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ABSTRACT
The spectrum of diseases collectively known as pulmonary fibrosis does not have reliable therapeutic options. Many treatment protocols have been the subject of clinical trials, resulting in few significant improvements in outcome. Recently, cellular mechanisms have been the focus of investigations in progenitor cell studies, suggesting an immunomodulatory role for mesenchymal stem cells. The possible role of fibrogenic cytokines and the use of antagonist molecules are promising for future therapies. A range of anti-inflammatory treatments, including macrolide antibiotics, may also hold promise. When medical therapy has been unsuccessful in altering the course of the disease, lung transplantation may offer a significant survival advantage. Improvement in pre-transplant assessment and postoperative care, combined with more effective immunosuppressive regimes, has seen survival rates of 40% after 5 years. New options for therapy will lead to improved survival in coming years.

NOVEL THERAPIES
The basis for the use of anti-inflammatory therapies in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is the finding of a chronic inflammatory infiltrate associated with progressive matrix and collagen deposition with angiogenesis. The definition of specific pathological entities in the spectrum of IPF has led to the need for precision in diagnosis and specificity in treatment plan. The combination of prednisolone and either azathioprine or cyclophosphamide in current treatment recommendations provides optimal outcomes for usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) based on available evidence. The limited effectiveness of established approaches has led to a search for novel therapies based on anti-fibrotic strategies. Parallels have been drawn with other immunologically-driven lung conditions characterised by cell infiltration, fibrosis and increased vascularity, including chronic asthma. Although remodelling changes in asthma have been shown to recede with corticosteroid therapy, there is little objective evidence to support this view in IPF. However, potential targets for therapeutic intervention in IPF are (1) cells involved in the inflammatory response, (2) cytokines/growth factors likely to orchestrate cellular events, and (3) chemical mediators of the inflammatory/fibrogenic response. The quest for novel therapies has been limited by a range of factors, including the applicability of animal models and relative infrequency of IPF in the general population. The diversity of entities called IPF has led to the need for a biopsy-proven disease classification. Accurate diagnosis may also be difficult because of mixed forms of the disease. Despite shortcomings, some promising antifibrotic strategies have emerged that are directly applicable to IPF.

Cellular targets
IPF is characterised by an increase in fibroblast activity, collagen and matrix deposition as well as angiogenesis. Although likely to be associated with an influx of inflammatory cells and structural cell progenitors (fibroblasts, angioblasts), there is evidence to support the use of mesenchymal stem cells for therapy in IPF. Studies in the bleomycin mouse model have shown that gene-labelled stem cells (lacZ) derived from bone marrow migrate to and proliferate within the injured lung. Furthermore, these cells have apparently caused regression of established fibrosis. Although the mechanism for regression is not clear, there may be an immunomodulatory function of mesenchymal stem cells operating to suppress inflammation and promote restoration of lung “modelling” rather than scarring with “remodelling”. A recent report indicates that haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow have immunosuppressive and healing properties in severe graft versus host disease refractory to prednisolone, infliximab and daclizumab. This inflammatory response directed at host tissues was abrogated and the integrity of the epithelial surfaces was restored. Although it may be expected that stem cells might home to the prepared field of inflammation in the lung, the response did not generate further tissue deposition. An additional important study indicates the possibility of harvesting mesenchymal stem cells from adult peripheral blood, making the feasibility of a therapeutic option more likely.

The tissue remodelling seen in the asthmatic airway associated with myofibroblast and angiogenic activity is considered to be associated with abnormal T lymphocyte function. CD4 helper lymphocytes may be subject to Th1/Th2 subset imbalance and fibrogenic cytokine generation. Factors include excessive interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-15, with reduced interferon (IFN)-γ, all implicated in fibrogenesis. Direct evidence exists in lung biopsies from subjects with IPF. Potentially, manipulation of the cell-mediated immune response to reduce the Th2 cytokine effect may also carry an antifibrogenic effect.

