Inhaled corticosteroids and decline of lung function in community residents with asthma
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Methods: Two hundred and thirty-four asthmatic individuals from a longitudinal epidemiological study of the general population of Copenhagen, Denmark were divided into two groups; 44 were treated with ICS and 190 were not treated with ICS. The annual decline in FEV1 was measured over a 10 year follow up period.

Results: The decline in FEV1 in the 44 patients receiving ICS was 25 ml/year compared with 51 ml/year in the 190 patients not receiving this treatment (p<0.001). The linear regression model with ICS as the variable of interest and sex, smoking, and wheezing as covariates showed that treatment with ICS was associated with a less steep decline in FEV1 of 18 ml/year (p=0.01). Adjustment for additional variables including age, socioeconomic status, body mass index, mucus hypersecretion, and use of other asthma medications did not change these results.

Conclusions: Treatment with ICS is associated with a significantly reduced decline in ventilatory function.

METHODS

Study population and procedures

All individuals participated in the third and fourth examinations of The Copenhagen City Heart Study, an ongoing epidemiological study of the inhabitants of the inner city of Copenhagen, Denmark. Details on study design, selection procedure, description of the non-responders together with the complete examination programme have been presented elsewhere. In the present study we have analysed FEV1 data from the third and fourth examinations covering the period from 1991 to 2003. The third examination of The Copenhagen City Heart Study was performed from 1991 to 1994. A total of 10127 participants were examined. All living participants were invited again approximately 10 years later for a fourth examination. 7757 subjects were alive at the beginning of the fourth examination in 2001 and 4873 participated (response rate 62.8%). The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

In both examinations FEV1 and forced vital capacity (FVC) were measured with the same dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Maidenhead, UK) which was calibrated weekly with a 1 litre syringe. At least two measurements of FEV1 and FVC differing by less than 5% had to be produced and the best FEV1 was used in the analyses. The intake of bronchodilators prior to lung function testing was not standardised. Data on FEV1 are reported in absolute values and as a percentage of the predicted value where predicted values are based on analyses of never smokers in the third examination of The Copenhagen City Heart Study. With only two measurements of lung function available, linear regression analysis was used to study the decline in FEV1 from 1991–3 to 2003. For this reason, subjects under the age of 30 years were excluded as FEV1 may increase until...
approximately 30 years of age and this would have been impossible to account for using a linear model.

A self-administered questionnaire concerning symptoms, somatic diseases, social status, smoking, drinking habit, and medications was completed and checked by one of the investigators at both the beginning and end of the follow up period. Participants were characterised as smokers if they were continuous smokers of cigarettes, cigars, or pipe throughout the observation period.

Subjects were categorised in terms of the presence or absence of asthma. Our criterion for asthma follows the convention of other epidemiological studies by relying on the subjects' perception of whether or not they have the disease. Thus, asthma was defined by an affirmative response to the question: “Do you have asthma?”

Treatment with ICS is not a constant feature. In order to be able to interpret the findings correctly, we only included those participants with asthma who did not change their treatment with ICS between the third and fourth examinations. Two subgroups of subjects with asthma were included: 190 participants who did not receive ICS throughout the observation period and 44 who did, giving a total of 234 asthmatic individuals with all the necessary variables available and unchanged treatment with regard to ICS during the 10 year follow up period. 114 asthmatic participants who either started or stopped ICS treatment during the observation period were excluded because it was assumed that changes in treatment are likely to reflect major fluctuations in asthma severity making a comparison between the groups difficult.

Statistical analysis

The characteristics of individuals treated with ICS were compared with those not treated with ICS using either the χ² test or Fisher's exact test when appropriate. All reported p values are based on two sided tests of significance.

For each individual the exact time between the two FEV₁ measurements was calculated in days and normalised so that values are based on two sided tests of significance.

The observed mean decline in FEV₁ (ml/year), percentage decline in FEV₁, and the FEV₁/FVC ratio at the end of the 10 year follow up period are also shown in table 1. In both sexes, individuals on ICS had a significantly lower decline in FEV₁. This resulted in a smaller difference in the percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No ICS (n = 114)</td>
<td>On ICS (n = 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57.2 (11.3)</td>
<td>57.9 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>2.23 (0.65)</td>
<td>1.74 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ % predicted in 1991–4</td>
<td>88.3 (19.4)</td>
<td>67.8 (20.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>163 (6.2)</td>
<td>163 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.3 (5.4)</td>
<td>26.0 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking 1991–2003 (%)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma duration (years)</td>
<td>17.8 (18.4)</td>
<td>14.6 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic mucus hypersecretion (%)</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing (%)</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>93.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoyn fever (%)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔFEV₁, (ml/year) 1991–2003</td>
<td>46.5 (31.5)</td>
<td>25.8 (33.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ % predicted in 2001–3</td>
<td>80.1 (21.7)</td>
<td>66.2 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC in 2001–3</td>
<td>73.6 (10.7)</td>
<td>64.6 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICS, inhaled corticosteroids; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; BMI, body mass index; ΔFEV₁, decline in FEV₁.

