EXHALED MARKERS

Increased alveolar nitric oxide concentration and high levels of leukotriene B4 and 8-isoprostane in exhaled breath condensate in patients with asbestosis
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Background: Inhaled asbestos fibres can cause inflammation and fibrosis in the lungs called asbestosis. However, there are no non-invasive means to assess and follow the severity of the inflammation. Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) measured at multiple exhalation flow rates can be used to assess the alveolar NO concentration and bronchial NO flux, which reflect inflammation in the lung parenchyma and airways, respectively. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether exhaled NO or markers in exhaled breath condensate could be used to assess inflammation in asbestosis.

Methods: Exhaled NO and inflammatory markers (leukotriene B4 and 8-isoprostane) in exhaled breath condensate were measured in 15 non-smoking patients with asbestosis and in 15 healthy controls. Exhaled NO concentrations were measured at four constant exhalation flow rates (50, 100, 200 and 300 ml/s) and alveolar NO concentration and bronchial NO flux were calculated according to the linear model of pulmonary NO dynamics.

Results: The mean (SE) alveolar NO concentration was significantly higher in patients with asbestosis than in controls (3.2 (0.4) vs 2.0 (0.2) ppb, p = 0.008). There was no difference in bronchial NO flux (0.9 (0.1) vs 0.9 (0.1) ml/s, p = 0.93) or NO concentration measured at ATS standard flow rate of 50 ml/s (20.0 (2.0) vs 19.7 (1.8) ppb, p = 0.89). Patients with asbestosis had increased levels of leukotriene B4 (39.5 (6.0) vs 15.4 (2.9) pg/ml, p = 0.002) and 8-isoprostane (33.5 (9.6) vs 11.9 (2.8) pg/ml, p = 0.048) in exhaled breath condensate and raised serum levels of C-reactive protein (2.3 (0.3) vs 1.1 (0.2) μg/ml, p = 0.003), interleukin-6 (3.5 (0.5) vs 1.7 (0.4) pg/ml, p = 0.007) and myeloperoxidase (356 (48) vs 240 (20) ng/ml, p = 0.034) compared with healthy controls.

Conclusions: Patients with asbestosis have an increased alveolar NO concentration and high levels of leukotriene B4 and 8-isoprostane in exhaled breath. Measurement of exhaled NO at multiple exhalation flow rates and analysis of inflammatory markers in exhaled breath condensate are promising non-invasive means for assessing inflammation in patients with asbestosis.

Asbestosis is diffuse interstitial lung fibrosis caused by an inflammatory response to inhaled asbestos fibres.1–4 It requires moderate or heavy exposure to asbestos and takes at least 15–20 years to develop in most cases. The diagnosis of asbestosis is based on findings of diffuse interstitial fibrosis when a history of sufficient exposure to asbestos exists and other causes of pulmonary fibrosis have been ruled out. The general features of the inflammatory reaction in asbestosis have recently been reviewed.1 However, there are no non-invasive tools to assess the inflammatory reaction in asbestosis, and efforts to treat the disease have not been successful.1,5

There is a clinical need to develop a direct means of measuring inflammation for follow-up and diagnostic purposes in inflammatory lung diseases. Exhaled nitric oxide (NO) measurements and inflammatory markers in exhaled breath condensate have recently been introduced as non-invasive methods for assessing lung inflammation.6,7 NO is a gaseous signalling molecule that regulates various physiological and pathophysiological functions in the lungs and in the whole human body.5,6 It is synthesised in small amounts by constitutive nitric oxide synthases (cNOS) in physiological conditions. In inflammation, the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) produces higher amounts of NO for prolonged periods.8,10,11 The NO concentration in exhaled air is known to be increased in diseases such as asthma,12–14 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)15 and alveolitis.14,15 According to the ERS and ATS recommendations, exhaled NO should be measured at a single exhalation flow rate of 50 ml/s.7 However, measurement of exhaled NO at multiple exhalation flow rates allows assessment of alveolar and bronchial NO output separately.16,17 The multiple flow rate method is based on a mathematical model which divides the lung into two compartments—the alveolar/peripheral and bronchial/central compartments.17 The multiple exhalation flow rate method has been shown to differentiate successfully between bronchial and alveolar inflammation in asthma, COPD and alveolitis.14,18,19,21–25