Fibrogenic cytokines
Targeting fibrogenic factors may be a useful strategy in the treatment of IPF. Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β is a potent fibrogenic agent that has been implicated in the pathogenesis of IPF for some time. Receptors for TGF-β are present.
on many relevant cell types and it may be produced by platelets, eosinophils, macrophages, fibroblasts and T cells.\textsuperscript{17–19} It has a number of actions that enhance fibrosis, including fibroblast chemotaxis, proliferation and stimulation of collagen synthesis. It also inhibits collagenase production, reducing breakdown and resorption of matrix components.\textsuperscript{20–22} TGF-\textbeta may exist in an inactive form which is activated after release. In addition to increased production in IPF, studies have shown the collateral effect of induction of expression of procollagen, fibronectin and TGF-\textbeta mRNA in associated macrophages.\textsuperscript{23} Also, it is likely that the effect of TGF-\textbeta is dependent on Smad expression.\textsuperscript{24} Mice lacking Smad3 (cytoplasmic transcription factor for the TGF-\textbeta type II receptor) are resistant to bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis.\textsuperscript{25} These mechanisms underscore the potent role of this factor in the fibrotic response. Antagonists to TGF-\textbeta are likely to be useful in the therapeutic setting, and a clinical trial of monoclonal antibodies to TGF-\textbeta in fibrotic ocular disease is currently underway.\textsuperscript{26} Induction of naturally occurring matrix components that can antagonise TGF-\textbeta, such as decorin, may also be therapeutically useful options.\textsuperscript{27}

Tumour necrosis factor (TNF-\textalpha) is a pro-inflammatory cytokine with fibrogenic properties.\textsuperscript{28–30} It is produced mainly by monocytes and macrophages and is capable of stimulating collagen synthesis and fibroblast proliferation.\textsuperscript{31} It also acts indirectly by inducing TGF-\textbeta.\textsuperscript{32} In TNF\textalpha transgenic mice, animals develop a lymphocytic fibrosing alveolitis\textsuperscript{33} and TNF-\textalpha gene knockout mice are resistant to bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis.\textsuperscript{34} Also, strains more sensitive to bleomycin show more significant TNF-\textalpha responses.\textsuperscript{35} In addition to experimental studies, there is a large body of data linking TNF-\textalpha to human IPF. Macrophages in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid from patients with IPF produce more TNF-\textalpha in supernatant fluid than controls.\textsuperscript{36} TNF-\textalpha is more highly expressed in affected areas of lung in fibrotic lung disease,\textsuperscript{37} and there is early evidence that the use of the soluble TNF\textalpha receptor agent etanercept with prednisolone may improve lung function in biopsy-proven UIP. Use of this agent in rheumatoid arthritis and a range of other chronic inflammatory disorders provided significant benefits where corticosteroids have not proved to be useful. Recent evidence should be kept in mind, which indicates that oversupply of TNF\textalpha may actually suppress fibrosis.\textsuperscript{38–39}

The Th1 cytokine IFN-\gamma-1b may be antifibrotic through suppression of Th2 fibrogenic functions. Evidence supports an effect suppressing growth and division of fibroblasts\textsuperscript{40},\textsuperscript{41} as well as collagen synthesis.\textsuperscript{42} There has been speculation regarding the importance of interferons as antifibrotic agents in IPF since growth factors were identified as novel therapeutic options.\textsuperscript{43} An early trial addressed the role of IFN-\gamma-1b over 12 months. This pilot study of 18 subjects with IPF unresponsive to corticosteroids found significant benefit in total lung capacity and arterial oxygen after 1 year of treatment.\textsuperscript{44} Those on active treatment showed improvement, while those on placebo did not. A larger multicentre study of 550 patients unresponsive to corticosteroids studied over 58 weeks showed no significant improvement in lung function or survival.\textsuperscript{45} Of the actively treated subjects, 10% died before the end of the study compared with 17% in the placebo group. Pneumonia appeared to be more frequent in the actively treated group, and a subsequent report suggests that a pro-inflammatory state may follow the initiation of treatment in some subjects.\textsuperscript{46} Although encouraging, cytokine therapies in inflammatory states must be assessed carefully, given the potential for a range of responses\textsuperscript{47} that may further depend on receptor subtypes or polymorphisms.

Small molecule agents

The antifibrotic actions of the small molecule drug pirfenidone have been studied in pulmonary fibrosis, based on the ability of this agent to block TGF-\textbeta gene expression and stimulated collagen production in the rodent bleomycin model.\textsuperscript{47–48} The rodent models were able to show inhibition of fibrosis\textsuperscript{49} using pirfenidone and clinical trials have since shown some value.\textsuperscript{50} Well tolerated in this open study, this agent is currently under further investigation in rheumatological, hepatic, renal and cardiac diseases.

