

control arm have an annual *x* ray or nothing other than simple follow-up. The contamination rate for the control arm (ie, having a sneak chest radiograph or even a privately paid computed tomography) is not known, although this reached in excess of 50% in the chest radiograph versus nothing randomised controlled trial led by the Mayo Clinic in the 1950s.

Into this area of uncertainty comes a most interesting study with perhaps an intuitively obvious result from Silvestri *et al* in this month's issue of *Thorax* (see page 126).¹² They carried out a telephone questionnaire on a nationwide sample of Americans aged ≥ 40 years, identified by a random dialling telephone system. From 21 000 households contacted, 2001 individuals were prepared to answer structured questions from a trained interviewer. What transpired was that smokers in the survey were significantly more likely than non-smokers to be male, non-white, less well educated and to report poorer health status or history of cancer, and also (in the US system) to be less able to identify a usual source of healthcare. When contrasting the attitude to screening for risk of disease, the current smokers were less likely than the non-smokers to believe that early detection would result in a good chance of survival. The smokers were also less likely to consider undergoing a computed tomography for screening for lung cancer, and fewer current smokers believed that the risk of lung cancer or the accuracy of the test was an important factor in deciding whether to enter a screening programme. Even worse, only half of the current

smokers would have chosen to opt for surgical removal of a screen-diagnosed cancer.

The authors of the paper are correct to conclude that there remain substantial obstacles to the implementation of a mass screening programme for lung cancer. Many of the problems have been outlined above, without mentioning the huge effect the organisation of such a programme would have on resource, equipment, radiographers, radiologists, surgeons and, not least, the funders. There is even a debate ongoing on the best design for such a programme. Also, with the rapidly improving technology around sophisticated pulmonary imaging, it is hard to see how a 5-year study can be set up without the means to incorporate newer imaging computer programs and other refinements as the study progresses. What about the role of positron emission tomography which is regarded as more sensitive and specific than computed tomography alone in determining the potential malignant nature of a lesion? On top of this are data suggesting that those who we would wish to be the primary targets to screening may be the most indifferent to its benefits and unwilling to participate. It would be of little use if the educated, affluent and interested were the only recipients of this expensive tool. However, despite all the negative arguments, the value of screening remains unproved and therefore continues as a very important issue in the management of lung cancer, and should be properly supported and researched until its value becomes clear.

Thorax 2007;**62**:105–106.

doi: 10.1136/thx.2006.061309

Correspondence to: Professor S G Spiro, Department of Respiratory Medicine, University College Hospital, Grafton Way, London WC1E 6AU, UK; stephen.spiro@uclh.nhs.uk

REFERENCES

- 1 Hamilton W, Peters TJ, Round A, *et al*. What are the clinical features of lung cancer before the diagnosis is made? A population based case-controlled study. *Thorax* 2005;**60**:1059–65.
- 2 Fontana RS, Sanderson DR, Woolner LB, *et al*. Lung cancer screening. The Mayo Program. *J Occup Med* 1986;**28**:746–50.
- 3 Marcus P, Bergstralh E, Fagerstrom R, *et al*. Lung cancer mortality in Mayo Lung Project: impact of extended follow-up. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;**92**:1308–16.
- 4 Bach P, Kelly M, Tate R, *et al*. Screening for lung cancer. A review of the literature. *Chest* 2003;**123**:725–825.
- 5 Henschke C, McCauley D, Yankelevitz D, *et al*. Early lung cancer detection project: overall design and findings from baseline screening. *Lancet* 1999;**354**:99–105.
- 6 Sone S, Takahashi S, Li F, *et al*. Mass screening for lung cancer with mobile spiral computed tomography scan. *Lancet* 1998;**351**:1242–5.
- 7 Sobue T, Moriyama N, Kaneko M, *et al*. Screening for lung cancer with low-dose helical computed tomography: anti-lung cancer association project. *J Clin Oncol* 2002;**20**:911–20.
- 8 Swensen S, Jett J, Sloan J, *et al*. Screening for lung cancer with low-dose spiral computed tomography. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;**165**:508–13.
- 9 MacRedmond R, Logan PM, Lee M, *et al*. Screening for lung cancer using low dose CT scanning. *Thorax* 2004;**59**:237–41.
- 10 Swensen S, Jett J, Hartman T, *et al*. Lung cancer screening with CT: Mayo Clinic experience. *Radiology* 2003;**226**:756–61.
- 11 Henschke CI, Reeves AP, Shaham D, *et al*. CT screening for lung cancer. In: *Textbook of prevention and detection of early lung cancer*. Taylor and Francis, 2005:187–204.
- 12 Silvestri GA, Nietert PJ, Zoller J, *et al*. Attitudes towards screening for lung cancer among smokers and their non-smoking counterparts. *Thorax* 2006;**62**:126–30.

