Is there an association between impaired pulmonary function and mortality in never smokers?

I read with great interest the article by Mannino et al. on the association between impaired pulmonary function and mortality, and wish to comment on two statements in the paper.

Firstly, the authors report that “an interesting finding (in their) analysis was that, in never smokers, moderate or severe COPD did not have a significantly increased mortality risk”. In never smokers with severe COPD the point estimate for the hazard ratio forming the basis of this statement is 1.3 with 95% confidence intervals ranging from 0.7 to 3.1. However, these confidence intervals overlap with the point estimate of the hazard ratios in current smokers with severe COPD. There were only 92 participants with severe COPD in the entire sample of the population, hence the wide confidence intervals. The estimates are similar in those with moderate COPD. Furthermore, this trend was also evident in patients with mild COPD who had never smoked. In fact, in the latter group the point estimates were identical in current smokers and never smokers. The authors should therefore be cautious in concluding that never smokers with COPD do not have an increased risk of mortality. An analysis of continuous pulmonary function data in relation to mortality in never smokers independent of the GOLD classification or in all patients with COPD may result in statistically significant results. How would one interpret such a finding? The analysis in never smokers should be seen in the context of other studies reporting increased mortality risks in never smokers, as they may be due to small sample size in spite of the overall large sample size in NHANES 1. The authors’ statement could be misinterpreted to suggest that never smokers would not require screening, a question that is not yet resolved.

Secondly, our study did report both FEV1% in quintiles as well as continuous variables.1

H Schülemann
Assistant Professor of Medicine, Social & Preventive Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, USA. hschule@buffalo.edu
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Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 polymorphisms and COPD

Neutrophil and monocyte activation contribute to the progression of obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). We hypothesised that a known polymorphism in a key lipopolysaccharide (LPS) response gene might reduce the severity of COPD through a decreased cellular response to activators inhaled in cigarette smoke. TLR4 is the protein enabling signalling to bacterial LPS and perhaps to endogenous mediators of inflammation, and is an important regulator of leukocyte function. Functional polymorphisms in TLR4 have been described and their roles investigated in a number of diseases. Most studies have focused on the Asp299Gly polymorphism, with the rare allele (Gly299) causing LPS hyporesponsiveness.1 In a study of more than 800 subjects the presence of the TLR4 polymorphism was associated with a reduced risk of athero-sclerosis.2 Smaller studies have potentially associated TLR4 polymorphisms with increased risk of sepsis3 but, in a large study of patients with meningococcal disease, Asp299Gly was not associated with either altered risks of, or outcomes following, meningitis.3 Although a recent study has suggested that rarer polymorphisms in TLR4 may be important in this disease.2

We screened a population of smokers recruited on the basis of age >40 and a smoking history of at least 10 pack years for the presence of the TLR4 polymorphism by established techniques in our group.1 Data were available on 289 subjects, of which 260 were Asp299 homozygotes and 29 heterozygotes. No Gly299 homozygotes were detected (these data correlate closely with the known frequency of the polymorphism in our region). The presence of the TLR4 polymorphism did not have any significant impact on future exacerbations as forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) before and after bronchodilator challenge. These data do not exclude the possibility that the well characterised and relatively common Asp299Gly TLR4 polymorphism might have a small but statistically non-significant effect on the severity of COPD. To examine fully the role of this TLR4 polymorphism, large populations (>1000) will be required to give adequate power to exclude small effects on FEV1 or reversibility.
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British guidelines on the management of asthma

As a nurse consultant in respiratory diseases, I am writing to express my concern in relation to the lack of guidance in the section on patient education and self-management in the recently published BTS/SIGN guideline on the management of asthma. Although I fully support the importance of patient education as a key component to effective asthma management, I do have unease around the issue of inhaled steroids. I appreciate that doubling the dose of an inhaled steroid at the time of an exacerbation is of unproven value; however, nonetheless, I
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think we can all bring patients to mind where this has happened and their asthma symptoms have settled. I now feel slightly bewildered, like many of my nurse colleagues, as to the advice patients should be given. It appears that the only options available during an exacerbation are to increase the use of bronchodilator therapy and, if this fails, to seek medical help or commence a course of prednisolone. The latter option concerns me as this may result in an increase in prednisolone usage, some of which may be unnecessary.

