Patients with OSAHS may present to a sleep clinic or to other specialists with symptoms that are not immediately attributable to the condition. The diagnostic methods available are reviewed.

The obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS) has been described as a public health problem comparable to smoking in its effects upon society. However, it is largely unrecognised and undiagnosed. Young et al estimated that 93% of women and 82% of men with moderate to severe OSAHS are not diagnosed. Yet these patients are being seen by doctors on a regular basis; a telephone survey in the UK of approximately 5000 individuals aged 15–100 years found that 31% of those with breathing pauses during sleep had sought medical help more than six times in the previous 12 months compared with 12% of snorers and 11.9% of non-snorers. They sought medical treatment from their GP for a variety of physical complaints—not obviously related to a sleep problem—more than twice as often as patients without OSAHS. The failure to recognise the syndrome is in part due to lack of training in sleep medicine (a study of all UK medical schools showed that students received a median of 5 minutes teaching in all aspects of sleep medicine throughout their training) and a general lack of awareness. Many of the symptoms are non-specific and have other possible causes. Failure to recognise OSAHS is costly both to the individual and to society; under-diagnosis is thought to cost the USA $3.4 billion in additional medical costs per year. To this figure must be added the cost of losses in productivity, accidents, etc.

Because OSAHS is so common, has considerable effects upon patients and their partners, increases the risk of other diseases, can be effectively treated, and is so often unrecognised, it is important to improve the way these patients are diagnosed. The first step is to increase awareness among doctors and the general public and for a simple sleep history to become part of the normal systems review taught at medical school.

This review will focus both on how patients present to sleep clinics and how they may present to other specialists with symptoms that are not immediately attributed to OSAHS.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnoea/hypopnoea index; EDS, excessive daytime sleepiness; ESS, Epworth sleepiness scale; MSLT, multiple sleep latency test; MWT, maintenance of wakefulness test; ODI, oxygen desaturation index; OSAHS, obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome; PSG, polysomnography; RIP, respiratory inductance plethysmography; SDB, sleep disordered breathing
should always be asked about in the history. The possibility of dual causes such as shift work and OSAHS should also be considered.

Several tools are available for measuring sleepiness both subjectively and objectively. There is no gold standard, but the easiest and most practical is the Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS). Drawbacks include poor correlation with the severity of OSAHS and the disadvantages that accompany any self-evaluated test such as misperception of sleep episodes and the possibility of cheating. The input of the partner is very useful. The major advantages of the ESS are that it is simple, quick, inexpensive, and has a high test-retest reliability. Objective tests have obvious advantages but are time consuming and may not reflect everyday activity. They include the multiple sleep latency test (MSLT), the maintenance of wakefulness test (MWT), and the Osler test. Patients may also have neurocognitive deficits and psychological problems such as difficulty concentrating, poor memory, cognitive performance and personality changes with irritability and mood swings. These problems may be of greater consequence to the patient than EDS, but routine psychometric assessment is not practical in clinical practice.

**Witnessed apnoeas and nocturnal choking**

Concern by the bed partner about breathing pauses witnessed during sleep is the third common reason for referral to a sleep clinic. However, bed partners rarely give a reliable account about apnoeas during sleep and even trained medical staff are poor at diagnosing respiratory events in patients with OSAHS through clinical observation. Female patients with OSAHS are less likely to report nocturnal apnoeas and witnessed apnoeas may be reported in up to 6% of the normal non-apnoeic population. The patient may report waking up with acute panic and choking. These episodes usually only last for a few seconds but can cause considerable distress, both to the patient and the partner. They have to be differentiated from other causes of nocturnal breathlessness such as paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea in the patient with left ventricular failure, nocturnal asthma, acute laryngeal stridor, or Cheyne-Stokes respiration in patients with heart failure. Episodes of breathlessness in these conditions usually last longer and/or there is other evidence of the condition in question.

**PRESENTATION TO OTHER SPECIALITIES**

The pathophysiological consequences of OSAHS can affect almost every organ in the body and patients can present to other medical specialties with symptoms related to, caused by, or exacerbated by OSAHS. It is important that clinicians are aware of the various ways in which OSAHS may manifest in their specialty, as in some cases treatment of the OSAHS results in an improvement in—or even complete resolution of—these symptoms. Furthermore, treatment of some conditions such as hypothyroidism and acromegaly may result in resolution of OSAHS. It is beyond the scope of this review to describe in detail the presentation to various medical specialties but an overview is given in table 1.

