Asthma-associated mortality.

The frequency and length, improving quality of life and reducing development of new treatments aimed at reducing exacerbation-specific targets which may assist in the tissue, where ethical and possible, is helpful in the recognition of exacerbations sufficiently severe to require admission to hospital have not previously been reported. We have therefore tested the hypothesis that severe exacerbations of asthma are associated with upregulation of neutrophil chemoattractant ligands and their specific cell surface receptors.

Methods: Immunohistology and in situ hybridisation were applied to endobronchial biopsy specimens from three groups: (1) 15 patients admitted to hospital with a severe exacerbation of asthma (E-asthma), (2) 15 with stable asthma (S-asthma) and (3) 15 non-atopic and non-smoker surgical controls (NSC).

Results: There were significantly more neutrophils and eosinophils in the epithelium and subepithelium of patients in the E-asthma group (median range) neutrophils 7 (0–380) and 78 (10–898)/mm², eosinophils 31 (0–167) and 60 (6–351)/mm², p<0.01 compared with NSC: 0 (0–10, 0–7, 0–18 and 0–3)/mm², respectively, resulting in similar final densities of eosinophils and neutrophils. With respect to neutrophil chemoattractants and receptors, counts of CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells in the subepithelium of the E-asthma group were, respectively, 5, 4, 4 and 18 times greater (p<0.01) than those of the NSC group. In the E-asthma group, cells expressing CXCL5 or CXCR2 were eightfold and threefold more frequent than those expressing CXCL8 or CXCR1 RNA, respectively (p<0.01). CXCL5 and CXCR2 in E-asthma were associated with the number of eosinophils (r=0.59 and 0.66, p<0.02 for both) rather than the number of neutrophils.

Conclusion: In severe exacerbations of asthma there is a bronchial mucosal neutrophilia, eosinophilia and upregulation of CXC chemoattractants and their receptors. CXCL5 and CXCR2 have an association with eosinophilia only, and these represent potentially new targets for treatment in exacerbations of asthma.
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Background: A study was undertaken to test the hypothesis that severe exacerbations of asthma are characterised by increased bronchial mucosal neutrophilia associated with upregulation of neutrophil chemoattractant ligands and their specific cell surface receptors.

Methods: Immunohistology and in situ hybridisation were applied to endobronchial biopsy specimens from three groups: (1) 15 patients admitted to hospital with a severe exacerbation of asthma (E-asthma), (2) 15 with stable asthma (S-asthma) and (3) 15 non-atopic and non-smoker surgical controls (NSC).

Results: There were significantly more neutrophils and eosinophils in the epithelium and subepithelium of patients in the E-asthma group (median range) neutrophils 7 (0–380) and 78 (10–898)/mm², eosinophils 31 (0–167) and 60 (6–351)/mm², p<0.01 compared with NSC: 0 (0–10, 0–7, 0–18 and 0–3)/mm², respectively, resulting in similar final densities of eosinophils and neutrophils. With respect to neutrophil chemoattractants and receptors, counts of CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells in the subepithelium of the E-asthma group were, respectively, 5, 4, 4 and 18 times greater (p<0.01) than those of the NSC group. In the E-asthma group, cells expressing CXCL5 or CXCR2 were eightfold and threefold more frequent than those expressing CXCL8 or CXCR1 RNA, respectively (p<0.01). CXCL5 and CXCR2 in E-asthma were associated with the number of eosinophils (r=0.59 and 0.66, p<0.02 for both) rather than the number of neutrophils.

Conclusion: In severe exacerbations of asthma there is a bronchial mucosal neutrophilia, eosinophilia and upregulation of CXC chemoattractants and their receptors. CXCL5 and CXCR2 have an association with eosinophilia only, and these represent potentially new targets for treatment in exacerbations of asthma.

METHODS

Study subjects

The study setting for the analysis of all biopsies was Imperial College London at the Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK. Most of the tissues had been sectioned and used for a previously published study. With permission from the study participants, additional sections were used for staining and comparisons made herein. Those with severe exacerbations and the non-smoker surgical controls were recruited at Ben Taub General Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA. The subjects with mild asthma had been recruited previously from other centres including the UK. The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for analysis of the tissues for inflammatory cells and receptors was given by each of the local institutional review boards for human studies. Each subject or, in the case of consent not previously given, his or her next of kin.