Data presented as mean (SD) values. Unless specified, all values are given at the beginning of the follow up period.

The information on asthma duration was only available in 125 of the participants.

Smokers are defined as participants who smoked tobacco at both the beginning and the end of the observation period.
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predicted FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio between subjects on ICS and those not receiving ICS at the end of the follow up period than at the beginning of the study: the difference in FEV1 in women was reduced from 20.5% predicted to 13.9% predicted, whereas in men the difference was reduced from 25.5% predicted to 17.4% predicted (table 1).

Smoking is an important factor affecting the decline in FEV1. The observed decline in FEV1 in non-smokers not receiving ICS (n = 125) was 46.1 (43.5) ml/year compared with 22.8 (39.5) ml/year in those receiving ICS treatment (n = 34; p = 0.005). In smokers the decline in FEV1 was 37.9 (38.7) ml/year in subjects not receiving ICS treatment (n = 65) and 30.8 (23.1) ml/year in those receiving treatment with ICS (n = 10; p = 0.035). Similar trends were seen in both sexes and, since subdivision according to sex resulted in substantial subgroups, these results are for women and men combined. As expected, smoking accelerated the decline in FEV1, but treatment with ICS was associated with a significantly smaller decline in FEV1 irrespective of smoking status.

Table 2 shows the results of the final linear regression analysis of the 234 study participants. The effects of smoking and ICS on ΔFEV1 were opposite, and both reached statistical significance. As expected, heavy smoking accelerated the decline in FEV1 while treatment with ICS was associated with a less pronounced decline. Wheezing was also associated with a slower decline in FEV1. None of the remaining variables included in the initial model (treatment with other anti-asthmatic medications, age, height, BMI, chronic mucus hypersecretion, and length of school education) had any significant effect on the decline in FEV1. There were no significant interactions between the investigated variables.

DISCUSSION

In this long term follow up study of lung function in community residents with asthma, treatment with ICS was associated with a more favourable course of FEV1. This observation was consistent in both women and men, as well as in non-smokers and smokers. The observed difference between the treated and non-treated groups of approximately 15–20 ml/year is quite substantial and is certainly of clinical significance.

The present findings are in keeping with results from studies over shorter observation periods and are also in line with the perception that ICS are effective in preventing hospital admissions and deaths due to asthma.11-14 Randomised studies of early and continuous treatment of mild asthma with ICS for up to 3 years have shown beneficial effects, not only on asthma symptoms but also on lung function.15 16 Previous observational studies of patients attending asthma clinics have also suggested that ICS may be beneficial with regard to the long term outcome of lung function in children, adolescents, and in young adults.17 18

As we did not have information on the level of lung function before starting treatment with ICS, we were not able to determine whether treatment with ICS can prevent the development of persistent airways obstruction in asthma.19 20 Previous studies suggest that ICS should be introduced early after the onset of asthma in order to achieve the best possible effect on airway function.21 22 In a Dutch study of patients with severe asthma there was a high incidence of permanent airways obstruction despite treatment with high doses of ICS,23 whereas in a study of mild asthma in children there was a favourable outcome regarding the FEV1/FVC ratio although the effect of ICS on the FEV1 was not different from placebo.24 Although previous histological studies of the effects of treatment with ICS on asthmatic airways have not shown consistent results with regard to prevention or slowing of airway remodelling,25-26 there are studies showing that treatment with ICS can reverse at least one aspect of the airway wall remodelling—namely, subepithelial fibrosis.25 26 Thus, although it is unlikely that ICS can prevent the development of airway obstruction in some cases of asthma, the present findings of a slower decline in lung function with treatment with ICS are in line with the notion that long term treatment can prevent or reverse airway remodelling, at least to some degree. In keeping with our previous report which was based on the same population but in a different time period,1 the present findings again confirm that abstaining from smoking is very important in asthmatic subjects. As in the study by Burrows et al, we also observed that a wheezing phenotype is associated with a slower decline in FEV1.26

Being an observational study of community residents and not a randomised trial performed in a clinical setting, our study is subject to significant bias. Most important is the fact that the subjects were not randomised to receive ICS. The treated group differed substantially from the non-treated group with regard to several asthma characteristics—they