**DIAGNOSIS**

The diagnosis of OSAHS is based on the characteristic clinical features together with objective demonstration of sleep disordered breathing (SDB). The American Sleep Disorders Association (ASDA) has proposed guidelines and a classification of severity of OSAHS (box 1). This emphasises that the diagnosis of OSAHS is not based solely on the detection of respiratory events, but equally includes clinical factors such as sleepiness and impairment of social or occupational functioning.

### Table 1 How OSAHS might present to non-sleep specialists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthesiologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrinologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT surgeon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenterologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory epilepsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult intubation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erectile dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycythaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Box 1 American Sleep Disorders Association (ASDA) classification of OSAHS

- **Sleepiness**
  - Mild: unwanted sleepiness or involuntary sleep episodes occur during activities that require little attention
  - Moderate: unwanted sleepiness or involuntary sleep episodes occur during activities that require some attention
  - Severe: unwanted sleepiness or involuntary sleep episodes occur during activities that require active attention

- **Sleep related obstructive breathing events (apnoea, hypopnoea, and respiratory effort related arousals):**
  - Mild: 5–15 events/hour of sleep
  - Moderate: 15–30 events/hour of sleep
  - Severe: >30 events/hour of sleep

**Clinical assessment**

Clinical assessment alone is not sufficient to make the diagnosis of OSAHS. Even sleep experts have been reported to be wrong in 50% of cases when making the diagnosis on history and examination alone. None of the common presenting symptoms alone has sufficient discriminatory value to make an accurate diagnosis. Combining constellations of symptoms can improve diagnostic accuracy. Loud
snoring and witnessed apnoeas identified OSAHS with a sensitivity of 78% and a specificity of 67%. In a large study of 5000 subjects, those reporting habitual loud snoring and frequent breathing pauses were 3–4 times more likely to have an apnoea/hypopnoea index (AHI) of >15 than those who did not have any of these symptoms. These findings are in keeping with results from previous studies. Location of fat deposition, especially anterolateral to the upper airway, is more important. Neck circumference has consistently been shown to be a strong predictor of OSAHS, and values of <37 cm and >48 cm being associated with a low and high risk, respectively. Certain craniofacial abnormalities are associated with OSAHS. Tonsillar hypertrophy, retrognathia, micrognathia, and certain facial configurations have been detected by cephalometry, MRI, or CT scans to be present in some patients with OSAHS, but are of little predictive value. However, some are potentially amenable to surgical correction. Routine upper airway imaging is not currently recommended.

Clinical prediction models
Prediction models for both primary and secondary care that calculate the probability of a patient having OSAHS using self-reported symptoms combined with demographic and anthropometric data have been developed to try to improve the predictive value of clinical variables. With increasing recognition of OSAHS, the demand for diagnostic services is rising and such models may help to select patients for further evaluation. One author claimed that the number of polysomnographic investigations could be reduced by nearly 40% using one such model. They are low cost and can be performed in the clinic; however, when tested prospectively, they have a high sensitivity (76–96%) but a low specificity (13–54%). Furthermore, most have not been validated in populations such as the elderly, ethnic minorities, and in the primary care setting, in all of which the presentation of OSAHS may be very different from that seen in a sleep clinic. Further validation of the clinical usefulness and cost effectiveness of such an approach is required.

