Association of inducible nitric oxide synthase with asthma severity, total serum immunoglobulin E and blood eosinophil levels
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Background: Nitric oxide is released by immune, epithelial and endothelial cells, and plays an important part in the pathophysiology of asthma.

Objective: To investigate the association of inducible nitric oxide synthases (iNOS) gene repeat polymorphisms with asthma.

Methods: 230 families with asthma (842 individuals) were recruited to identify and establish the genetic association of iNOS repeats with asthma and associated phenotypes. Serum nitric oxide levels in selected individuals were measured and correlated with specific genotypes. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the effect of age and sex.

Results: A total of four repeats—a (CCTTT)n promoter repeat, a novel intron 2 (GT)n repeat (BV680047), an intron 4 (GT)n repeat (AFM3117281) and an intron 5 (CA)n repeat (D17S1878)—were identified and genotyped. A significant transmission distortion to the probands with asthma was seen for allele 3 of the AFM3117281 gene (p = 0.006). This allele was also found to be significantly associated with percentage blood eosinophils (p < 0.001) and asthma severity (p = 0.04). Moreover, it was functionally correlated with high serum nitric oxide levels (p = 0.006). Similarly, the promoter repeat was found to be associated with serum total immunoglobulin (Ig)E (p = 0.028). Individuals carrying allele 4 of this repeat have high serum IgE (p < 0.001) and nitric oxide levels (p = 0.03).

Conclusion: This is the first study to identify the repeat polymorphisms in the iNOS gene that are associated with severity of asthma and eosinophils. The functional relevance of the associated alleles with serum nitric oxide levels was also shown. Therefore, these results could be valuable in elucidating the role of nitric oxide in asthma pathogenesis.
Effect of iNOS in asthma pathology and the results of the previous genetic studies prompted us to analyse the association of various repeat polymorphisms of the iNOS gene with asthma and associated phenotypes.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Participants**

In a multicentre-based asthma genetics study programme, families ascertained through a total of 230 probands (mean age 16.5 (standard deviation (SD) 11.5) years) were recruited from various collaborating hospitals of north and northwest India (Indo-European). The recruited study population involved children and adults with longstanding asthma (age range 3–48 years). The ethics committees of all the participating centres and hospitals approved the study. Written consent was obtained from all the participants or the parents in the case of children. Study design was such that at least one child with asthma along with both parents were recruited. Thus, 842 individuals were recruited, with an average family size of 3.47 (range 3–10) individuals per family.

**Clinical evaluation of asthma and atopy**

In the recruited study population, asthma was defined by clinical history and later validated by interview as described previously.22 Probands were diagnosed with asthma by a primary physician, followed by a confirmatory diagnosis by a common group of clinicians at the time of family visits. Probands were subdivided into three groups—with mild (intermittent or persistent), moderate or severe asthma—on the basis of the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (Expert Panel Report-2) guidelines (http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf). Briefly, clinical tests performed to validate the asthma phenotype were as follows: presence of bronchial hyperresponsiveness (defined as the ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity \(80\%\) at the time of the episode and improvement by bronchodilators; except for the probands with age <6 years \(n = 5\) for whom the pulmonary function test was not performed); skin prick test or specific serum IgE (in the case of children); total serum IgE and differential cell count associated with a positive response to at least one of the following questions depending on the age of the patient. Have you ever had episodes of breathlessness at rest with wheezing (mainly in the case of children)? Have you ever had an asthma attack at night? Were you ever admitted to the hospital for asthma? Are you taking any treatment for asthma? Sixteen allergens common to all the centres were used for the skin prick test, with both negative and positive controls (house dust mite, *Amaranthus spinosus*, *Brassica campestris*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Propolis julifora*, *Ricinus communis*, *Alternaria tenuis*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, cockroach male, cockroach...
female, mosquito, moth, grain dust rice, hay dust and house dust). Specific serum IgE was estimated for six common allergens (house dust mite, cockroach male, mosquito, moth, grain dust rice and house dust) using the method described by Voller et al., with slight modifications. Atopy was defined as a dichotomous variable, having a weak reaction equal to or greater than histamine or high specific IgE for at least one allergen. Total serum IgE levels were estimated using ELISA as described.

Clinical data on the diagnosis of asthma and atopic diseases or other respiratory disorders, their duration, skin problems, types and doses of drugs and history of tobacco smoking were obtained by completing a detailed questionnaire. Details of environmental factors and the geographical region of origin and migration status were also noted. Individuals with a history of active smoking in the past 3 years or with parasitic or helminthic infestation were excluded from the study. The affected status was also noted for all the family members recruited to the study.