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; EG2, anti-eosinophil cationic protein; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; NE, neutrophil elastase; RBM, reticular basement membrane
Table 1  Clinical characteristics of patients with an asthma exacerbation, patients with stable asthma and non-atopic non-smoker controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (% pred)</th>
<th>FEV₁/FVC (%)</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Home inhaled GC** dose (µg/day)</th>
<th>Home oral GC dose (mg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-asthma (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.7†</td>
<td>40‡</td>
<td>40.3†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-asthma (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76‡</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>72‡</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td>0‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>28*</td>
<td>87*</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-asthma, patients with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation; S-asthma, patients with stable asthma; NSC, non-smoking surgical controls; GC, glucocorticoid.

Time line for FEV₁ measurements: E-asthma, after liberation from the mechanical ventilator and before discharge from hospital; S-asthma and NSC controls, before bronchoscopy.

* p<0.0001 vs NSC
† p<0.0001 vs S-asthma
‡ None before 3 months of the start of the study.

PC20 value 0.02326.

PC20 value 0.04073.

** Triamcinolone acetonide.
intubated patients, their surrogates, gave informed written consent.

There were three subject groups, all of whom were non-smokers, who had been examined and reported previously:16

- patients with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation (E-asthma; n = 15);
- patients with stable asthma (S-asthma; n = 15);
- non-smoking surgical controls (NSC; n = 15).

Patients with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation (E-asthma)

These patients were admitted to hospital and intubated for clinical reasons. However, endobronchial biopsy specimens were taken for the purposes of research. They had had asthma symptoms for a mean (SE) of 21.2 (2.2) years (range 8–40) and had experienced one of the following features: continuous symptoms, frequent exacerbations or night-time asthma symptoms, peak expiratory flow (PEF) or forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) ≤60% predicted, FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio <70% and variability ≥30%. The patients met the criteria for the definition of a severe exacerbation, that is, a sudden worsening of asthma symptoms (wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness and cough) and lung function requiring emergency admission to hospital.17 They were admitted to the ICU for status asthmaticus and respiratory failure. Once stabilised, they were recruited into the study. Approximately 70% of the surrogates approached consented. These patients were on β2-agonist nebuliser and received 1–3 doses of corticosteroids (Solumedrol 60–80 mg/6–12 h) and 1–2 doses of antibiotics intravenously during the admission, prior to biopsy. They were also receiving multiple daily controller medication before the exacerbation and had received short-acting β2-agonist as required. Twelve of the 15 subjects in this group had previously been receiving inhaled steroids (triamcinolone acetonide) and 8 had received oral steroids. Skin tests to a panel of common allergen extracts showed that 9 were atopic, 4 were non-atopic and in 2 cases their atopic status was not tested.

Patients with stable asthma (S-asthma)

Each subject made a pre-study screening visit in which a full clinical assessment (including spirometric tests, chest radiography, ECG and histamine challenge testing) was undertaken. The diagnosis of asthma was made on the basis of clinical symptoms, peak flow variability, lung function studies with spirometry and bronchoprovocation testing. The patients had had asthma symptoms for a mean (SE) of 14.6 (1.7) years (range 1–37). Each had a clinical history of intermittent asthma, defined clinically using the Global Initiative for Asthma guideline criteria with symptoms less than once a week, brief exacerbations, nocturnal symptoms not more than twice a month and normal lung function between episodes.17 Their pre-bronchodilator FEV1 was ≥70% predicted and FEV1/FVC was >70%. A minimum of 6 weeks since any evidence of infection/exacerbation was required. None of these subjects had any other respiratory illness. They were using short-acting β2 bronchodilators on an as needed basis and had not received any daily controller medication, inhaled, oral or injected steroids during the previous 3 months. All of the subjects underwent a histamine bronchoprovocation study with PC20 <4 mg/ml. Subjects 17 and 26 had a reduced FEV1 but with a normal ratio and there was no spirometric evidence of a restrictive lung process.

Non-smoking surgical controls (NSC)

Non-atopic non-asthmatic subjects scheduled for elective surgical procedures under general anaesthesia for non-bronchopulmonary disease (such as hysterectomy or cholecystectomy) were recruited. These subjects completed a respiratory questionnaire and then underwent spirometry. Subjects were enrolled if they had no upper airway and respiratory symptoms and had normal lung function (FEV1 >80% predicted and FEV1/FVC >70%). Eighty percent of subjects approached participated in the study. Blood eosinophil counts were within the range 0.0–0.4×109/l. This group also acted as controls for the possible effects of intubation per se (table 1).