Tests for sleep disordered breathing
Full polysomnography (PSG) is traditionally regarded as the gold standard for the diagnosis of OSAHS. Typically, it requires admission to hospital with a trained technician present throughout the night. It is time consuming, expensive, and the large variety of techniques, equipment, and diagnostic criteria used by different sleep centres make evaluation and comparison of PSG data difficult. Redline et al showed that the respiratory disturbance index can vary 10-fold depending on the definitions of the respiratory variables used for the diagnosis of OSAHS. This could lead to a situation where the same patient could be diagnosed and treated in one centre and be declared not to have OSAHS in another. Furthermore, PSG has not undergone the rigorous evaluation of accuracy, reliability, and validity expected for a “gold standard” diagnostic test. The AHI, the primary index diagnosed hypopnoeas depends on the type of sensor used. Hypopnoeas make up the majority of obstructive respiratory events and therefore measurement needs to be reliable. Oronasal airflow can be measured using thermistors which detect changes in temperature with respiration. Unfortunately, the response is not linear and therefore they cannot be used to determine hypopnoeas reliably. Furthermore, their accuracy varies greatly depending on the position of the sensors, the sleep position of the patient, the presence of nasal obstruction, and the make of the thermoelement used. For these reasons the ASDA Task Force does not recommend thermoelements for the detection of obstructive respiratory events. Mouth breathing can affect the measurement but pure mouth breathing is uncommon. Respiratory effort can be assessed in a number of different ways. Chest and abdominal wall motion can be measured by strain gauges, pressure transducers, or by measuring the impedance of wires placed around the chest and abdomen. This allows the distinction between central events, characterised by a reduction in respiratory effort, and obstructive events in which efforts continue, usually with a phase shift between chest wall and abdominal wall motion; as the diaphragm descends the abdomen moves out but, because of upper airway obstruction, the thorax is subjected to large negative pressures and is sucked in. Respiratory inductance plethysmography (RIP) detects changes in the volume of the chest and abdomen during inspiration and expiration and, when properly calibrated, the sum of the two signals can provide an estimate of tidal volume. However, calibration may be difficult to maintain throughout the night. RIP allows an acceptable semi-quantitative measurement of ventilation and therefore hypopnoeas. The ASDA Task Force recommends the use of RIP or measurement of nasal
Monitoring of sleep

Sleep quality and stage is monitored by electroencephalography (EEG), electro-oculography (EOG), chin electromyography (EMG) and analysed by criteria agreed in the 1960s.68 These have been modified subsequently, in particular with the recognition that much shorter periods of arousal (so called “micro arousals”) may be important. Electrophysiological monitoring allows confirmation that sleep has taken place, gives data about the amounts of different sleep stages and sleep quality, and can quantify the number of arousals which might reasonably be expected to be a good predictor of one of the most important symptoms of obstructive sleep apnoea—namely, EDS. Unfortunately, a number of studies have failed to show any relationship between the arousal index or any other of the sleep quality variables with daytime symptoms.69 70 Furthermore, there is poor reproducibility of the scoring of arousals.69 Douglas et al77 showed that the addition of electrophysiological analysis of sleep did not alter the diagnosis in 200 consecutive patients being investigated for possible OSAHS. It could be diagnosed as accurately by measuring the number of apnoeas + hypopnoeas per time asleep as by the number of apnoeas + hypopnoeas per time asleep (AHI).

Despite its widespread use and many advocates, the evidence does not support the need for full PSG in the routine diagnosis of OSAHS. One other approach to the recording of sleep is wrist activity monitoring. Although it is not recommended routinely in the diagnosis, it may be a useful adjunct to a detailed history in the assessment of sleep disorders.70

Objective confirmation of OSAHS

Various different approaches have been developed. These range through attended full PSG, unattended full PSG, limited PSG to oximetry, or movement detectors alone. Split night studies have been used; in patients with an AHI of >40 recorded in the first 2 hours of PSG the diagnosis of OSAHS can be made reliably without proceeding to a full night study. The second part of the night can be used for continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) titration with accurate CPAP estimation.71 There is a trend for studies to be performed in the patient’s home rather than hospital. Home studies have the theoretical advantage that patients can sleep in their own environment without occupying a hospital sleep laboratory bed, providing more representative data in a cost-effective way.72 Failure due to technical problems can occur in 5–20% of cases.73 74 75 Repeat testing increases costs. Factors such as patient disability or transportation problems make home studies impractical for some.76 Further validation and evaluation of the cost effectiveness of home studies is required.

Limited sleep studies usually quantify obstructive respiratory events without recording sleep. They typically include the measurement of oronasal airflow, chest wall and abdominal effort, ECG and oxygen saturation (Spo2). In addition, leg and eye movement, body position, and snoring may be recorded. The systems are usually portable and can be used at home. The potential advantages of these systems are that they are cheaper, less labour and time intensive, and technically less challenging. The main disadvantage is that the lack of sleep recording leads to uncertainty when deciding if respiratory events occur during wakefulness or sleep. Surrogates of sleep such as motion detectors72 and static beds73 attempt to estimate times of wakefulness but are poorly validated and do not appear to improve sensitivity or specificity.74 Furthermore, the study by Douglas et al75 suggests that documentation of sleep does not affect the final diagnosis. Generally, there is good correlation between the AHI obtained from limited channel devices and PSG.76 The sensitivities and specificities of limited in-laboratory devices are 82–94% and 82–100%, respectively.77 78 A systematic review in 1997 concluded that full PSG may not be necessary to diagnose OSAHS and that limited in-laboratory cardiorespiratory studies in patients with a clinical suspicion of OSAHS may suffice.79

Newer techniques

The effects of the large intrapleural pressure swings during obstructive respiratory events on the autonomic nervous system, pulse, and blood pressure have given rise to the development of newer non-invasive techniques to measure apnoea or hypopnoea.