Non-gaseous markers of pulmonary inflammation can be non-invasively sampled by collecting exhaled breath condensate, and raised levels of inflammatory mediators such as...
leukotriene B\(_4\) (LTB\(_4\)) and 8-isoprostane have been found in certain inflammatory lung diseases.\(^{26}\)

In the present study we measured exhaled NO at multiple exhalation flow rates and collected exhaled breath condensate in 15 patients with asbestosis and 15 age- and sex-matched healthy controls. The aim of the study was to determine whether patients with asbestosis have altered bronchial or alveolar NO output or increased concentrations of inflammatory markers LTB\(_4\) and/or 8-isoprostane in their exhaled breath condensate.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

Exhaled NO levels, markers in exhaled breath condensate, spirometric parameters and pulmonary transfer factor were measured in 15 patients with asbestosis (all men) of mean age 67 years (range 56–74), average exposure to asbestos 20.5 years (range 10–39), in whom exposure had stopped 25.6 years (range 14–39) previously. The patients were recruited from patient records of Tampere University Hospital, and they had been diagnosed (before the year 2002) to have asbestosis by the Tampere University Hospital Pneumoconioses Expert Group (including expertise from pulmonary, radiological and occupational medicine). The diagnosis of asbestosis was based on moderate (10–25 fibre-years) or heavy (>25 fibre-years) exposure to asbestos, sufficient latency time, diffuse interstitial lung fibrosis on high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) and exclusion of other causes of diffuse fibrosis according to the Helsinki diagnostic criteria for asbestosis.\(^{27}\) The HRCT images were reviewed by an experienced thoracic radiologist (RJ) and an experienced occupational health physician (PO). The reviewers were blinded to the medical information of the patients, and the images were scored by consensus reading.

The characteristics of the patients are given in tables 1 and 2. Fifteen age-matched healthy male volunteers of mean age 63 years (range 53–73) with normal spirometric values were recruited into the control group. All subjects were non-smokers (who had quit smoking at least 5 years previously). Subjects had no respiratory symptoms according to the symptoms questionnaire.

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Tampere University Hospital and all subjects gave their written informed consent.

**Exhaled NO**

Exhaled NO was measured with a Sievers NOA 280 analyser (Sievers Instruments, Boulder, Colorado, USA) at four exhalation flow rates (50, 100, 200 and 300 ml/s). The alveolar NO concentration and bronchial NO flux were calculated according to Tsoukias and George\(^{21}\) as previously described\(^{14}\) by using exhalation flow rates of 100, 200 and 300 ml/s. Exhaled NO output (= exhaled NO concentration × exhalation flow rate) was plotted against exhalation flow rate and a linear regression was set. Slope and intercept of the regression line are approximates of alveolar NO concentration and bronchial NO flux, respectively.

The desired exhalation flow rates were achieved by letting the patients exhale through a mass flow meter connected to a computer-controlled adjustable flow restrictor (NOFLA device developed at the University of Tampere). The computer adjusted the flow resistor in real time based on the actual flow rate measured. If the flow rate tended to be higher or lower than the desired flow rate, the flow restriction was increased or decreased in real time to achieve the desired flow rate, regardless of changes in the patient’s exhalation effort. A pressure gauge was connected to the system and the subjects were asked to maintain exhalation pressure between 5 and 20 cm H\(_2\)O during the exhalation.

**Exhaled breath condensate**

Exhaled breath condensate was collected during 15 min of tidal breathing with Ecoscreen condenser (Ecoscreen, Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) while wearing nose clips. The samples were stored at −70°C until assayed. LTB\(_4\) and 8-isoprostane concentrations in the condensates were measured by immunoassay with a detection limit of 1.95 pg/ml (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA).