Asthma-specific QoL

Further evidence that the wealthier are healthier: negative life events and asthma-specific quality of life

R J Wright

Association between psychological stress and asthma expression and morbidity

In this issue of *Thorax*, Archea *et al*¹ (see p 139) add to the growing number of studies linking psychological stress to asthma expression and morbidity. To

date, studies have reported an association between various measures of life stress and both the onset of asthma or precedent phenotypes^{2–3} and exacerbations of

established disease.^{4–6} Hypothesised mechanisms underlying the association between stress and asthma expression implicate reciprocal relationships between neural, hormonal and immunological pathways that have been extensively reviewed previously.^{7–8} Evidence demonstrating that psychological stress influences the expression of inflammatory cytokine patterns in patients with asthma or those at risk of developing the disease supports these theories.^{9–10} Others have shown that physiological changes, including neuroimmune and genetic processes, may lead to differential responses to therapeutic interventions for asthma and atopic disorders.^{11–12}

The current work by Archea *et al*¹ underscores that psychological factors may also be more indirect, albeit equally important, determinants of asthma

morbidity by influencing how individuals perceive and manage their disease. They aimed to examine the relationship between negative life events (NLEs) and asthma-specific quality of life (AQoL), and also to understand the interrelationships among AQoL, asthma severity and socioeconomic status (SES). These cross-sectional results show a relationship between the frequency of experiencing NLEs, a measure of psychological stress, and AQoL among 189 adults with asthma. Those experiencing a greater number of NLEs reported a worse AQoL, a relationship seen across all SES strata. The addition of sex, age, education and race in regression analyses had little effect on the significance of NLEs. When they stratified by income level and severity of asthma, some notable differences emerged. People with more severe disease reported worse AQoL across income strata regardless of the number of life events. Experiencing a higher frequency of NLEs was associated with poorer AQoL, although this relationship was evident with fewer NLEs in the lower income stratum. And finally, in the lowest income category, greater frequency of NLEs was associated with poorer AQoL across all strata of severity. Control for smoking in these analyses had no great influence on the outcome. Future studies examining these relationships in a longitudinal manner are needed to better delineate these associations.

By drawing attention to overlapping research in stress theory, social learning theory and health behaviour research, we may expand on the discussion started by Archea *et al*¹ as to why such differences might be observed. It has been proposed that the association between SES and health disparities may, partly, be determined by increased exposure to acute and chronic stress compounded by the presence of overburdened or absent social supports, psychological morbidity (ie, anxiety and depression) and lack of control over one's life.^{13–15} Research on stress has consistently documented that not only are NLEs experienced more frequently among people in lower SES positions but that they are also more strongly emotionally affected by adverse life events.¹⁶ Other literature suggests that support systems (eg, social supports and social networks) may serve as protective moderators of life stressors.¹⁷ However, groups with lower SES are disadvantaged in their likelihood of experiencing adverse events and also in coping resources they may have available.¹⁸

Moreover, ecological views on health recognise that individual-level health risks and behaviours have multilevel determinants, partly influenced by the

social context within which individuals live.^{19, 20} Research has begun to explore how the degree of chronic stress is influenced by the characteristics of the communities in which we live. One type of chronic stress that has been investigated in relation to urban health in the US, for example, is neighbourhood disadvantage characterised by the presence of several community-level stressors including poverty, unemployment or underemployment, limited social capital or social cohesion, substandard housing, and high exposure rates to crime or violence.²¹ Such stress is chronic and can affect all individuals in a given environment regardless of their individual-level risks or life events experienced.

In our laboratory, we have considered exposure to violence in the community as a useful paradigm to understand how chronic social stressors derived from multiple levels may influence asthma burden.²² We showed an association between higher levels of community violence, conceptualised as an indicator of community-level stress, and increased caretaker-reported asthma symptoms among children aged 5–12 years enrolled in the Inner-City Asthma Study.⁶ In this study, caretaker-reported prevalence of violence in the community, frequency of other NLEs, perceived stress, unwanted thoughts and memories (rumination), caretaker behaviours (eg, keeping children indoors, smoking and medication adherence) and sociodemographic indicators (eg, income, employment, race or ethnicity and housing quality) were ascertained (n = 851). Increased frequency of exposure to violence in the communities predicted a greater number of asthma symptom days among the children in a graded fashion even after controlling for socioeconomic factors and housing dilapidation. This association was partly attenuated by controlling for the frequency of reported experiencing of other NLEs as well as perceived stress and behavioural differences, albeit the trend remained significant. It would be interesting to examine stress in such a multilevel framework in relation to quality of life.

