Abbreviated monitoring for diagnosis of SDB

Abbreviated or not abbreviated? Is it the right question?
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The use of abbreviated recording techniques in the diagnosis of sleep-disordered breathing

S

leep-disordered breathing (SDB) disturbances are very prevalent in developed countries. Since it was estimated over 10 years ago, the prevalence of SDB is probably higher now because of the dramatic increase in body weight in the populations of these countries.1 Given the large increase in mortality and morbidity outcomes associated with the diagnosis of SDB, the diagnosis of a nocturnal breathing disorder should no longer be confirmed solely by conventional in-laboratory polysomnographic recordings. This justifies the need for abbreviated monitoring during sleep to be part of the assessment of SDB and the tremendous effort developed by the sleep research community to evaluate the diagnostic value of abbreviated recordings.

The study by Jobin et al.2 reported in this issue of Thorax (see p 422) is the first comparative study that does not use in-laboratory polysomnographic recordings as the gold standard, and is thus an important step towards evaluating the merits of abbreviated recording techniques. This is a major upheaval in the field of sleep medicine, and opens the way to realistic assessments of abbreviated recording techniques in real-life conditions that avoid costly, time-consuming in-laboratory polysomnographic recordings.

It is, however, reasonable to wonder whether the authors should have proceeded more cautiously by starting with level 2 monitoring techniques (ie, an unattended complete polysomnographic study) as a reference, which would allow the influence of home monitoring on cardiorespiratory variables to be evaluated while, at the same time, taking potential differences in sleep characteristics into consideration. The authors did not explain why electrophysiological variables, which can be recorded using the Suzanne apparatus, were not collected. At a minimum, the reference portable monitoring technique should be designed to interfere minimally with sleep quality. The level 3 device used by Jobin et al. may not fully meet these requirements due to the cumbersome equipment, but the latest generation of recording systems should correct these potential pitfalls.

Despite the tremendous interest in the use of abbreviated monitoring by the medical community, American medical societies (APSS, ACCP, ATS) have, until recently, maintained that portable monitoring devices are not accurate enough to be used in an ambulatory setting for the management of SDB.3 A number of reasons may account for the discrepancy between the official recommendations of medical societies and the widespread use of abbreviated monitoring by the medical sleep community (apart from the potential impact of differences in reimbursement rules in certain countries). One is the very large disparity in the recorded signals and in the recording and signal processing techniques of the devices that have been tested (such as oximetry, breathing sounds, sophisticated cardiac rhythm analysis (heart rate variability), respiratory impedance signals, pulse transit time, arterial tonometry). In this regard, night-time oximetry recordings remain the most extensively investigated technique, and it is somewhat paradoxical that a typical desaturation/resaturation profile per se may not be considered as a diagnostic finding given that a repetitive fall in arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) is recognised as a cornerstone of the capacity of sleep recordings to identify SDB and that the accuracy of SaO2 recording techniques (probes, software analysis including artefact deletion, sampling frequency, averaging time, signal processing) has dramatically improved in recent years.

The discrepancies in the diagnostic performance of oximetry recording techniques reflect the specificity of the data obtained with a given recording system, but also indicate the need to have access to, and to examine, raw data to satisfactorily interpret abbreviated recordings. Considering that “oximetry” refers to a wide variety of different techniques with different diagnostic performances,4 the term “oximetry” is meaningless when used to designate an investigation category. The work of Jobin et al. illustrates this point since the desaturation profiles of the two oximeters they tested were different. Expertise with portable monitoring thus has to be developed in each sleep centre and should take into account the usefulness and limits of portable monitoring devices in the investigation strategy for individual patients.
Another concern is the moving target of the validation of technological development procedures, particularly with respect to the choice of the signal(s) to be recorded and the way tracings should be scored. One example of this is the lack of change in the normal threshold of the apnoea-hypopnoea index when highly sensitive signals such as nasal pressure recordings are used instead of the less sensitive signals used to select the 5 events/h threshold.7

A further reason for the discrepancy between official recommendations and the widespread use of portable monitoring is the unrealistic diagnostic value of a given abbreviated recording technique. Combined with an increase in the availability of portable monitors, this would appear to be the only effective strategy to reduce investigation delays and costs. This further implies that training and educational strategies adapted to the condition of individual patients are required for polysonmography to no longer be the “one size fits all” approach to investigating SDB. Abbreviated recording techniques should become an integral part of a stepwise investigation strategy where the results of sleep recordings must respond to the questions raised by the clinician about a patient’s specific clinical condition and pre-test probability. Future approaches should thus take into account the condition of individual patients and the clinical justification for conducting ambulatory recordings during sleep to select the most appropriate investigation strategy and to refine the diagnostic procedure by using level 4 to level 1 recording techniques in a stepwise fashion.
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Case reports are a useful method of identifying unique case presentations, often with important clinical caveats. Unfortunately, with the pressure on space, especially in high impact journals such as Thorax, the ability to accept case reports for publication is extremely low. A number of years ago Thorax, recognising its inability to publish more than a minority of case reports submitted, introduced what has transpired to be the very successful “Images in Thorax” section. Despite this, the pressure to publish case reports continues, unabated.

Recognising that there will continue to be a need for innovative case reports, especially those that speculate on innovative new hypotheses, we feel that there is a role for a more educational format for case reports. Therefore, unless a case report has unique content and, in particular, provides data not previously reported, it should be changed into a “Pulmonary puzzles”. These puzzles will consist of a brief clinical summary and appropriate image, from which the reader will be asked to provide a possible diagnosis. The reader will then be referred to another page later in the same issue of Thorax where the key diagnostic test(s) will be provided along with a brief.
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