Bronchoscopy and biopsies

Patients in the E-asthma group required intubation and underwent bronchoscopy and biopsy within 24 h of their admission. In the S-asthma group biopsy specimens were taken under sedation and in the NSC group the biopsy specimens were taken immediately after intubation and before the infusion of prophylactic antibiotics. Biopsies were taken using an Olympus type BF P10 or BF P20D (Olympus Co, Tokyo, Japan) with alligator forceps (microvasive radial jaw single use biopsy forceps, 1.8 mm external diameter (Boston Scientific Corporation, Massachusetts, USA). Three biopsy specimens were taken from each patient, either from the carina of the third, fourth or fifth order bronchial division of the right or left lung. They were fixed immediately in 10% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin wax. Serial sections 5 μm thick were cut and stained with haematoxylin-eosin (H&E).

Immunohistochemistry

Neutrophils and eosinophils were identified using mouse anti-human neutrophil elastase (NE; Dako A/S, Glostrup, Denmark) and anti-eosinophil cationic protein (EG2; Pharmacia & Upjohn Diagnostics AB, Uppsalà, Sweden), both monoclonal antibodies used at 1/100 dilution and visualised with the EnVision-alkaline phosphatase (EV-AP) technique and kit applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions (K4017, Dako UK, Cambridge, UK). All immunoperoxidases were performed using the Techmate Horizon automated immunostainer (LJL

Figure 1 Neutrophil elastase positivity in the airway mucosa of (A) a patient with stable asthma and (B) a patient with a severe exacerbation of asthma. Original magnification ×200.
Biosystems Inc, Sunnyvale, California, USA) to maintain consistency of staining across the entire study.

**In situ hybridisation**
The technique of in situ hybridisation was applied using riboprobes that detected intracytoplasmic mRNA for the chemokines CXCL5 and CXCL8 and their receptors, CXCR1 and CXCR2, respectively. The preparation of cRNA probes and in situ hybridisation procedures have been described previously.15 20

**Quantification and data analysis**
The slides were coded to avoid observer bias. Measurements of epithelial and subepithelial areas and the thickness of the reticular basement membrane (RBM) were made with an image analysis system comprising a light microscope (Zeiss, Germany), a JVC video camera and an Apple Macintosh computer using Image analysis 1.55 software (Apple Mac, Cupertino, CA, USA). The thickness of the RBM was measured at 35 points along the length of each H&E-stained tissue section, 20 μm apart, as reported previously, and the geometric mean of all measurements was determined.

Using a light microscope (Diaplan 20, Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) at ×400 magnification, area profile counts were used to count neutrophils and eosinophils in the epithelial and subepithelial areas of each biopsy specimen. The data were calculated and presented as positive cells/mm² tissue, the mean of all evaluable biopsy specimens representing the value for that subject. The same method was used for counting in situ hybridisation positive cells in the subepithelium, but the point counting technique was used to measure mRNA gene expression for CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 in the epithelium because of the diffuse expression of the mRNA over areas of epithelium. With an eyepiece graticule containing 100 equidistant points, the points over both mRNA positive and negative epithelial areas for each of the genes of interest were counted.

The data were calculated and presented as a percentage of the epithelial area that was positive.15 20

**Statistical analyses**
Data for the percentage of positive epithelial areas and the thickness of the RBM for each group were normally distributed and expressed as mean (SE). ANOVA followed by Student’s t tests were applied to test for differences between the three groups. The data for cell counts were non-normally distributed and expressed as median (range) positive cells/mm² epithelial and subepithelial areas. Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Mann-Whitney U tests were applied to test for differences between the patient groups. Spearman rank correlation was used to determine the association between parameters. A p value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant in both the t test and the Mann-Whitney U test. For the Spearman rank correlation, a Bonferonni correction for multiple comparisons (ie, 5) was applied and a p value of ≤0.03 was used as the threshold for statistical significance. The statistical package StatView 5 (SAS Institute Inc, North Carolina, USA) was used for all the statistical analyses.

### RESULTS

#### Clinical findings
Table 1 summarises the demographic characteristics of the subjects. The S-asthma group was significantly younger than the NSC or E-asthma groups (p<0.0001 for each). Both the FEV₁ % predicted and FEV₁/FVC values of the E-asthma group were significantly lower than those of the NSC and S-asthma groups (p<0.0001 for both).