Genomic DNA preparation
DNA was isolated from peripheral blood white cells using the modified salting-out method, and was stored at −20°C until further analysis, as described previously.

Identification and genotyping of repetitive sequences in and around the NOS2A gene
Putative repetitive sequences in and around the NOS2A gene were identified using the RepeatMasker software (http://www.repeatmasker.org/cgi-bin/WEBRepeatMasker). Four microsatellite repeats—namely, a CCTTT repeat 2.5-kb upstream from the NOS2A transcription start site (M1), a novel GT repeat (BV680047) in intron 2 (M2), a GT repeat (AFM3112B1) in intron 4 (M3) and a CA repeat (D17S1878) in intron 5 (M4)—were identified (fig 1) and validated for further analysis, as described previously. Primers used for PCR are listed in table 1. Further details can be obtained from the material available online at http://www.thorax.bmjmjournals.com/supplemental. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis through a POP-4 gel using an ABI 3700 genetic analyser (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA), along with PET-510 internal size standard. Fragment lengths were determined using the GeneMapper Software V.3.7 (ABI). The number of repeats at each locus was determined by sequencing PCR fragments of individuals (n = 5) being homozygotic for one allele.

Measurement of serum nitric oxide level
Total serum nitric oxide levels were estimated using a colorimetric assay kit that measures total nitrate, nitrite and SNO as an index of total nitric oxide produced, and hence NOS enzyme activity indirectly (Calbiochem, EMD Biosciences, Darmstadt, Germany). The absorbance was read at 540 nm using the plate reader (SOFT-MaxPro, Molecular Devices, ELISA Reader, Minnesota, USA). The standard curve was plotted with absorbance against the nitrate concentration. For all statistical analysis, values were log transformed.

Data analysis
Family based association test (http://www.biostat.harvard.edu/~fbat/fbat.htm) was used to evaluate the association of four markers in NOS2A for the binary traits of asthma or atopy. Biallelic and multiallelic tests were performed using an additive genetic model to identify alleles with evidence for both linkage and association. FBAT analysis extends the methodology of the transmission disequilibrium test to evaluate nuclear families including both affected and unaffected offspring. It is based on the observed traits and parental genotypes, and where parental data are missing, conditions on the offspring genotype configuration to specify the distribution of a score statistic. The conditional distribution is used to calculate the mean and variance of each family’s contribution to a general score statistic. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to test the effect of repeat polymorphisms on total serum log_{10} IgE levels using JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The alleles significantly associated with the trait in FBAT or with IgE were further evaluated to determine the magnitude and direction of association with serum nitric oxide levels and percentage of blood eosinophil count by ANOVA after making the distribution normal by excluding the extreme outliers using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Association with severity was analysed using a 2×2 table (homozygotic wild type v heterozygote/homozygote mutant) from simple interactive statistical analysis (http://home.clara.net/sisa/twoby2.htm). The influence of the particular polymorphism, age and sex on specific asthma phenotypes was also examined using multivariate logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS
Association of NOS2A microsatellites with asthma
A total of 10, 7, 9 and 11 alleles were observed for the repeats M1, M2, M3 and M4, respectively (table 2). Overall, none of the repeats showed a significant association with asthma, when analysed using the multiallelic mode of FBAT (p>0.05). However, we found a significant association of the allele 3 of the M3 repeat with asthma (p = 0.006). Allele 3 was overtransmitted to the probands with asthma as shown by the positive z value of the FBAT analysis. Similarly, allele 3 of the novel GT repeat and allele 6 of the CA repeat showed a positive association, whereas allele 6 of M3 showed a negative association with asthma (p<0.05; table 2). However, these associations were marginally significant. None of the alleles of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Number of bases</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Annealing temperature, (°C)</th>
<th>PCR product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1_FP</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5’-ACCCCTGGAAAGCCTACAACCTGGAT-3’</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>176-221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1_RP</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5’-GCCACAGCAACCCACTTCTCTAGA-3’</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>215-229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2_FP</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5’-GTGCTGATGAAACAGACAG-3’</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>343-339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2_RP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5’-AGGCGCCAAAGGAAAACCAT-3’</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>323-341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3_FP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5’-GCCACAGCAACCCACTTCTCTAGA-3’</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>215-229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4_FP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5’-AAGGCGCATGTGCTGCTGCTGCT-3’</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>343-339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4_RP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5’-AGGCGCCAAAGGAAAACCAT-3’</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>323-341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOS2A, inducible nitric oxide synthase; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
the promoter CCTTT repeat was found to be associated with asthma in our study. As 86% of our probands with asthma are atopic, further analysis with atopy as such has not been performed.

