exclusively on variables which can be immediately determined without any technical expense other than blood pressure measurement, this score is the one which will gain general acceptance.7

It is intriguing that such a simple rule is able to predict the risk of death so accurately. Nevertheless, in this issue of Thorax, Chalmers and colleagues5 provide convincing data from a large population showing that the CRB-65 score can be simplified even more by omitting diastolic blood pressure <60 mm Hg as a criterion of hypotension (see page 698). Thus CRB-65 can be applied, checking for age >65 years, the presence of new onset pneumonia associated mental confusion, hypotension with systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg and a respiratory rate >30/min, applying 1 point for each criterion met, with assignment to risk class 1 for those with no points, risk class 2 for those with 1 or 2 points and risk class 3 for those with 3 or 4 points. Patients meeting risk class 1 would be ideal candidates for ambulatory treatment in the absence of any severe decompensated comorbidity, pneumonia related complication (such as large pleural effusion) or social factors requiring hospital care. The additional simplification achieved by Chalmers and colleagues5 is important because increasing simplicity of a prediction rule increases its chance of being accepted and applied in everyday practice.

However, no prediction rule is perfect. One important shortcoming of the CRB-65 rule (as well as of the PSI) is the major role of age. Although age is a strong predictor of death from CAP, it is not a good argument in favour of hospital admission in the absence of other severity criteria. As age >65 years implies 1 risk point, all patients aged >65 years are categorised as risk class 2 at least, and are excluded from the low risk class which suggests ambulatory treatment. Recent data indicate that the majority of acutely ill elderly patients prefer home treatment. Moreover, patients treated in a hospital-at-home model of care had fewer procedures, similar quality of care, shorter treatment stays, lower costs and fewer complications.7 Thus although the statistical risk of death is clearly independently increased by age, it should not be the sole criterion for hospital admission.

A very important group with a very high risk of CAP (or nursing home acquired pneumonia) is the very elderly and severely disabled population. In this group, inclusion of functional status may result in superior predictions of death compared with current severity scores.10 11 However, a principal concern is whether the prediction of death is an appropriate criterion for hospitalisation, particularly in this population. Several recent reports have shown that adverse outcomes are not restricted to the risk of death but include persistent deteriorations in performance state in these populations. Generic risk factors for functional decline after hospitalisation have been identified, including increasing age, admission mental status as well as overall functional status.12 Accordingly, El Solh et al. demonstrated that in 301 consecutive patients hospitalised for CAP (mean age 74 (5) years), 56% of patients developed functional decline at discharge and 11% had persistent functional impairment at 3 months. Lack of recovery in functional status at 3 months was associated with impaired cognitive ability and predismis sion comorbidities. The Charlson comorbidity index was the best predictor of loss of functionality at the time of hospital discharge.12 In addition, it could be shown that in nursing home residents, all patients lost function after hospital admission, with loss being even more pronounced in the functionally independent group.12

The relevant issue in this context is whether these patients with adverse functional outcomes would have done better if treated under home conditions. Several data support this hypothesis. It has been shown that for residents with low and medium mortality risk, nursing home treatment is likely to be safe and less costly.10 11 Using a clinical pathway for onsite treatment of pneumonia and other lower respiratory tract infections in nursing homes based on use of oral antimicrobials, portable chest radiographs, oxygen saturation monitoring, rehydration and close monitoring by a research nurse, clinical outcomes were comparable with a usual strategy, including frequent hospitalisation.13 Moreover, in one study, treatment for pneumonia without hospital transfer resulted in even better 2 month outcomes compared with hospital treatment. The benefits of long term care facility treatment appeared to be greatest for those with less severe pneumonia and more independent functional status.14 Dependent functional status may be a good marker for those unlikely to benefit from hospital transfer.14 On the other hand, factors such as oral antimicrobial treatment failure may be important to consider in favour of hospitalisation.15
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The place of varenicline in smoking cessation treatment

Paul Aveyard

In this issue of *Thorax* Aubin and colleagues report a further trial from the Varenicline Phase III Programme (see page 717). The trials supporting registration contrasted bupropion with varenicline in a double placebo design. This study examines the efficacy of varenicline against nicotine replacement therapy (NRT). In many countries, including the UK, bupropion is rarely used and NRT is the predominant treatment offered in general practices and in specialist smoking cessation clinics. It is not practical to obtain placebo NRT, so this trial was of an open-label design. This publication follows a study by Stapleton et al with historical controls which showed that varenicline is superior to NRT in achieving abstinence and in reducing withdrawal phenomena such as urges to smoke and withdrawal symptoms.

Varenicline is licensed for smoking cessation around the world, but in the UK the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) makes
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