**Serum samples**

Venous blood was collected into Vacutainer Hemoguard SST tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) and allowed to clot for 60 min at 22°C. The serum was thereafter separated by centrifugation (1300 g for 10 min). The samples were stored at −70°C until analysed. Serum levels adjusted for haemoglobin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>FVC (% predicted)</th>
<th>FEV(_1) (% predicted)</th>
<th>FEV(_1)/FVC (%)</th>
<th>FEF(_{50%}) (% predicted)</th>
<th>TLC(_0) (% predicted)</th>
<th>TLC(_0)/VA (% predicted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FEF\(_{50\%}\), forced expiratory flow at 50% of expired volume; TLC\(_0\), carbon monoxide transfer factor adjusted for haemoglobin; TLC\(_0\)/VA, specific carbon monoxide transfer factor adjusted for haemoglobin.
of myeloperoxidase (MPO) were measured by radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia Diagnostics AB, Uppsala, Sweden); the concentration of interleukin-6 (IL-6) was determined by enzyme immunoassay (PeliPair ELISA, Sanquin, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); and C-reactive protein (CRP) was analysed using a particle-enhanced turbidimetric assay (Cobas Integra 800 Analyser, Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

Analysis of data
The results are presented as mean (SE). All the parameters were normally distributed according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between the two groups were analysed by $t$ test. SPSS Version 10.1 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) software was used for statistical analysis, with $p < 0.05$ being considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Alveolar NO concentration and bronchial NO flux
Patients with asbestosis had higher alveolar NO concentrations than healthy controls (3.2 (0.4) vs 2.0 (0.2) ppb, $p = 0.008$) but bronchial NO flux was similar in both groups (0.9 (0.1) vs 0.9 (0.1) nl/s, $p = 0.93$; fig 1). Interestingly, there were no differences between patients with asbestosis and healthy controls in exhaled NO concentrations measured at exhalation flow rates of 50 ml/s (the ATS standard flow rate), 100 ml/s or 200 ml/s (table 3), but at the highest exhalation flow rate (300 ml/s) the NO concentration tended to be higher in patients with asbestosis ($p = 0.06$).

Factors increasing alveolar NO concentration
Steady state alveolar NO concentration can be described using the equation $C_A = \frac{V_{NO,A}}{T_{LNO}}$, where $V_{NO,A}$ (nl/s) is the diffusing rate of NO from tissue to the alveolar space and $T_{LNO}$ (nl/s/ppb) is the transfer factor for NO from the alveolar space to the pulmonary vessels.30 $C_A$ can thus be increased either because of increased NO production in the lung parenchyma causing increased NO diffusion to alveolar air ($V_{NO,A}$) or because of decreased diffusion of NO from alveolar air to the pulmonary blood vessels caused by decreased alveolar NO transfer factor ($T_{LNO}$).

We made an effort to estimate whether the increased alveolar NO concentration in the patients with asbestosis is due to increased diffusion of NO to alveolar air ($V_{NO,A}$) or to decreased transfer factor of NO from alveolar air to the pulmonary blood ($T_{LNO}$). As $T_{LNO}$ is approximately $4 \times$ carbon monoxide transfer factor ($T_{LCO}$),31 the aforementioned equation can be rearranged to $V_{NO,A} = \frac{C_A \times 4 \times T_{LCO}}{T_{NO}}$, and $V_{NO,A}$ can be calculated based on measurements of alveolar NO concentration and $T_{LCO}$. We made an assumption that the $T_{LCO}$ in our healthy controls would equal the age- and sex-matched reference value for $T_{LCO}$,32 and calculated $V_{NO,A}$ in both groups. $V_{NO,A}$ was higher in patients with asbestosis than in healthy control subjects (4.1 (0.6) vs 3.1 (0.3) nl/s), although the difference did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.13$).

LTB$_4$ and 8-isoprostane concentrations in exhaled breath condensate
Patients with asbestosis had increased concentrations of LTB$_4$ (39.5 (6.0) vs 15.4 (2.9) pg/ml, $p = 0.002$) and 8-isoprostane (33.5 (9.6) vs 11.9 (2.8) pg/ml, $p = 0.048$) in exhaled breath condensate than healthy controls (fig 2).

Inflammatory markers in serum
Patients with asbestosis had raised serum levels of CRP (2.3 (0.3) vs 1.1 (0.2) pg/ml, $p = 0.003$), IL-6 (3.5 (0.5) vs 1.7 (0.4) pg/ml, $p = 0.007$) and MPO (356 (48) vs 240 (20) ng/ml, $p = 0.034$) compared with healthy controls (fig 3).