Individuals facing cumulative challenges in poverty-stricken, high-crime, inner-city neighbourhoods²³ may be most in need of stress buffers and, yet, the support provided by highly stressed network members may be compromised by the demands that these same people are facing.^{24–27} The costs of maintaining social networks in lower-income communities may outweigh their benefits—many parents find that protecting themselves and their children from neighbourhood dangers requires withdrawal from the

community.²⁸ These and other studies show that being a single parent in such environments may add a further burden. Single parenting and psychological distress have been linked by an unremitting succession of negative events, economic hardship, social isolation and heavy parenting responsibilities.

Then how might increased NLEs be linked to outcomes such as AQoL? When individuals confront environmental demands (life events), they appraise the event(s) as threatening or potentially overwhelming to their existing coping resources. If the events are viewed as taxing, and at the same time coping resources are inadequate, we perceive ourselves to be under stress or distress. Thus, lower-income groups with fewer coping resources and increased cumulative multilevel stress may theoretically be more overwhelmed in the face of fewer individual-level NLEs as reported by Archea *et al*.¹ This perception may, in turn, result in negative emotional states, including anger, anxiety, depression and lower perceived control, which may influence health behaviours including important self-management strategies and adherence behaviours that may affect asthma expression and QoL.^{7, 29} One caveat of this, as pointed out by these and other authors, is that negative affective states may influence both symptom reporting in asthma and QoL measures.³⁰ This needs to be carefully considered in continued work in this discipline.

Although these relationships are complex, as noted earlier, studies such as those by Archea *et al*¹ reported in this issue of *Thorax* may have considerable implications for asthma management and clinical guidelines. Recognising which groups are most vulnerable to the effects of stress, what stressors are most likely to influence asthma outcomes, paying particular attention to cumulative stressors, and implementing alternative modalities that reduce stress at the individual level may benefit asthma management.³¹ Understanding and responding to the complex factors that contribute to differences in exposure to environmental factors, including stress based on socioeconomic position on a broader scale (ie, at the population level), may contribute to reducing rising disparities in asthma morbidity worldwide.³²

Thorax 2007;62:106–108.
doi: 10.1136/thx.2006.067926

Correspondence to: Dr R J Wright, Channing Laboratory, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA; rosalind.wright@channing.harvard.edu