The lack of clarity on the pharmacological management during an exacerbation may result in groups of professionals coming together to write their own guideline, which could potentially create disparity of treatment interventions and standards of care. The National Asthma Campaign personal diary and action plan, which is promoted by the new guidelines, could be interpreted as suggesting a change in the inhaled steroid dosage during an exacerbation.

I appreciate the hard work and dedication of the committee involved in reviewing the literature before these new asthma guidelines were produced. However, do you envisage any further work being undertaken on the asthma action plan in relation to pharmacological management during an exacerbation?

K Clancy
Chair of Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists
karen.clancy@btinternet.com

Authors’ reply
We are grateful to Karen Clancy for raising an issue which has emerged in discussion at numerous meetings on the new asthma guidelines.

The BTS/SIGN guideline on the management of asthma strongly advocates the use of asthma action plans because they have been shown to improve several important outcomes measures. Most plans advise patients to double their usual dose of inhaled corticosteroid for a few days to cover non-severe exacerbations of their asthma. We therefore looked for evidence on the efficacy of this specific manoeuvre, but could not find any. This is potentially confusing. Asthma action plans work, but there is no evidence to support one of their key features.

It is important to emphasise exactly what is stated in the asthma guideline. We do not say that doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid does not work, but we do say that the value of this intervention is unproven. We do not recommend amendment of existing plans until there is a proven alternative. It may be useful to know precisely why asthma action plans do work, and further research here would be interesting. It is possible that, in patients who do not regularly take their full daily dose of inhaled steroid, “doubling” their prescribed dose improves compliance, at least temporarily.

In the meantime we would advise that health professionals continue to use asthma action plans which have been shown to be effective and with which they are familiar.

B G Higgins, J G Douglas, N Barnes
Guideline Development Group
b.g.higgins@thel.ac.uk
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Peak flow meters still useful but require consistency rather than accuracy
I read with interest the editorial by Dr Brusasco on the usefulness of peak expiratory flow measurements in which he suggests that they may become obsolete. As a pioneer of the use of regular peak flow measurements in hospital patients in the 1970s, it might seem natural that I would be reluctant to see them go, but I do think I can rationally defend their place for two purposes—one dating from the start and the other from the end of my career.

The characteristic of asthma is variable airway obstruction. Despite the potential difficulties, variation in peak flow is of value to patients in whom asthma has been diagnosed as an adjunct to establishing the pattern of disease in the contexts of causation and management. Much of Dr Brusasco’s argument is dichotomous, which is inappropriate in developing an overall strategy when there are limitations to all approaches—for example, under-perception and over-perception of symptoms. Cheating does occur, but blinded readings may be used to obtain useful results. Symptoms may precede deterioration in peak flow but, in practice, a relevantly lower reading on the second attempt is one of the best early indications of onset of a deterioration in a consistent performer. Guidelines suggest that serial recordings may have a place in the diagnosis of occupational asthma—for example, in aluminium workers. We have shown that, despite the difficulties relating to the patient’s best reading, there is an association between peak flow and symptoms, and overall mortality independent of and in addition to spirometric measurements. The second use of peak flow is as a useful check of quality in the diagnosis of minimal COPD when measured at the same time as and by the same instrument as forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1). A normal peak flow should be of the same order as FEV1 and, in subjects with mild COPD, the geometry of the curve demands that peak flow is lower than FEV1. In the first case, accuracy of calibration is irrelevant as the absolute value of peak flow is not used in the assessment. Consistency is vital and that is achieved by the simple instruments. In the second case, again it is not the absolute value that matters but consistency against the definitive measurement, FEV1. Provided that it is accepted that the absolute value of peak flow rarely has any value, measurement of peak flow remains very useful in these two particular circumstances and it follows that, when restricted to these uses, elaborate calibration of the absolute value of peak flow is unnecessary.

C K Connolly
d-k.connolly@medix.uk.com

Author’s reply
The letter from Dr Connolly gives me the opportunity to clarify some points not specifically addressed in my editorial. In discussing the paper by Miller et al10 on the assessment of portable peak flow meters, the first argument raised by Dr Connolly in defence of peak expiratory flow (PEF) measurements is the assessment of occupational asthma and that cheating can be detected by blinded recording. I am aware that electronic recording may help to identify fabricated or wrongly recorded data, but this would require more expensive devices and it is not known whether or not it increases adherence by itself. Furthermore, a smart cheater asking for compensation may easily realise that blowing started from submaximal lung inflation will result in low PEF values, and I do not see how this can be detected even electronically in unsupervised measurements without recordings of forced vital capacity.