The prostaglandin PGE\textsubscript{2} is produced through metabolism of arachidonic acid via the cyclooxygenase pathway, and specifically by cyclooxygenase-1. It is known to have a bronchodilatory action in asthma, opposing the cysteinyl leukotrienes. Produced by alveolar macrophages in sarcoidosis,\textsuperscript{51} an antifibrotic role has been confirmed with the finding of reduced levels in lung fibroblasts from patients with IPF,\textsuperscript{52} as well as enhancement of fibrosis in bleomycin-treated mice derived from cyclooxygenase-2 strains.\textsuperscript{53} Interestingly, these animals had lost protection against the fibrotic effects of TGF-\textbeta. Cyclooxygenase-2 appears to provide some protective effect against some forms of fibrosis and its product PGE\textsubscript{2} may have an anti-inflammatory effect.\textsuperscript{54} Treatments that raise cAMP levels and cyclooxygenase-2 activity may have some benefit in IPF.

The macrolide rapamycin has been shown to act in an antifibrotic capacity for some time.\textsuperscript{55} The mechanism of action may relate to direct inhibition of action of fibrogenic factors. Studies in the bleomycin model have been promising, substantially reducing accumulated lung collagen weight.\textsuperscript{56} For use in clinical disease, some consideration may need to be given to the timing of treatment to achieve significant outcomes.\textsuperscript{57} There is currently a range of agents that show significant promise in IPF. Future studies may be based on cell-cell interactions or more directly address factor-dependent fibrogenesis. The difficulty with appraising the evidence in this area is compounded by the need for a precise diagnosis and logistics of clinical trials involving limited numbers of eligible patients over relatively long periods.

LUNG TRANSPLANTATION

The standard therapy for idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIP) has generally been corticosteroids in combination with a cytotoxic agent (azathioprine or cyclophosphamide). It has been recognised that there are a number of histological forms of IIP, the most common (UIP) representing what has previously been referred to as IPF.\textsuperscript{2} It has been recently shown (confirming opinions) that standard therapy for UIP does not improve outcome.\textsuperscript{59}

Thus, lung transplantation is an attractive option in IPF. Interstitial lung disease has been an early target for early evolving techniques with 10 months survival in a single lung transplant (SLTx) recipient with interstitial lung disease (due to silicosis) reported in 1971.\textsuperscript{60} With the Toronto Lung Transplant Group’s first long-term survivor receiving SLTx for IPF in 1983,\textsuperscript{61} SLTx became the main indication for this procedure for almost a decade, heralding an exciting new era for management of this disease.

Few patients can receive lung transplantation due to extreme organ shortages. Many die on the waiting list\textsuperscript{62–65} and the results—while improving—are a long way short of an enduring solution to severe end-stage lung disease in most patients. New approaches to the treatment of IIP are keenly awaited.
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Lung transplant procedures

Each year approximately 1400–1650 lung transplants are reported to the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation Registry,64 55% SLTx and 47% bilateral lung transplants (BLTx). Overall, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (including α1 -antitrypsin deficiency) is the commonest indication (48%) for lung transplantation, followed by interstitial lung disease (25%) and cystic fibrosis (18%). The SLTx procedure presently accounts for almost 70% of all lung transplants for interstitial lung disease. IPF represents 68% of the patients with interstitial lung disease who receive transplants, with sarcoidosis the next commonest indication (10%) and other interstitial lung diseases being uncommon transplant indications (table 1). It is interesting that few patients with connective tissue-related pulmonary fibrosis have received lung transplants despite some initial enthusiasm.65 Highly selected patients with limited scleroderma and interstitial lung disease have had acceptable outcomes.65–66

Generally, patients are considered for SLTx unless there is an indication otherwise. BLTx may be the preferred procedure if both lungs have persistent infection with fungi (especially Aspergillus fumigatus) or bacteria (particularly Gram negative bacilli, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus or atypical mycobacteria). Coexisting severe irreversible heart disease may require combined heart-lung transplantation as the only viable transplant option. Although pulmonary hypertension is almost invariably present in end-stage interstitial lung disease, it rarely mandates BLTx or heart-lung transplantation (HLTx) for this reason alone.