---

**Table 2** Characteristics of patients: high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Lung fibrosis (grade 0–5)</th>
<th>Parietal pleural plaques (grade 0–5)</th>
<th>Diffuse pleural thickening (grade 0–3)</th>
<th>Lung emphysema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Paraseptal emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Centrilobular and paraseptal emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HRCT images were scored as described by Oksa et al28 and Huuskonen et al29.
DISCUSSION

In this study we have measured lung inflammation in patients with asbestosis using two recently described non-invasive methods—exhaled NO measurement with multiple exhalation flow rates and exhaled breath condensate. The alveolar concentration of NO was higher in patients with asbestosis than in healthy controls, while there were no differences in bronchial NO flux. The concentrations of LTB_4 and 8-isoprostane in exhaled breath condensate were also higher in patients with asbestosis than in healthy controls.

Increased exhaled NO serves as a marker of lung inflammation in diseases such as asthma and alveolitis. The multiple exhalation flow rate method is an extension of the standard single flow method for measuring exhaled NO. It can be used to assess the bronchial or proximal (large airway) and peripheral (small airway and alveolar) contribution to NO output separately and, accordingly, the bronchial and peripheral components of lung inflammation. In our previous studies we have shown that this method can be used to differentiate between bronchial and alveolar inflammation in patients with asthma and alveolitis, and in those patients the values returned towards normal levels during anti-inflammatory treatment. In other studies, raised alveolar concentrations of NO have been found in COPD (2.1–2.4-fold increase), liver cirrhosis (1.8-fold increase) and in patients with lung fibrosis associated with scleroderma (2.6-fold increase). Increased bronchial NO flux has been found in patients with asthma or asthma-like symptoms.

The inflammatory process in the lungs induced by inhaled asbestos is characterised by alveolitis, inflammation in the surrounding interstitium, and inflammation and fibrosis in the respiratory bronchioles that extend into adjacent alveolar tissue. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) in patients with asbestosis has demonstrated an alveolitis associated with increases in macrophages and neutrophils. Studies using BAL, CT scanning, and gallium-67 scanning have shown that inflammatory events occur early before the onset of symptoms and diagnosis of asbestosis. With the knowledge that asbestosis is an inflammatory disease of the lung parenchyma, we hypothesised that alveolar NO might be increased in those patients. The present results showed an increased alveolar NO concentration and normal bronchial NO flux in patients with asbestosis. However, the alveolar NO concentrations in asbestosis (1.6-fold increase) were lower than those in alveolitis (3.7-fold increase) in our previous studies. Interestingly, when NO concentrations in exhaled air were measured at a single exhalation flow rate according to the ATS standards (50 ml/s), there was no difference between patients with asbestosis and healthy controls. Only at the highest exhalation flow rate used (300 ml/s) did NO concentrations in exhaled breath tend to be higher in patients with asbestosis than in healthy controls (p = 0.06). These results, and our previous results in patients

Table 3 Mean (SE) exhaled NO concentrations (ppb) at different exhalation flow rates in patients with asbestosis and in healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhalation flow rate (ml/s)</th>
<th>Patients (n = 15)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 15)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>19.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>11.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>7.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>6.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 (A) leukotriene B_4 and (B) 8-isoprostane concentrations in exhaled breath condensate in patients with asbestosis (n = 15) and healthy controls (n = 15). Values are given as mean (SE).