Apart from these considerations, the assumption that accurate validation of flow meters is unnecessary for monitoring purposes is patently wrong for simple physical reasons. Even if the ability to measure true values in serial measurements is not crucial, the assessment of the dynamic response of the flow meter needs to be accurate. What Dr Connolly calls consistency is, according to the International Standards Organization,1 a combination of repeatability and reproducibility. Testing of repeatability is based on percentage and absolute differences between sequential measurements of known flows generated by suitable waveforms. Therefore, accuracy is necessary. In this context, it must be remembered that an inadequate dynamic response of a flow meter may affect...
measurements depending on the frequency content of the input signal, thus making any comparison of serial measurements impossible. Reproducibility is the ability to measure the same flow with different devices. Accuracy of measurements is therefore necessary if a given patient does not use the same peak flow meter for his/her whole life, which is not unlikely to be the case.

The second argument put forward by Dr Connolly is that comparison of PEF and FEV₁ may be useful to confirm the diagnosis of minimal COPD, but no reference is given. I do not question the usefulness of looking at PEF or derived parameters would be wrong and of manoeuvres (my editorial was on the use not question the usefulness of looking at PEF minimally COPD, but no reference is given. I do may be useful to confirm the diagnosis of peak flow meter for his/her whole life, which

Accuracy of measurements is therefore necessary if a given patient does not use the same peak flow meter and not on spirometry) but, even in this case, accurate assessment of the dynamic response of the measuring device is imperative. If the system does not measure PEF and flows at lower lung volumes with the same accuracy, any inference from the shape of the flow-volume curve or derived parameters would be wrong and totally useless.

Finally, although Dr Connolly asserts that it is accepted that absolute values of PEF are rarely useful, the most recent guidelines on asthma management maintain that the severity of the disease can be classified based on PEF as percentage predicted. I agree that this may be inadequate, but we should acknowledge that a patient with PEF constantly below 200 l/min should be approached differently from a patient with PEF constantly above 500 l/min, even if the percentage variability of serial measurements is the same. As pointed out by Miller et al., an underdamped flow meter would give readings much greater than the true ones.

In conclusion, an accurate test of the dynamic characteristics of peak flow meters is imperative if their use is to be recommended. Even so, the usefulness of PEF measurements in asthma may be limited for reasons not related to instrument accuracy, which is what I tried to explain in my editorial.

V Brusasco
Professor of Respiratory Medicine, Medical School, University of Genoa, Italy. Vito.Brusasco@unige.it
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Pneumocystis pneumonia in humans is caused by P jiroveci not P carinii

I read with interest the illustrative case by Boyton et al. of six cases of HIV associated pneumonia, which highlights the improved survival among HIV infected patients with Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) admitted to ICU since the introduction of both PCP prophylaxis and highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). This article will help inform physicians and intensivists about the optimal management of patients with PCP and respiratory failure and enable identification of individuals who will most benefit from ICU. The article addresses two issues—firstly, the name used to describe human Pneumocystis infection and, secondly, the choice of second line treatment for PCP.

From its description by Chagas in 1909 until recently, Pneumocystis was thought to be a protozoan. In 1988, by DNA analysis, the organism was revealed to be a fungus. Additional DNA data have subsequently shown that Pneumocystis organisms derived from different mammalian host species are quite different. Attempts at cross infection between host species have not been successful, indicating host species specificity and that Pneumocystis infection in humans is not a zoonosis. The organism that causes human PCP is now known Pneumocystis jiroveci Frenkel 1999—in honour of the Czech parasitologist Otto Jirovec who was one of the first researchers to describe Pneumocystis infection in humans. Pneumocystis carinii is now only used to describe the rat derived infection. The acronym “PCP” used to describe the clinical syndrome of pneumonia in humans and other mammalian hosts still applies—Pneumocystis Pneumonia. Pneumocystis jiroveci (pronounced “yee-row-vee-see”) is already widely used in publications describing human Pneumocystis infection.

Some physicians caring for HIV infected patients would not use trimethoprim-dapson as second line treatment for severe PCP; instead clindamycin-primaquine would be used. In this case, clindamycin-primaquine being the better tolerated of the two regimens.


Authors’ reply

We thank Dr Miller for his interest in the illustrative case of HIV associated pneumonia recently published in this journal. The case presented was that of a patient with HIV associated pneumonia successfully treated in the ICU. The improved mortality of HIV infected patients admitted to ICU since the introduction of PCP prophylaxis and highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) was also discussed.