Indications for lung transplantation in interstitial lung disease

Generally, lung transplantation is indicated where there is a survival advantage compared with best available therapy, or with the specific aim to improve very poor quality of life. The 1-year and 5-year survival rates following lung transplantation for IPF according to the 2004 ISHLT/UNOS International Registry reporting experience over the last 12 years are 65% and 40%, respectively.66 Generally, patients with a clinicoradiological or histological diagnosis of UIP will have a worse prognosis than this at initial referral to the transplant centre. Thus, although a trial of immunosuppression is not unreasonable in UIP, assessment for transplantation should not be delayed while trialling drug therapies. The rate of UIP is, however, variable and detailed assessment of clinical, radiological and physiological parameters will help estimate prognosis.67 The rate of change over 6–12 months may give greater precision68 and therefore be more helpful in the precise timing for listing.

Many patients with interstitial lung disease are in their seventh and eighth decades, often with a number of medical morbidities, risk factors (such as ischaemic heart disease69 or cancer70) or major complications of therapy for interstitial lung disease (especially corticosteroids). Detailed evaluation in this group is therefore mandatory.

Patients with other forms of interstitial lung disease will generally be judged on more general criteria (table 2) as well as assessing the tempo of the disease and the impact on quality of life.

Selection criteria

With the present shortage of organs for lung transplantation, selection criteria need to identify not only patients who might benefit but also those with a realistic chance of a prolonged high-quality survival. Organ allocation systems are not all alike. Broadly, organ allocation systems are based on time priority (“first come first served” systems) or systems that are based on clinical urgency (“sickest first”). International guidelines for patient selection and listing have been developed,71 but these have generally been adapted to suit local circumstances (table 3).

The criteria include general criteria that have been derived from other forms of solid organ transplantation. Historically, solid organ transplantation has been reserved for single organ failure where replacement of the organ will return the recipient to near-normal health status. Serious co-morbid conditions such as progressive neuromuscular disease, liver cirrhosis, active hepatitis, multisystem diseases, HIV infection or recent cancer would generally be regarded as absolute contraindications to transplantation.

Lung transplant-specific criteria additionally include factors such as previous thoracic surgery (especially pleurodesis), severe kyphoscoliosis and obesity that may substantially impact on the risks of a major thoracic operation. The criteria may be absolute (a single criterion would preclude transplantation) or relative (where the presence of multiple factors in combination may preclude transplantation).

The international guidelines set an upper age limit for BLTx at 60 years and SLTx at 65 years, but this is frequently debated.72 Co-morbidities are common in subjects aged >65 years, and careful screening is required for coronary artery disease and malignancy in these patients. Despite careful screening, the overall outcome is worse in older patients but transplantation may still improve their chances of survival.73

The majority of patients with interstitial lung disease referred for lung transplant assessment have UIP. These patients are often at or above the age of 65 years and have other medical co-morbidities, particularly as a result of prolonged treatment with systemic corticosteroids (obesity and osteoporosis). The mortality of lung transplantation in those with a body mass index (BMI) of >30 kg/m2 was increased threefold in one small series.74 The ISHLT/UNOS Registry was unable to detect an effect of obesity on 5-year survival, but those transplanted with

---

**Table 1** Indications for lung transplantation in interstitial lung disease reported to the ISHLT/UNOS Registry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF)</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other forms of interstitial lung disease</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoidosis</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary lymphangiomatosis</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue diseases</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histiocytosis X</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Not specified but including occupational lung diseases; chemotherapy/radiotherapy-related; extrinsic allergic alveolitis.

---

**Table 2** Indications for listing for lung transplantation in patients with interstitial lung disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prognostic factor</th>
<th>Quality of life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC &lt;60% predicted</td>
<td>Severe dyspnoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kco &lt;50% predicted</td>
<td>House-bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercapnia</td>
<td>Oxygen-dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid deterioration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VC, vital capacity; Kco, transfer coefficient.
heavily immunosuppressed, predisposing them to opportunistic pathogens including *Aspergillus*, *Pneumocystis carinii* (if not on prophylaxis) and cytomegalovirus.

**Donor(recipient matching)**

Organ donors for lung transplantation are generally so-called “brain dead” donors where careful evaluation, according to established criteria, has determined that the brain has ceased to function and withdrawal of ventilatory support is indicated. Consent is then obtained from the senior next of kin and the appropriate institutional and legal authorities (such as the Coroner). Live donor transplantation, generally as two lobes from two donors, a lobe each into one hemithorax has been performed in some centres for IPF. This procedure was initially restricted to close relative “living-related” donors, but more recently has been extended to non-related donors. Most recently it has been appreciated that the lung is less susceptible to the effects of warm ischaemia than many other organ transplants, and successful utilisation of non-beating heart donors has now been reported.