Indirect measurement of peripheral vasconstriction and transient tachycardia through a finger plethysmograph,80 analysis of very low frequency components of heart rate variability,81 and the measurement of the change of pulse transit time82 during apnoeas have revealed promising results. Furthermore, pulse transit time can be used to differentiate between obstructive and central events.83

Pulse oximetry

Transcutaneous nocturnal pulse oximetry is increasingly being used for initial screening for OSAHS as it is inexpensive and can be simply applied and interpreted.84 Oxygen desaturations are common with obstructive apnoeas but can be absent with hypopnoeas or in events with increased upper airway resistance. They also occur frequently in other cardiovascular and respiratory conditions unrelated to airway obstruction, resulting in false positive results. The parameters reported vary widely but include total number of desaturations, oxygen desaturation index (ODI), desaturations per hour, highest, lowest and mean Spo2, and cumulative time SpO2 spent below 90%. A 4% desaturation is most commonly considered to be significant, but 3% and 5% desaturations are also used. As with the AHI criteria, there is no consensus as to the ODI which represents a normal or abnormal result but commonly used thresholds are ODI >5, >10, and >15. Nocturnal artefacts, inaccurate readings in obese patients, and the presence of hypotension and haemoglobin abnormalities can limit the accuracy of the results. Devices with low sampling rates, used in some home pulse oximeters to preserve memory, can significantly underestimate oxygen dips.85 Furthermore, it is important for there to be a visual print out of the oximeter trace; artefacts are more easily seen and the pattern of oximetry may indicate that the calculated AHI may be an underestimate—for instance, if the patient did not sleep for a period of the night.

The sensitivity of nocturnal pulse oximetry in the diagnosis of OSAHS ranges from 31% to 98% and specificity from 41% to 100%. This wide range of reported sensitivities and specificities results from the great diversity in criteria definition, populations studied, and devices used.86 In the study by Douglas et al66% of patients with OSAHS could be diagnosed with oximetry alone, but many of the patients undiagnosed by oximetry had moderately severe OSAHS and benefited from treatment.87 Nocturnal pulse oximetry performed prospectively in 275 patients suspected of OSAHS in the laboratory and compared with full PSG reported sensitivities of 80%, 71% and 63% and specificities of 89%, 93% and 99% for ODIs of >5, >10, and >15, respectively.88 The authors argued that the number of full PSG recordings could be reduced by up to 50% using nocturnal pulse oximetry.

Pulse oximetry is probably most useful in patients with a high suspicion for OSAHS based on clinical features.89 90 91 The combination of a high ODI and high pretest clinical suspicion can be regarded as sufficient to make a diagnosis of
OSAHS. Patients with suspected OSAHS who have a negative pulse oximetry trace or have significant concurrent respiratory or cardiovascular disease need further investigation.37 It may also be useful in excluding sleep apnoea in snorers with a low clinical suspicion for OSAHS.37 The 4% dip rate has been shown to be the best oximetry derived variable predicting symptomatic benefit from CPAP.38

**CONCLUSION**

The history and examination are key to making the diagnosis of OSAHS and are sometimes overlooked in the debate about which technology is most appropriate. The history should be targeted towards making the diagnosis, but also assessing disease severity, the impact on social and occupational function, as well as on the patient’s quality of life. The presence of significant cardiovascular, respiratory, and neurological co-morbidity should also be determined. This assessment should include the bed partner’s report whenever possible. In a significant proportion of patients the diagnosis can be made by oximetry alone, and in most of the remainder by limited PSG although close attention needs to be given to the parameters recorded and the instruments used. Full PSG remains useful in research and for occasional patients who cannot be diagnosed using the simpler strategies. The future lies in the development of less intrusive systems to identify accurately those patients most likely to benefit from treatment.
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