Allele 3 of M3 in NOS2A and asthma severity
Asthma severity status for the 207 individuals was determined unambiguously. Most (76.3%) of them had mild, 22.2% had moderate and only 1.5% were found to have severe asthma. Allele 3 of M3, which was found to be associated with asthma, was analysed for its association with asthma severity. As only 3 probands with severe asthma were recruited in our study population, they were grouped together with individuals with moderate asthma for association analysis using a 2 × 2 table. In all, 27% of the individuals carrying allele 3 had moderate or severe asthma, whereas only 12% of those carrying other genotypes fall into this category. Thus, a significant association was observed with asthma severity and allele 3 of M3 (p = 0.02, odds ratio 2.62, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.03 to 6.6; p = 0.04 after multiple logistic regression).

Associations of the NOS2A repeat polymorphisms with serum total IgE
As increased total serum IgE level is one of the major characteristics of atopy and atopic asthma, and nitric oxide is known to regulate IgE, the genetic effects of the NOS2A repeat polymorphisms were tested on this trait. One-way ANOVA showed a significant association of the promoter CCTTT repeat polymorphism and serum IgE levels (table 3; p = 0.018; p = 0.028 after multiple logistic regression). When mean log_{10} serum total IgE of individuals with allele 4 was compared with that of individuals with all other alleles pooled together and analysed using ANOVA, we found that the allele 4 (12 repeats) was significantly associated with the high log_{10} serum total IgE levels (p < 0.001). However, none of the other microsatellites (M2, M3 and M4) was found to be associated with IgE in our analysis (table 3).

Association of the NOS2A repeat polymorphisms with peripheral blood eosinophils (%)
We checked for the association of allele 3 of M3 and allele 4 of the M1 with percent blood eosinophil counts. A significant association was observed with allele 3 of M3 (F = 8.64, df = 2, p < 0.001); homozygotic individuals had the highest percentage of eosinophils, followed by heterozygotic individuals and then the individuals with other genotypes (table 4). Negligible effects of age and sex were observed when regressed with these two parameters (p < 0.001). However, no significant association was observed for the allele 4 of M1 (F = 2.002, df = 2, p = 0.14; table 4).

Table 2  Biallelic results for four markers of iNOS testing null hypotheses of no linkage and no association (family based association test, additive model) in 230 Indian families with probands with asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Repeat size</th>
<th>Allele frequency</th>
<th>Informative families (n)</th>
<th>z Score</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- 2.5 kb CCTTT repeat (M1)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-0.612</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>-1.273</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-0.539</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are nominal and not corrected for the multiple testing.
logistic regression). Accordingly, individuals homozygotic for allele 3 were found to have high levels of nitric oxide in their sera (table 4). Similarly, a significant association was observed for allele 4 of M1 (F = 3.11, df = 2, p = 0.047; F = 5.26, df = 2, p = 0.006 after excluding the outliers (n = 9) using the Shapiro–Wilk test; and p = 0.03 after multiple logistic regression; table 4). The earlier reported 14 repeat of M1 (allele 6) was also found to be marginally associated with serum nitric oxide levels (p = 0.07).23

**DISCUSSION**

Nitric oxide, a relatively stable free radical, is increased in exhaled air and plasma of people with asthma compared with that in healthy individuals, and is increasingly implicated in the pathogenesis of inflammation in asthma.24–25 Nitric oxide produced in the lungs is an important regulator of airway events, including modifying airway tone, regulating pulmonary vascular tone, stimulating mucin secretion, modulating mucociliary clearance through effects on ciliary beat frequency, and immune surveillance including tumoricidal and bactericidal effects.25 Studies of NOS in asthma have been focused on iNOS as INOS was shown to be up regulated in patients with asthma and is believed to represent the major source of nitric oxide in the lungs.26 NOS2A (iNOS) has been identified as a calcium-independent isoform, which was detected in the brain, lungs, and liver of rats after endotoxin treatment.27 The transcriptional activation of iNOS in these cells is regulated by endogenous mediators (such as chemokines and cytokines) as well as exogenous factors including allergens and environmental pollutants.28