Donor criteria for lung transplantation include general criteria and organ-specific criteria. The general criteria include: no serious systemic infections or risk factors in the donor (such as HIV, hepatitis B, or variant CJD) and no history of malignancy. Lung-specific criteria include: a clear chest radiograph, minimal airway secretions, no known history of respiratory disease, minimal smoking exposure (<20 pack-years) and acceptable gas exchange (typically defined as $P_aO_2 > 300$ mm Hg (40 kPa) on 100% fractional inspired oxygen and 5 cm positive end expiratory pressure). Donor age has been steadily increasing, and most programmes will assess donors up to the age of 65 years. Heart-lung donor organs require additional cardiac evaluation, and potential live donors require detailed medical and psychosocial evaluation. Donor shortages have led to progressive re-evaluation of both the general and organ-specific criteria. The newly described marginal or extended indication donors, where one or more of the organ-specific criteria are not met, have been used extensively by many programmes. This has resulted in more patients receiving a lung transplant without apparent adverse effects on recipient outcome.

The main considerations in matching the donor to the recipient are compatibility of blood groups and size. A negative lymphocyte cross-match is highly desirable, although survival is reported in the presence of documented pre-sensitisation. If possible, transplanting an organ from a cytomegalovirus (CMV)-positive donor into a CMV-naive recipient should be avoided, although the availability of more effective antiviral therapy has substantially reduced the incidence of deaths due to acute CMV illness. Lung transplantation from a donor with past exposure to Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) into an EBV-naive recipient results in a high risk of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease. The low (<10%) rate of EBV-negative donors makes it impractical to wait for an EBV-negative donor in most instances.

**Waiting list issues**

Regular review on the waiting list is required to detect complications that may impact on suitability for transplantation. The development of increasing breathlessness and hypoxia may reflect disease progression, but the differential diagnosis includes pneumonia and pulmonary embolism which appears prevalent in these patients. Patients with IFP are often quite a BMI >30 kg/m² were rare. Osteoporosis seems to accelerate after solid organ transplantation.

**Assessment process**

Approaches to assessment of patients for lung transplantation show considerable variation from programme to programme. The essential elements are: (1) an initial screening to identify absolute contraindications; (2) a detailed assessment including relevant investigation by an experienced multidisciplinary team; (3) a team discussion of the case and a final decision on suitability and timing of listing for transplantation. The key objectives are to identify not only whom and when to list for transplantation, but also what factors may impact on surgery and postoperative care.

Patients with IPF are often rapidly deteriorating at the time of referral, so assessment needs to be expedited. Ideally, patients with UIP are referred at diagnosis. Transplant assessment and listing should not be delayed pending a trial of immunosuppression. Indeed, a prolonged and unsuccessful trial of corticosteroids may render the patient unsuitable for transplantation. The presence of pulmonary hypertension and the impact on right ventricular function need to be carefully assessed to determine the likelihood of needing cardiopulmonary bypass intraoperatively. Generally, patients will require coronary angiography as the only reliable way of determining the presence and suitability for intervention of co-existing coronary artery disease. Evaluation of the lung and the gastrointestinal tract for malignancy is routinely performed. A high index of suspicion is needed if lesions are to be found among the abnormal lung parenchyma.

**Perioperative issues**

Lung procurement and preservation are technically relatively simple, although the logistics are often complex. Working in cooperation with other organ procurement teams, the lungs and hila are dissected free with placement of catheters for infusion of cardioplegia and pneumoplegia solutions to enable extracorporeal transportation. A pulmonary vasodilator (such as epoprostenol) is often infused before cross-clamping. The
Post-transplant care

ICU

Almost all patients will be sent intubated and ventilated from the operation suite to the intensive care ward. The key objectives of care are to minimise reperfusion pulmonary oedema (RPO); support the respiration and circulation; protect renal function; initiate immunosuppression; control pain; and prevent, detect and treat infections. As any particular management decision may be consistent with some objectives but run contrary to others, experience, judgement and due care are required.

Patients usually require a conventional approach to mechanical ventilation and may be extubated within 24–48 h. The development of RPO will delay extubation significantly. It is difficult to predict which patients will develop RPO, so patients are generally maintained slightly dry and inotropes are used to support their circulation. Severe RPO requires continued ventilatory support with positive end expiratory pressure. Occasionally a period of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is used to support their circulation. Severe RPO requires continued ventilatory support with positive end expiratory pressure. Occasionally a period of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is used to support their circulation.