Dr Miller quite correctly makes the point that at a Pneumocystis nomenclature meeting in 2001 at the Seventh International Workshops on Opportunistic Protozoa, it was recommended that the organism that causes Pneumocystis pneumonia in humans be referred to as Pneumocystis jiroveci. We hope it will be appreciated that, in the interest of maintaining accessibility to general physicians who may not all be familiar with recent developments in Pneumocystis nomenclature, we opted for the more widely used and understood usage and stayed with the familiar Pneumocystis carinii. We should also point out that the key publication from Dr Miller and colleagues on the proposed change in nomenclature appeared while our manuscript was in press.

The additional comment about the use of clindamycin-primaquine as second line treatment is well taken. The meta-analysis that Dr Miller cites showing the effectiveness of clindamycin-primaquine “salvage therapy” for patients with PCP unresponsive to conventional agents shows that this is an effective alternative treatment. As will be
appreciated from the comments accompanying table 2 in our paper, our aim was to give an overview of the available options without being unduly prescriptive. In the paper we strongly promote close collaboration between general, respiratory, HIV, and intensive care physicians in order to deliver optimal care.

R J Boyton, D M Mitchell, O M Kon
Chest and Allergy Department, St Mary’s Hospital
NHS Trust, London W2 1NY, UK; r.boyton@imperial.ac.uk
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Adenocarcinoma of lung
presenting with dysgeusia

A 69 year old woman, a smoker for 40 years,
presented with an altered taste sensation.
Investigations revealed hyponatraemia with a
serum sodium level of 122 mmol/l. Serum
osmolality ranged between 248 and
255 mosm/kg; corresponding urine osmol-
ality was between 430 and 835 mosm/kg.
Biochemical tests of thyroid, adrenal, renal
and pituitary functions were normal.
Computed tomographic (CT) and magnetic
resonance imaging (MRI) scans of the brain
and pituitary gland were normal. Her serum
sodium level returned to normal with fluid
restriction. A chest radiograph showed a
3 cm spiculated opacity in the retrocardiac
region. A CT scan confirmed a mass in the
lower lobe of the left lung which proved to be
a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma.
It revealed significant mediastinal lymphade-
nonphy. Mediastinoscopy failed to identify
any nodal involvement. A left lower lobect-
omy was performed with lymph node sam-
ping. Immediately before surgery the serum
sodium level was 137 mmol/l.

Her initial recovery from surgery was uneventful. However, the serum sodium
levels started to fall from the fourth post-
operative day and reached 117 mmol/l. She
again complained of dysgeusia. Fluid restric-
tion was commenced and her serum sodium
levels recovered to 133 mmol/l with concur-
rent symptomatic improvement. Histo-
pathological examination revealed a moder-
ately differentiated adenocarcinoma, stage T2
N2 MX. At 6 weeks follow up her progress
was satisfactory without any evidence of
recurrence or metastasis. Her sodium
values were now normal and she was
tumor free.

Dysgeusia is a known manifestation of
hyponatraemia.1 The association between
hyponatraemia due to the syndrome of
inappropriate antidiuretic hormone (SIADH)
and small cell lung cancer is well known.2–4
There are strict criteria for diagnosis of
SIADH,2 all of which were fulfilled in this
patient. In small cell lung cancer serum
sodium levels return to normal within 1–3
weeks of initiating chemotherapy in about
80% of patients.2 In our patient the levels
returned to normal 2 weeks after surgery.
Endocrine paraneoplastic syndromes are well
documented with small cell lung cancer but
are less common with other forms of lung
cancers.4 This is an interesting and unusual
presentation of adenocarcinoma of the lung
with dysgeusia as the sole symptom.

S Karthik, R Roop, N K Medianna
Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery,
Cardiothoracic Centre, Thomas Drive,
Liverpool L14 3PE, UK
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The Dr H M (Bill) Foreman Memorial Fund

The trustees of the above fund invite applications
for grants relating to study in respiratory
disease and allied fields (e.g. microbiology,
histopathology, radiology, biochemistry,
and molecular biology). Limited funds are available for registered medical practitioners to assist in travelling to coun-
tries other than their own to study respiratory
disease and also for support for clinical research abroad. Intending applicants should write for further details to: Dr Brian H Davies,
Llandough Hospital, Penarth, Vale of Glamorgan CF64 2XX, UK.
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