Figure 3 Serum concentrations of (A) C-reactive protein (CRP), (B) interleukin-6 (IL-6) and (C) myeloperoxidase (MPO) in patients with asbestosis (n = 15) and in healthy controls (n = 15). Values are given as mean (SE).
with alveolitis, show that the multiple flow rate method is more sensitive for detecting the alveolar/peripheral component of lung inflammation than the conventional single exhalation flow rate method which, in many cases, ignores the peripheral component of inflammation, especially when a low exhalation flow rate (50 ml/s) is used. The alveolar NO concentration is determined by NO production in the lung tissue and by alveolar transfer factor of NO. The increased alveolar NO concentration found in patients with asbestosis could therefore be caused by decreased pulmonary transfer factor and/or increased NO production in alveolar tissue. The decreased pulmonary gas diffusing capacity is typical for asbestosis, and it probably increases the alveolar NO concentration in these patients, but the level of iNOS expression in lung tissue has not been investigated in patients with asbestosis. However, enhanced iNOS expression has been shown in the alveolar epithelium of patients with other fibrosing lung diseases, and exposure to asbestos induces iNOS expression in human pneumocytes in vitro and in mice and rat lung in vivo. It is therefore likely that alveolar NO production is increased in patients with asbestosis and contributes to the increased alveolar NO concentration. Our estimate of alveolar NO production rate in asbestosis was higher than in healthy subjects, but the difference did not reach statistical significance in the present relatively small group of patients. However, we were not able to measure TiNO in patients but it was estimated based on TICO, and TiNO in healthy subjects was estimated based on normal values in the population. Further studies are needed to confirm the contributions of increased pulmonary NO production rate and reduced TiNO to the enhanced alveolar NO concentration found in patients with asbestosis.

During the processing of the present paper, Sandrini et al. reported increased exhaled NO concentration in patients with asbestos-related respiratory diseases by a single exhalation flow rate method using a high exhalation flow rate (200 ml/s). This is in line with our study showing increased alveolar NO concentration in patients with asbestosis as increased alveolar NO concentration found in patients with asbestosis cannot be reliably verified in the study by Sandrini et al. as they used only a single exhalation flow rate in NO measurements.

To our knowledge, there are no previous studies on inflammatory markers in exhaled breath condensate from patients with asbestosis. We have shown that concentrations of LTB4 and 8-isoprostane are increased in breath condensate from patients with asbestosis. This is in agreement with earlier studies showing that LTB4 levels are raised in BAL fluid from asbestos workers. LTB4 is produced by activated neutrophils and is a potent inducer of granulocyte activation. Increased levels of LTB4 in breath condensate have earlier been found in, for example, patients with COPD and asthma. 26-45-47 8-isoprostane is a marker of oxidative stress, and raised levels have previously been found in exhaled breath condensate in, for example, patients with asthma, COPD and acute lung injury. 26-47-50

Inflammation in the lungs in patients with asbestosis has been evaluated by galium-67 staging 54 55 or by measuring inflammatory markers in BAL fluid or in blood. 52 The present criteria for a diagnosis of asbestosis exclude galium scanning, and it is suggested that additional indicators of active inflammation may become useful in future. 4 3 The present results show that measurement of exhaled NO using the multiple exhalation flow rate method and analysis of inflammatory markers in the exhaled breath condensate offer a promising non-invasive means of measuring lung inflammation in patients with asbestosis. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether the progression of the disease or responsiveness to anti-inflammatory treatment can be evaluated or predicted by these methods.
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CT screening for lung cancer not shown to reduce mortality

Screening tests for lung cancer rely on the notion that they can identify early tumours that would progress to cause significant disease. Previous screening trials using chest x rays (CXR) found that while they identified more early-stage cancers, just as many people were diagnosed with advanced cancers and there was no significant reduction in mortality. There is now renewed interest in screening using CT, as it is more sensitive than CXR and spatum cytology.

The aim of the study was to produce some preliminary estimates of the impact of CT screening on lung cancer cases and mortality. Asymptomatic current or former smokers aged between 50 and 80 were studied across three sites and their frequency of lung cancer events compared with a previously validated model. Patients had annual scans and were followed up for a median of 3.9 years. Of 3246 patients screened, 144 cases of lung cancer were diagnosed. Screened patients were three times more likely to be diagnosed with lung cancer (RR 3.2) and ten times more likely to undergo surgical resection (RR 10.0). There was, however, no significant reduction in the number of diagnoses of advanced lung cancer (42 vs 33.4 predicted) or mortality (38 vs 38.8 predicted).

The authors suggest it is likely that, as in previous trials of screening with CXR, CT scanning picks up many small tumours which may not ultimately become clinically significant. Although the study is only preliminary, the findings do raise concerns about implementing CT screening on a large scale. The main limitation of this study is that there was no comparator “non-intervention” group, but only a prediction model. More conclusive data from randomised controlled trials is needed to assess potential benefits and risks.

Dr David Hodgson
SpR in Respiratory Medicine, Sunderland Royal Hospital; dbhodgson@doctors.org.uk
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