Rehabilitation

Patients are often markedly deconditioned at the time of transplantation, despite pretransplant rehabilitation. The operation, drugs (especially corticosteroids and cyclosporin A), infections and immobilisation in the ICU significantly exacerbate this. Early mobilisation, even in the ICU, is normally undertaken to hasten the improvement in activity and quality of life after transplantation.

Table 4 Post-lung transplant immunosuppression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance</th>
<th>Induction/augmentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporin A or tacrolimus</td>
<td>IL-2 receptor antagonists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine or mycophenolate or methotrexate</td>
<td>Anti lymphocyte globulins OKT3/ATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prednisolone or prednisone</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total lymphoid irradiation (TLI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunosuppression

Although the ultimate objective of immunosuppression is to induce immunological tolerance, the relatively small donor/recipient populations in lung transplantation mean that a high degree of HLA mismatch is almost inevitable. A three-phase approach (induction, maintenance and augmentation) has therefore generally been used. There are very few randomised controlled trials in lung transplantation, so the approach to immunosuppression in lung transplantation has generally been adapted from other organ transplantation.

Induction is generally high-dose methylprednisolone with many programmes also using antilymphocyte preparations (OKT3, ATGAM) or monoclonal antibodies directed against the IL-2 receptor (basiliximab, rituximab). Calcineurin antagonists (cyclosporin A and tacrolimus) are the mainstay of maintenance immunosuppression with dosing according to drug levels. In addition, azathioprine and prednisolone have been used as part of a three-drug maintenance regimen. More recently, mycophenolate mofetil has been used in place of azathioprine. Drug toxicity is common with renal impairment, hypertension, seizures, tremors, hypercholesterolaemia, gastrointestinal upset as well as the plethora of corticosteroid-induced side effects frequently seen. Furthermore, immunosuppression leads to increased infection and cancer risks. Thus, careful monitoring and evaluation of the risk/benefits of the immunosuppression are vital to ongoing recipient wellbeing. Recently, rapamycin (and everolimus) have been used in lung transplantation to reduce calcineurin antagonist side effects with some success.

Episodes of acute rejection are generally assumed to be due to under-immunosuppression and will be treated with pulse methylprednisolone with augmentation of baseline therapy. Other approaches to augmenting immunosuppression are shown in table 4. Chronic rejection, generally presenting as the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome, has been the subject of many reports claiming a response to augmentation of immunosuppression, but none has been confirmed in randomised controlled trials. This may be due partly to the effect of increased immunosuppression triggering recurrent infections.

Infectious prophylaxis

As with most immunosuppressed patients, community-, recipient- and hospital-acquired organisms are most frequently responsible for post-transplant infections. Infections associated with cellular immune defects need to be the subject of a careful search. Common among these are herpes viruses (CMV, HSV, HHV, EBV), fungal (especially Aspergillus) and bacterial agents (especially Mycobacterium tuberculosis and atypical mycobacteria). The widespread use of suramethoxazole/thromethoprim prophylaxis has led to Pneumocystis carinii seldom being seen. Prophylaxis for herpes viruses with ganciclovir/valganciclovir or valaciclovir as appropriate is extensively practised and has led to a marked reduction in CMV-related complications. Infection with Aspergillus is of great concern, with many programmes...
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Table 5  Outcome from lung transplantation for interstitial lung disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLTx</th>
<th>BLTx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spirometry</td>
<td>Mild to moderate restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TcCO</td>
<td>Moderately reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting SaO2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak exercise SaO2</td>
<td>Mild desaturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMWT</td>
<td>Improved to 480–670 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO2peak</td>
<td>41–58% predicted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QOL</td>
<td>Substantially improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SLTx, single lung transplantation; BLTx, bilateral lung transplantation; TcCO, carbon monoxide transfer factor; SaO2, arterial oxygen saturation; SMWT, 6 min walking test; VO2peak, peak oxygen consumption; QOL, quality of life.

Survival

The most recent ISHLT/UNOS registry report shows 1-year and 5-year survivals of 68% and 40%, respectively, following lung transplantation for IPF. This gives an odds ratio for 1-year mortality of 1.6 compared with the whole lung transplant recipient population. Patients with sarcoidosis have almost identical survival rates following lung transplantation. In contrast, patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have 1-year and 5-year survival rates of 80% and 47%, respectively.