Competing interests: None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Archea C**, Yen I H, Chen H, *et al*. Negative life events and quality of life in adult asthma. *Thorax* 2006;**62**:139–46.
- 2 **Wright RJ**, Cohen S, Carey V, *et al*. Parental stress as a predictor of wheezing in infancy: a prospective birth-cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;**165**:358–65.
- 3 **Mrazek DA**, Klinnert M, Mrazek PJ, *et al*. Prediction of early-onset asthma in genetically at-risk children. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1999;**27**:85–94.
- 4 **Sandberg S**, Paton JY, McCann DC, *et al*. The role of acute and chronic stress in asthma attacks in children. *Lancet* 2000;**356**:982–7.
- 5 **Sandberg S**, Jarvenpaa S, Penttinen A, *et al*. Asthma exacerbations in children immediately following stressful life events: a Cox's hierarchical regression. *Thorax* 2005;**59**:1046–51.
- 6 **Wright RJ**, Mitchell H, Visness CM, *et al*. Community violence and asthma morbidity in the Inner-City Asthma Study. *Am J Public Health* 2004;**94**:625–32.
- 7 **Wright R**, Rodriguez M, Cohen S. Review of psychosocial stress and asthma: an integrated biopsychosocial approach. *Thorax* 1998;**53**:1066–74.
- 8 **Wright RJ**. Stress and atopic disorders. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;**116**:1301–6.
- 9 **Chen E**, Fisher EB, Bacharier LB, *et al*. Socioeconomic status, stress, and immune markers in adolescents with asthma. *Psychosom Med* 2003;**65**:984–2.
- 10 **Wright RJ**, Finn PW, Contreras JP, *et al*. Chronic caregiver stress and IgE expression, allergen-induced proliferation, and cytokine profiles in a birth-cohort predisposed to atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;**113**:1051–7.
- 11 **Miller GE**, Chen E. Life stress and diminished expression of genes encoding the glucocorticoid receptor and β 2-adrenergic receptor in children with asthma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;**103**:5496–501.
- 12 **Ippoliti F**, DeSantis W, Volterrani A, *et al*. Psychological stress affects response to sublingual immunotherapy in asthmatic children allergic to house dust mite. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2006;**17**:337–45.
- 13 **Marmot MG**, Kogevinas M, Elston MA. Social/economic status and disease. *Annu Rev Public Health* 1987;**8**:111–35.
- 14 **Williams D**. Socioeconomic differentials in health: a review and redirection. *Soc Psychol Q*, 1990;**53**:81–99.
- 15 **Cohen S**, Kaplan GA, Salonen JG. The role of psychological characteristics in the relation of socioeconomic status and perceived health. *J Appl Soc Psychol* 1999;**29**:445–68.
- 16 **McLeod JD**, Kessler RC. Socioeconomic status differences in vulnerability to undesirable life events. *J Health Soc Behav* 1990;**31**:162–72.
- 17 **Cohen S**, Gottlieb B, Underwood L. Social relationships and health. In: Cohen S, Underwood L, Gottlieb B, eds. *Measuring and intervening in social support*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- 18 **Kessler RC**. Stress, social status, and psychological distress. *J Health Soc Behav* 1979;**20**:259–72.
- 19 **Stokols D**. Establishing and maintaining healthy environments: toward a social ecology of health promotion. *Am Psychol* 1992;**47**:6–22.
- 20 **Taylor S**, Repetti R, Seeman T. Health psychology: what is an unhealthy environment and how does it get under the skin? *Annu Rev Psychol* 1997;**48**:411–47.
- 21 **Attar BK**, Guerra NG, Tolan PH. Neighborhood disadvantage, stressful life events, and adjustment in urban elementary-school children. *J Clin Child Psychol* 1994;**23**:391–400.
- 22 **Wright RJ**. Health effects of socially toxic neighborhoods: the violence and urban asthma paradigm. *Clin Chest Med* 2006;**27**:413–21.
- 23 **Klebanov PK**, Brooks-Gunn J, Chase-Lansdale PL, *et al*. Are neighborhood effects on young children mediated by features of the home environments? In: Brooks-Gunn J, Duncan GJ, Aber JL, eds. *Neighborhood poverty*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1997:119–45.
- 24 **Belle D**. The impact of poverty on social networks and supports. *Marriage Fam Rev* 1982;**5**:89–103.
- 25 **Brodsky AE**, Makingit J. The components and process of resilience among urban, African-American, single mothers. *Am J Orthopsychiatr* 1999;**69**:148–60.
- 26 **Coulton CJ**, Pandey S. Geographic concentration of poverty and risk to children in urban neighborhoods. *Am Behav Sci* 1992;**35**:238–57.
- 27 **Ceballos R**, McLoyd VC. Social support and parenting in poor, dangerous neighborhoods. *Child Dev* 2002;**73**:1310–21.
- 28 **Jarrett RL**. Voices from below: the use of ethnographic research for informing public policy. In: Mercier JM, Garasky S, Shelly MC, eds. *Redefining family policy: implications for the 21st century*. Ames: Iowa State University Press, 2000.
- 29 **Adams RJ**, Wilson DH, Taylor AW, *et al*. Psychological factors and asthma quality of life: a population based study. *Thorax* 2004;**59**:930–5.
- 30 **Price MR**, Bratton DL, Klinnert MD. Caregiver negative affect is a primary determinant of caregiver report of pediatric asthma quality of life. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002;**89**:572–7.
- 31 **Wright RJ**. Alternative modalities for asthma that reduce stress and modify mood states: evidence for underlying psychobiologic mechanisms. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2004;**92**:1–6.
- 32 **Wright RJ**, Fisher E. Putting asthma into context: community influences on risk, behavior, and intervention. In: Kawachi I, Berkman L, eds. *Neighborhoods and health*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.

THORAX

Further evidence that the wealthier are healthier: negative life events and asthma-specific quality of life

R J Wright

Thorax 2007 62: 106-108
doi: 10.1136/thx.2006.067926

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://thorax.bmj.com/content/62/2/106>

References

These include:

This article cites 27 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
<http://thorax.bmj.com/content/62/2/106#BIBL>

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Asthma](#) (1782)
[Epidemiologic studies](#) (1829)
[Health education](#) (1223)
[Smoking](#) (1037)
[Tobacco use](#) (1039)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>