To elucidate the role of iNOS in asthma pathogenesis, we have identified and genotyped four repeats including a novel (GT)n repeat in intron 2 of NOS2A. A significant transmission distortion to the probands with asthma was seen for allele 3 of the (GT)n repeat in intron 4. As the allele at each locus is not fully independent because of linkage disequilibrium across the gene (pairwise r²>0.74 among the repeats; fig 1), and the end points (asthma, IgE, eosinophil counts, asthma severity and nitric oxide levels) used are clearly not independent, no corrections for the multiple testing have been applied in our analysis. Here, we have undertaken a family-based approach to analyse the association of polymorphic repeats with asthma, which is more robust than the classic population-based studies. Although population-based studies have more power, cryptic population stratification can produce false-positive results. On the other hand, asthma being a complex genetic disorder, owing to small effects of individual genes, a family-based study may fail to detect significant association even when it exists, because of the power constraints.29 Camp31 suggested that at least 200 informative triads (patients and parents) are required for a completely recessive disorder with a population prevalence rate of 10% and a relative risk (RR) of 0.011. As RR for asthma

### Table 3: Serum total log_{10} immunoglobulin (IgE) levels in the context of alleles of four repeat polymorphisms in NOS2A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele (repeat size)</th>
<th>Number of alleles</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Overall p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−2.5 kb CCTTT repeat (M1)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.87 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.81 (0.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.78 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (12)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.09 (0.63)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.87 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (14)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.73 (0.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (15)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.91 (0.54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.85 (0.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron 2 GT repeat (M2)</td>
<td>1 (19)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.74 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (20)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.99 (1.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2.96 (0.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (22)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.96 (0.71)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.91 (0.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (24)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.69 (0.66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron 4 GT repeat (M3)</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.89 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.47 (0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>2.96 (0.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.77 (0.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.72 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (18)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.94 (0.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.03 (0.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.13 (0.15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intron 5 CA repeat (M4)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.86 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (15)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.91 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (16)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.88 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.95 (0.45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (18)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.78 (0.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.83 (0.54)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.89 (0.52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (21)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.76 (0.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (22)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.82 (0.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.18 (0.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The p values are nominal and not corrected for the multiple testing.

### Table 4: Distribution of the percent peripheral blood eosinophil counts and serum total log_{10} nitric oxide levels among the individuals with genotypes of allele 3 versus other alleles of M3 and among the individuals with genotypes of allele 4 versus other alleles of M1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele genotype</th>
<th>Percent peripheral blood eosinophil counts</th>
<th>Serum log_{10} nitric oxide levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3 genotype</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele 3/allele 3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.48 (3.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele 3/other</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8.16 (2.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/other</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.74 (2.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 genotype</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele 4/allele 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.7 (1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele 4/other</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.06 (3.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/other</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8.05 (4.07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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is >0.01, in order to study 8–10 alleles per locus, the number of families recruited by us (n = 230) would be enough to impart sufficient power (at least 80%) for statistical analysis. Moreover, using the population based association test, the power of our study to detect the association at α = 0.05 for an allele with a frequency of 5% was found to be 82.5%. In addition to FBAT, we have also confirmed our results using other statistical tests such as the pedigree disequilibrium test (data not shown). Moreover, while analysing our data with respect to the other asthma-associated phenotypes (serum IgE, blood eosinophil counts or serum nitric oxide levels), even after high drop-outs (small sample size), the power of the statistical analysis was >80% as calculated using the JMP software. Although the samples in our study population were collected from various centres, the samples belong to a homogeneous population of Indo-European origin. Thus, it is very unlikely that our results are due to stratification or an inherent statistical bias.

Although the association of allele 3 of M3 is novel and interesting, the causal relationship with asthma remains to be elucidated. Various groups have shown that variable length intron (VNTR) repeats can regulate expression or the splicing pattern of a gene.32 33 When analysed using the transcription factor analysis software MatInspector (http://www.genomatix.de/products/MatInspector), we found the presence of binding sites for various regulatory factors such as hypoxia-inducible factor and interferon regulatory factor 3 near the associated repeat (fig 1).

Interestingly, allele 3 of this repeat was also found to be significantly associated with percent peripheral blood eosinophils as well as with asthma severity. Our results are imperative as nitric oxide is known to modulate the Th1/Th2 balance by favouring Th2 responses and also IL5 production.14 Functional correlations of allele 3 with high serum nitric oxide levels add further confidence to our results. In our study, we measured the total nitric oxide levels in the sera of the selected individuals, which can be influenced by many endogenous factors, including the three types of NOS and also the SNOs. Nevertheless, as iNOS is the predominant nitric oxide synthetiser in the induced state, the serum total nitric oxide levels could be an indirect reflection of iNOS activity. To gain an insight into the genetic significance of allele 3 of M3 on tissue-specific expression of nitric oxide, our results have to be further validated using bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, lung biopsy samples or exhaled air. Nevertheless, the possibility of any other repeat polymorphism present within the gene (remaining 20 introns) or any functional small nuclear polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with allele 3 of M3 should not be overlooked.