#### Biopsy quality and RBM thickness
Endobronchial biopsies were 1–2 mm in diameter and of good quality (that is, evaluable), as defined by adequate length of RBM (>1 mm) and subepithelium area (>0.6 mm²) excluding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Counts of neutrophil elastase (NE)+ and anti-eosinophil cationic protein (EG2)+ cells per mm² epithelium and subepithelium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>NE+ cells</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Epithelium</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Asthma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Asthma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-asthma, patients with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation; S-asthma, patients with stable asthma; NSC, non-smoking surgical controls.

Values are median (range).

* p<0.0001; † p<0.015; ‡ p<0.0001, respectively, vs NSC.

*† p=0.006 and ‡ p<0.0001, respectively, vs NSC.

*‡ p=0.04 (NE+ in epithelium), † p=0.002 (NE+ in subepithelium) and ‡ p=0.005 (EG2 in epithelium), respectively, vs S-asthma group.

*§ p=0.0028 vs numbers of NE+ cells in subepithelium of S-asthma group.
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The mean (SE) thickness of the RBM in the E-asthma group (9.9 (0.5) µm) and the S-asthma group (6.8 (0.4) µm) was greater than in the NSC group (4.3 (0.1) µm, both p<0.0001), and these differences were consistent with the clinical diagnosis of asthma. Moreover, the RBM of the E-asthma group was thicker than that of the S-asthma group (p = 0.0007).

Neutrophilia and eosinophilia

Neutrophils and eosinophils were identified in both the epithelium and subepithelium of the bronchial mucosa in the two asthma groups (figs 1 and 2 and table 2). The numbers of epithelial and subepithelial neutrophils and eosinophils were greater in the E-asthma group than in the NSC group (table 2), and the numbers of neutrophils were much greater in both the epithelium and subepithelium of the E-asthma group than in the S-asthma group. Although there were more subepithelial eosinophils in the E-asthma group than in the S-asthma group, the difference was only significant for the epithelium. The number of eosinophils in the subepithelium of the S-asthma group was more than six times higher than the number of neutrophils (p = 0.002, table 2). In contrast, in the E-asthma group the numbers of subepithelial neutrophils and eosinophils were broadly similar.

Gene expression

Cells expressing CXCL8, CXCL5, CXCR1 and CXCR2 mRNA were found in both surface epithelial and subepithelial compartments. CXCL5 mRNA was the most strongly expressed in the mucosa of both groups of patients with asthma (fig 3A and B). The intensity of gene expression for CXCR2 mRNA (fig 4A and B) was stronger than that of CXCR1 across the groups. The percentages of epithelial area positive for these genes are shown in table 3. Significantly greater areas of the epithelium expressing CXCL5 and CXCR2 were greater than that of CXCL8 and CXCR1 in both the E-asthma and S-asthma groups, respectively (p<0.0001 for CXCL5, p = 0.0009 and p = 0.0014 for CXCR2, respectively).

The numbers of subepithelial inflammatory cells expressing CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2 are shown in fig 5A–D. In the E-asthma group the numbers were significantly greater than in the NSC group (p<0.0001, p = 0.009, p = 0.0004 and p<0.0001, respectively), and the numbers of CXCL5+, CXCL8+, CXCR2+ cells in the S-asthma group were significantly greater than in the NSC group (p = 0.0003, p = 0.001 and p = 0.004, respectively). Only CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells were significantly greater in the E-asthma group than in the S-asthma group (p = 0.01).

In the E-asthma group the number of cells expressing CXCL5 (median 259 (range 85–797)) was similar to the number of CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells (median 236 (range 185–1055)), but CXCL5 and CXCR2 were eightfold and 2.5-fold greater than that of CXCL8 (32 (range 0–62)) and CXCR1 (93 (range 9–332)) mRNA-positive cells, respectively (p = 0.0001 and p<0.0001, respectively). Similarly, there were greater numbers of CXCL5 (201 (range 22–577)) and CXCR2 (136 (range 0–466)) than CXCL8 (28 (range 2–103)) and CXCR1 (61 (range 2–149)), respectively, in the S-asthma group.

