Role of thrombolysis in haemodynamically stable patients with pulmonary embolism
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Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a common cardiopulmonary illness with an age and sex adjusted incidence of approximately 117 cases per 100 000 person years.1 Incidence rises sharply after the age of 60 years in both men and women. While mortality rates exceed 15% in the 3 months following diagnosis, they are reduced to 2–10% if PE is diagnosed and treated promptly.2–5 The majority of patients with PE are haemodynamically stable at presentation and receive anticoagulation with heparin. By contrast, thrombolysis (or embolectomy) is the accepted standard of care in those patients who present with haemodynamic compromise.4 Under such circumstances, mortality approaches 50% and although to date no study has been powered to show a mortality benefit, such treatment potentially outweighs the complication rate of 3%.2,5 Importantly, it is now recognised that there is another cohort of patients who, although haemodynamically stable, are nevertheless at an increased risk of death and who currently receive only standard anticoagulation regimens. To date, no consensus exists regarding the identification, appropriate risk stratification or indeed the further management of these patients. Those who favour thrombolysis for the treatment of PE argue that its use should be extended to the subgroup of patients with preserved systolic and diastolic function.7–9 In contrast, it is clear from case series that patients with haemodynamic stability and a normal right heart on transthoracic echo have a mortality approaching 0% with appropriate anticoagulation.7,9 The challenge is therefore to identify the subgroup of patients with normotension who are likely to progress to RV failure and haemodynamic instability where the inherent risks of thrombolytic therapy may be justifiable.

Transthoracic echocardiographic assessment of RV dysfunction has traditionally been utilised as the frontline investigation for risk stratification of otherwise haemodynamically stable patients.7,10,11 However, the assessment of RV dimension alone has an extremely poor specificity and positive predictive value. By contrast, a retrospective series of over 900 patients found that an RV/left ventricular (LV) ratio greater than or equal to 0.9 was an independent predictive factor for hospital mortality (odds ratio (OR) 3.64).12 This measurement may increase the utility of echocardiography for identifying at risk patients. Nevertheless, the limited availability of emergency echocardiography in some centres, operator dependence, difficulty in visualising the right ventricle in patients with other respiratory pathology and finally poor specificity suggest that additional modalities are necessary for reliable risk stratification.

Computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is already the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of suspected PE. More recently, given the limitations of echo, CTPA has also been proposed as a prognostic tool. One recent retrospective study found that analysis of RV/LV ratio and azygous vein diameter (markers of clot load and RV dilatation) were the best CT predictors of survival, enabling correct prediction of survival in 89% of patients.13 This finding has been supported by other retrospective studies which suggest that alternative CTPA cardiac measurements may be used to reliably differentiate between survivors and non-survivors following PE.14,15

Serum levels of cardiac biomarkers, in particular troponin and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), strongly correlate with RV dysfunction. They have a negative predictive value approaching 98% for mortality and inhospital morbidity following PE and are therefore particularly useful for the identification of low risk patients.16,17

A meta-analysis of 20 trials including 1985 patients assessed the predictive value of elevated troponin levels for early death and adverse outcome following PE.18 Overall, 122 of 618 patients with elevated troponin levels died (19.7%; 95% confidence interval (CI) 16.6 to 22.8) compared with 51 of 1367 with normal troponin levels (3.7%; 95% CI 2.7 to 4.7). Elevated troponin levels were significantly associated with short term mortality (OR 5.24) and death resulting from PE (OR 9.44). Furthermore, levels of troponin correlated with mortality in the subgroup of otherwise haemodynamically stable patients (OR 5.90). The results were consistent for both troponin I and T.

Although BNP alone is a less sensitive independent predictor of death, algorithms using combinations of cardiac biomarkers in addition to echocardiographic evidence of RV dysfunction have been evaluated in the risk stratification of normotensive patients. One prospective study has shown that the combination of RV dysfunction with a BNP level >527 pg/ml showed prognostic value over RV dysfunction alone. Inhospital death rate and progression to shock were 55% and 31% in the two groups, respectively.19 A further study has demonstrated that normotensive patients with RV dysfunction on echo and elevated levels of both BNP and troponin have a 10-fold higher risk of complications, including death.20 Finally, a study by Kostrubiec et al has demonstrated a mortality of 33% in patients with elevated levels of both NT-proBNP and troponin T.3

Clinical presentation with haemodynamic compromise is currently considered the most powerful predictor of death in PE and the associated mortality is justification for the use of thrombolytic therapy. There is, however, an emerging body of evidence to suggest that a subgroup of normotensive patients with RV dysfunction have mortality rates equivalent to patients presenting with haemodynamic compromise. The absolute cut-off levels for cardiac biomarkers, in particular BNP, require further
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No shock

- Troponin ↓
- BNP ↓

- Echocardiography/CTPA cardiac assessment

- No RV dysfunction

- Anticoagulation and consider early discharge/domiciliary therapy

Shock

- Troponin ↑
- BNP ↑

- Echocardiography/CTPA cardiac assessment

- RV dysfunction

- Intensive monitoring and consider thrombolysis or embolectomy

Figure 1  Treatment algorithm for pulmonary embolism. BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CTPA, computed tomography pulmonary angiography; RV, right ventricular.
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