Previous genetic studies have shown the association of the genetic variants of nNOS and eNOS with asthma.25–28 To the best of our knowledge, the only two studies on iNOS repeats have failed to show any association with asthma.29 30 Also, the repeat showing association in our population has not been studied by other groups. In accordance with the findings of Konno et al.,29 we have not found any association of the allele 14 and other alleles of the promoter CCTYPE repeat with asthma. However, in our study, allele 4 (12 repeat) of this functional promoter repeat polymorphism was found to be associated with high serum total IgE as well as with serum nitric oxide levels. Cardinale et al.31 have shown a significant positive correlation between exhaled nitric oxide and serum total IgE in patients with asthma with allele 4. However, the putative role of allele 4 in the transcriptional regulation of iNOS by binding to regulatory proteins remains to be elucidated in the future.

To summarise, this is the first study in any population identifying the association of the iNOS gene repeat polymorphisms with asthma. The associated allele has been further analysed with asthma severity, blood eosinophil counts and, most importantly, with serum nitric oxide levels. In contrast with earlier observations, where nitric oxide was primarily implicated to protect against atopy, in our study, high nitric oxide levels associated with risk genotypes point towards the pro-inflammatory role of nitric oxide in patients with established asthma.
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had a chest CT scan on referral. They fail, however, to describe a role for chest CT, but do imply that it may be indicated for patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic drainage (VATS). There is no evidence in the current literature supporting the use of CT scans before VATS. The British Thoracic Society guidelines do not recommend routine CT scans in children with empyema.  

In our centre all patients with empyema requiring intervention undergo VATS (approximately 40/year). We would suggest that chest CT scanning is not indicated before VATS in nearly all cases. We have found chest CT scans to be helpful, however, in situations where the patient has not responded to appropriate treatment with antibiotics and VATS. In this situation the possibilities are reaccumulation of pleural fluid, abscess formation or more extensive parenchymal involvement, differential diagnoses that are distinguished by CT scanning and information that is critical to the decision to reoperate (or not).

In addition, Jaffe et al do not take the opportunity to critically examine the role of chest ultrasound scans in patients with empyema. In our experience, clinical examination and chest radiography can determine the presence of pleural fluid. If the purpose of the ultrasound scan is to determine whether the fluid is simple (a parapneumonic effusion) or organised (empyema), this can be achieved more simply with a lateral decubitus or erect chest radiograph. The decision to undertake definitive management with urokinase or VATS is determined by the presence of unremitting infection and/or fluid volume in the pleural space. It is an outdated paradigm that the distinction between simple and organised pleural fluid makes any difference to subsequent treatment or outcome. The main use for ultrasound scanning should be for those children who are found to have a unilateral white-out on the chest radiograph at presentation and for whom the distinction between pleural space and parenchymal disease is difficult to make.
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Author’s response  
We thank Massie et al for correctly questioning the clinical need for routine chest CT scanning before performing video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS). Our study was pragmatically designed to reflect clinical practice in our institute, where thoracic surgeons routinely ‘request a pre-operative CT scan for use as a ‘road map’ when performing minimally invasive endoscopic surgery where direct visual access is limited. This helps to plan and assist in placement of the ports and instruments in order to decrease risk and avoid potential complications such as bronchopleural fistula which would result as a consequence of puncturing the lung parenchyma in close proximity to the pleura. We agree with them that there is no evidence base to support this practice in terms of risk, and our study was not designed to answer this question.

The principle of providing surgical ‘road maps’ (which cross-sectional imaging now provides) is prevalent in many areas of cardiothoracic imaging where CT and MRI are added as an adjunct to echocardiography and ultrasound scans in order to enhance anatomical (and, indeed, sometimes functional) information to enhance quality and provide a safer more informed patient journey.

We are surprised that Massie et al advocate the use of a lateral decubitus chest radiograph in place of an ultrasound scan which is not, in fact, a recommendation of the BTS guidelines. Indeed, this would be a retrograde step in terms of the quality of information and the radiation burden, and should only be advocated where there is no access to ultrasound.

As discussed in our paper, ultrasound is an invaluable tool as it is cheap, mobile, easy to use, can differentiate transonic from purulent fluid, solid lung from fluid and enables the radiologist to mark the spot for chest drain insertion. Although it has been used to stage the disease, we agree that it is not useful in predicting the clinical outcome as was evident in our study. Importantly, ultrasound does not carry a radiation burden.

One of the key messages we had hoped to emphasise in our study is the critical need to reduce exposure of children to unnecessary radiation. With this in mind, we disagree with Massie et al and continue to advocate the use of ultrasound as the most important imaging modality in managing children with empyema. The BTS guidelines also support this view.
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