Correlations

In the E-asthma group no statistically significant correlations were found between the numbers of neutrophils and any other parameter measured, but there were significant correlations between eosinophil counts and those expressing either CXCL5 or CXCR2 mRNA (r = 0.53, p = 0.02 and r = 0.57, p = 0.03, respectively; fig 6A and B). In the S-asthma group significant correlations were found only between counts of CXCR1 and CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells (r = 0.61, p = 0.02). There was no significant association between the length of intubation and the numbers of neutrophils or eosinophils in the E-asthma group.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to examine the bronchial mucosa directly in patients with a severe exacerbation of asthma. We found increased numbers of mucosal neutrophils and of cells

Figure 3 In situ hybridisation for CXCL5 mRNA gene expression: strongly positive cells in the airway mucosa from (A) a patient with stable asthma and (B) a patient with a severe exacerbation of asthma. Original magnification ×200.

Figure 4 In situ hybridisation for CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells in the airway mucosa of (A) a patient with stable asthma and (B) a patient with a severe exacerbation of asthma. Original magnification ×200.
expressing the genes for neutrophil chemoattractants CXCL5, CXCL8 and their receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2. Thus, in severe exacerbations of asthma, the disproportionately greater increase in neutrophils resulted in approximately equal tissue densities of neutrophils and eosinophils. Interestingly, the numbers of mucosal CXCL5 or CXCR2 mRNA-positive inflammatory cells were positively correlated with the number of subepithelial eosinophils rather than, as anticipated, neutrophils.

Bronchial mucosal tissue in stable mild to moderate asthma is characterised by an influx of eosinophils and CD4+ T lymphocytes, whereas neutrophils are usually sparse.21 Our patient group with mild stable asthma had the usual predominance of eosinophils but, in contrast to our previous reports, we found a slight but significant increase in the numbers of mucosal neutrophils compared with the control group. Yet, the patients admitted to hospital for a severe exacerbation of their asthma had a large (13-fold) mucosal accumulation of neutrophils, to the extent that their greater number roughly matched that of eosinophils. Thus, the final neutrophil to eosinophil ratio increased from an average of 1:6 in S-asthma to 1:1 in E-asthma, which was almost entirely due to the larger number of neutrophils.

The very modest rise in eosinophils in an asthma exacerbation is in agreement with the findings of others.9 Thus, in contrast to stable asthma, in a severe exacerbation the eosinophil is no longer the predominant effector inflammatory cell which is in agreement with findings in sputum studied in mild exacerbations of asthma,5 in therapeutic bronchial lavage in patients in status asthmatics,22 and in sputum,9 lavage fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Percentage of epithelium expressing mRNA for CXCL5, CXCL8, CXCR1 and CXCR2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-asthma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-asthma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-asthma, patients with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation; S-asthma, patients with stable asthma; NSC, non-smoking surgical controls. Values are mean (SE).

* †‡ p = 0.0001 vs NSC.
†‡ p = 0.03 (CXCL5) and p = 0.01 (CXCR2) vs S-asthma.
* †‡ p = 0.0001 vs CXCL8+ cells.
* †‡ p = 0.0009 (E-asthma) and p = 0.001 (S-asthma) vs CXCR1+ cells.

Figure 5 Counts of (A) CXCL5 mRNA-positive cells, (B) CXCL8 mRNA-positive cells, (C) CXCR1 mRNA-positive cells and (D) CXCR2 mRNA-positive cells in the subepithelium of biopsy specimens taken from non-atopic non-smoker surgical control subjects (NSC) and patients with stable asthma (S-asthma) and those with asthma with an acute severe exacerbation (E-asthma). Horizontal bars represent median values.
phenotypes important in severe exacerbations of asthma. Recruitment of eosinophils and other inflammatory cell receptors and chemoattractants normally associated with found in the E-asthma group. It appears, therefore, that correlation instead with the larger numbers of eosinophils neutrophil chemoattractants in the present study of E-asthma. Interestingly, our data show upregulation of CXCL5 and CXCR2 neutrophils and eosinophils in exacerbations of asthma.23 These findings underline the need to understand the mechanism(s) of such neutrophil recruitment.

It is known that neutrophil recruitment and tissue accumulation are due, in part, to chemoattraction by neutrophil chemokines including CXCL8 and CXCL5 via the actions on cell surface receptors, specifically CXCR1 and CXCR2. In both stable and severe exacerbations of asthma, the number of cells expressing CXCL5 or CXCR2 genes were approximately eightfold and threefold more than those expressing CXCL8 and CXCR1, respectively. By comparison with stable asthma, the extent of CXCR2 upregulation was much greater in severe exacerbations of asthma, with the number of cells expressing CXCR2 far in excess of the numbers of neutrophils per se. This indicates that cells other than neutrophils express CXCR2 in exacerbations of asthma, and these probably include monocytes and mast cells as well as eosinophils.