As expected, however, prognosis is improved in patients receiving lung transplantation for IPF, with a recent survival analysis showing a crossover point at 105 days (better future survival) and an equity point (better total survival) at 560 days after lung transplantation. Further analysis of the data shows that patients with IPF have a higher early mortality and, even 1 year after surgery, they have a higher yearly mortality rate. Patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease also have a higher than normal yearly mortality after the first year, despite their excellent early survival. This may in part be explained by the higher recipient age, poorer physical condition, with the greater use of SLTx (perhaps resulting in more complications in the native lung) in both these groups. Furthermore, in IPF, once bronchiolitis obliterans develops, mortality appears to be high. An age of 65 years has an odds ratio for 1-year mortality of 1.75 and hospitalisation just prior to the time of lung transplantation of 1.33, these factors being highly relevant in patients with IPF.

Intuitively it would seem that BLTx should result in better survival owing to a greater reserve lung function and the absence of infectious complications in the native lung. There has been one only report of a direct comparison of SLTx with BLTx for IPF in a single institution. This was not a randomised controlled trial but showed, if anything, better survival in SLTx recipients. SLTx is a shorter and generally less technically demanding procedure, the lateral thoracotomy resulting in less postoperative pain and diaphragm dysfunction. There is some anecdotal evidence that prolonged immunosuppression may lead to some recovery of function in the native lung.

In the ISHLT/UNOS Registry, the causes of early deaths (<30 days) include graft failure (50%), infection (24%), cardiovascular (12%), technical (8%) and all other causes combined (25%). Late deaths (>1 year) are attributed to bronchiolitis obliterans (30%), infection (20%), graft failure (15%), malignancy (7%) and all other causes combined (28%). Early survival has generally improved greatly in the last two decades. Little impact on late deaths has been made with chronic rejection, generally presenting as progressive breathlessness and airflow obstruction (the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome), remaining a major problem in long-term survivors of lung transplantation.

Lung function

SLTx recipients with IPF usually have a persistent mild restrictive ventilatory defect with mild to moderate reduction in transfer factor (table 5). BLTx recipients generally have very mild restriction with slightly reduced transfer factor. A deterioration of 10% in forced expiratory volume in 1 s is very suggestive of a significant allograft-related complication and warrants further evaluation.

Exercise capacity

Before lung transplantation patients with IPF generally walk <400 m on oxygen in a 6-minute walk test (SMWT) with operative mortality increased if <300 m. At 3–6 months after transplantation this has improved to a plateau of approximately 700 m. There appears to be no significant difference in SMWT distance achieved in SLTx compared with BLTx (table 5).

Incremental cardiopulmonary exercise testing shows a similar pattern in SLTx and BLTx recipients. Exercise is terminated prematurely at a low work rate and low peak oxygen consumption (typically 40–60% predicted maximum oxygen consumption). Neither cardiac nor ventilatory limitation is seen, although a very early anaerobic threshold due to poor peripheral muscle oxygen utilisation is generally the limiting factor. Mild desaturation and some encroachment on ventilatory reserve are seen in otherwise well patients with IPF following SLTx but not BLTx.

Quality of life

Quality of life is often extremely poor in IPF before lung transplantation and substantially improves following recovery from surgery. The advent of lung transplantation has added hope and expectation to the lives of many suffering from terminal respiratory failure. Once lung transplantation has occurred, the improved quality of life experienced by recipients should be seen in addition to any life extension achieved.

SUMMARY

Our understanding of the mechanisms of fibrosis, together with a recognition of the differential roles of inflammation and remodelling, has led to a better understanding of treatment for the two processes. Suppression of inflammation alone in UIP with currently available agents will not significantly affect clinical outcomes. Investigation of promising new agents ranging from TGF-β antagonists to pirfenidone and stem cell therapies may provide potent novel steroid-independent treatments.

The outcome from IPF has been greatly improved with the advent of lung transplantation. Currently, greatly enhanced survival can be expected if co-morbidities, opportunistic infection and chronic rejection can be controlled. A great therapeutic challenge lies ahead to assess and accurately diagnose IPF, to explore new treatments where available and to then refer suitable candidates early in the course of their disease for lung transplant assessment.
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