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Multiple linear regression of ∆FEV1 in ml/year in 234 subjects with asthma based on a mean observation period of 10 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Estimate (ml/year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starters</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quitters</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light smokers</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy smokers</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–17.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE, standard error of the estimate; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids. The intercept represents the mean annual decline in a non-smoking asthmatic woman without wheezing who is not treated with ICS. The estimate for different variables represents the difference in the annual decline in FEV1 between those with and those without these characteristics (this means that heavy smokers have an additional decline in FEV1 of 22.5 ml/year whereas those treated with ICS have a 17.8 ml/year lower decline in FEV1).
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had much lower lung function, reported more asthmatic symptoms such as wheezing and chronic mucus hypersecretion, and had a lower prevalence of smoking. It is therefore clear that the steroid treated group is not representative of the whole asthmatic population. It is difficult to deduce how this bias of “confounding by indication” or “confounding by severity” affects our results, but we cannot rule out the possibility that the lack of adjustment for these factors may have produced a spurious association between ICS and ΔFEV1. Although we have tried to include factors describing asthma severity such as presence of wheezing and mucus hypersecretion, our adjustment is not precise since our population is not well characterised as this is part of an epidemiological study and not a clinical investigation. In particular, the asthmatic patients on ICS had a lower initial FEV1 than those who were not treated with ICS. On the one hand we could expect an even greater decline in FEV1 in subjects with an already low FEV1 and many asthmatic symptoms. On the other hand, it is possible that the decline in lung function in asthma is non-linear with an initial steep decline in FEV1 being followed by a normal decline. If this was true, the observed low decline in the ICS treated group could reflect the fact that asthma has “burned out” in this subgroup rather than an effect of ICS per se. However, the shorter duration of asthma in the ICS treated group argues against this explanation (table 1). It is also likely that the use of ICS is associated with other factors that we have not been able to control for (such as a better compliance with environmental measures), which may have a beneficial effect on asthma itself. Only a long term, placebo controlled trial with repeated frequent FEV1 measurements and detailed information on the ICS treatment can provide a definite answer, but such a study is unlikely to be feasible or ethical.

Another limitation of this study is the fact that the use of a self-reported diagnosis of asthma invariably leads to some degree of misclassification as some subjects with mild asthma remain undiagnosed whereas some subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are likely to have reported asthma. However, the high average level of FEV1 (>80% predicted) in our population speaks against significant misclassification between asthma and COPD. In addition, the fact that we observed quite a substantial association between the decline in FEV1 and use of ICS, which is in contrast to the findings from randomised trials of patients with mild COPD, suggests that not many subjects with self-reported asthma actually had COPD. A further weakness of the present study is the small number of asthmatics on ICS and the fact that we do not have any information on the dose of ICS or of compliance with this treatment. It is possible that some of the participants who reported being on ICS at the beginning and end of the study period were not on the treatment during the whole observation period. However, we feel that this bias would have been more problematic if we had been unable to show any association between ICS and decline in FEV1. The fact that we observed such a pronounced difference in this relatively small sample of asthmatic patients gives even more support to our findings.

During our study the mean dose of ICS in the whole of Denmark was around 500 µg/day. Only 18% of the population with self-reported asthma were treated with ICS throughout the observation period. This low level of use reflects the situation in Copenhagen approximately 10 years ago. Fortunately, the point prevalence of treatment with ICS among the asthmatic participants in our survey increased from 31.4% in 1991–4 to 48.5% in 2001–3. This low number is similar to the finding in a recent large survey showing a similar underuse of these drugs in most European countries.29

In conclusion, adults with self-reported asthma treated with ICS experienced a less pronounced decline in FEV1 than asthmatic subjects not receiving this treatment. Although this was an observational study and not a randomised trial, we think that the results suggest that ICS may have beneficial long term effects on the progression of ventilatory function in asthma.
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Stoves with chimneys reduce risk of COPD


The effect of the installation of stoves with chimneys on the incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was investigated in 20 453 farmers living in Xuanwei County, China who were lifelong users of smoky coal. 16 606 changed from unventilated stoves to stoves with chimneys during the period of data collection. Data were collected retrospectively for a period of 17 years (1976–92).

1487 subjects (7.3%) self-reported a diagnosis of COPD. The age adjusted incidence rate of COPD was lower in people with chimneys (367/100 000 person years) than in those without (767/100 000 person years). The mean age of diagnosis of COPD was 49.7 years (95% CI 48.9 to 50.4) in people with no stove improvements and 53.6 years (95% CI 52.9 to 54.3) in those with improvements. The installation of chimneys was associated with an improvement in the relative risk (RR) of COPD in both men (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.70, p<0.001) and women (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.92, p = 0.005). The risk of COPD in those with chimneys installed decreased consistently with the length of time since installation. After 10 years there was a significant reduction in risk in both men (RR 0.20, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.26) and women (RR 0.26, 95% CI 0.20 to 0.34).

The installation of chimneys on formerly unventilated coal stoves reduces the risk of COPD. This intervention in developing countries would reduce the global burden of COPD.

L Q C Siew
Clinical Research Physician, King’s College London, London, UK; leonard.siew@kcl.ac.uk
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