Unexpectedly, and unlike our previous findings in COPD, there was no significant association between neutrophils and neutrophil chemoattractants in the present study of E-asthma. Interestingly, our data show upregulation of CXCL5 and CXCR2 that correlate instead with the larger numbers of eosinophils found in the E-asthma group. It appears, therefore, that the receptors and chemoattractants normally associated with recruitment of neutrophils may also be involved in the recruitment of eosinophils and other inflammatory cell phenotypes important in severe exacerbations of asthma.

These data are relevant to the recent drive to develop treatment centred on CXC receptor blockade. In addition, CXCL5 has been thought of as an important mediator of lung injury and is associated with allergic airway disease. Through expression of CXCL5, eosinophils can recruit and activate CXCR2-bearing cells such as neutrophils to sites of inflammation. We have shown here in E-asthma and previously in E-COPD that the surface epithelium is likely to be a major source of mucosal CXCL5. While we acknowledge that the relationship between mRNA expression and protein production for CXCL5 may not be linear, release of the protein would act as a chemoattractant for both circulating neutrophils and eosinophils. By comparison with CXCL8, the relative importance of CXCL5 is now more widely recognised. A recent study suggested that there was downregulation of CXCR2 on neutrophils after their recruitment to the airway wall. However, our data show that the numbers of cells expressing CXCR1 and CXCR2 as well as neutrophils and eosinophils in exacerbations of asthma are greater than normal.

Limitations of the study
We considered it reasonable to seek permission to sample the airway mucosa directly by endobronchial biopsy for the purpose of research in patients being intubated as an emergency treatment for the management of a severe exacerbation. The ethical committee concluded that, as the additional risk was small, the potential long-term benefits from the study would outweigh any additional risk, providing there was informed and signed written consent from surrogates and that the procedures were only carried out in a hospital setting by an experienced team. These conditions were met.

This study was not age-matched with regard to the S-asthma group. The original intention was to make comparisons with our previous E-COPD patients, average age >50 years (however, these data are now already published). Thus, older rather than younger patients had been chosen for the E-asthma group. Furthermore, since most of the subjects in the E-asthma group were women, it has been suggested that the frequency of their exacerbations may be influenced by hormonal factors. It is known that premenopausal women experience a reduction in pulmonary function and an increase in asthma exacerbations and in hospital admissions. However, since most of the patients in the E-asthma group were postmenopausal, it is likely that they would have had fewer exacerbations than younger female patients.

Weak and variable associations have been reported between the time of mechanical ventilation and extent of neutrophilia. However, we did not find such an association and do not therefore consider that the effects of intubation per se confounded our interpretation.

Patients in the E-asthma group were given large doses of parenteral steroids during their hospital admission. A relationship between neutrophil accumulation and steroid treatment has been advanced and debated. However, our previous E-COPD patients, average age >50 years (however, these data are now already published). Thus, older rather than younger patients had been chosen for the E-asthma group. Furthermore, since most of the subjects in the E-asthma group were women, it has been suggested that the frequency of their exacerbations may be influenced by hormonal factors. It is known that premenopausal women experience a reduction in pulmonary function and an increase in asthma exacerbations and in hospital admissions. However, since most of the patients in the E-asthma group were postmenopausal, it is likely that they would have had fewer exacerbations than younger female patients.

Finally, it is now widely recognised that respiratory viral infections are an important cause of asthma exacerbations in children and adults, and are a determinant of airway neutrophilia in exacerbations of both asthma and COPD.
Moreover, a recent in vitro study showed that rhinovirus infection induces bronchial epithelial neutrophil chemokine CXCL5 and CXCL8 production. The present study did not aim to evaluate the role of infection in recruiting airway neutrophils, and we acknowledge that it would be worthwhile to try to address this in follow-up investigations.

In conclusion, in patients with a severe exacerbation of asthma, we found an increase in the numbers of neutrophils, eosinophils, and cells expressing the chemotactants CXCL5 and CXCL8 and their receptors CXCR1 and CXCR2. CXCL5 and CXCR2 are especially prominent and are associated with the eosinophilia of E-asthma. In contrast to E-COPD, where neutrophils clearly predominate, the number of neutrophils in E-asthma is balanced by approximately equal numbers of tissue eosinophils. New treatments that target the CXCR2/CXCL5 axis are likely to be an effective way of modulating the numbers of polymorphonuclear inflammatory cells associated with severe exacerbations of asthma.
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