Exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

J Kidney, T McManus, P V Coyle

Respiratory diseases are the most common cause of death in the UK but, while targets are set for every other major disease category, lung diseases do not get a mention.

Respiratory diseases are the most common cause of death with a 16% higher mortality rate than with coronary heart disease. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the most common chronic respiratory illness. At the end of its long course over 30,000 people die from COPD each year in the UK. It is a disease of the poor, with a death rate in men of working age 14 times higher in unskilled manual workers than in professionals.

The burden to the health service is enormous. In the UK acute respiratory admissions account for 25% of all emergency medical admissions and cost £1.1 billion. COPD exacerbations account for more than half of these admissions, so are likely to cost in excess of £500 million per annum. In planning for service delivery, COPD admissions throw a spanner in the works. During the summer there is a steady number of admissions but in winter this rises by up to threefold (unpublished data, Mater Hospital). This is the time of year when images of patients waiting on trolleys in A&E departments fill our television screens. The domino effect of admissions with COPD goes beyond A&E departments; beds in medical wards are filled to capacity, beds in surgical wards are filled with medical patients, surgical patients are turned away with their operations cancelled, and politically sensitive waiting list targets are not met.

Respiratory diseases are not on government targets for action. Breathlessness and the most common cause of death is hardly on the political radar. This is only going to get worse. Deaths from COPD are set to rise from the sixth to the third most common cause of death by 2020.

ROLE OF BACTERIAL INFECTION

The East London COPD study has done much to help our understanding of the condition. In this edition of Thorax Patel and colleagues have reported another aspect of their study group. They examined the sputum for the presence of bacteria and compared colonised and non-colonised subjects for the frequency of COPD exacerbations. They divided the group into frequent and infrequent exacerbators and found that patients who had pathogens in their sputum were more likely to be frequent exacerbators with an odds ratio of 6.25. They also found that there was an increased level of interleukin-8 (IL-8) in the sputum of the frequent exacerbators, but this just failed to reach statistical significance. However, the levels of IL-8 correlated with the bacterial count.

“[COPD]...will continue...as the "Cinderella" of medicine”

The study design was such that the sputum assay was obtained in the middle of the study period and exacerbations were identified from diary cards before and after the sputum assay. As such it is not a prospective study. While the East London COPD study was ongoing, others have shown that more than a quarter of patients with a primary care diagnosis of COPD have CT evidence of bronchiectasis. This is an area of significant interest because it will change future investigation and treatment strategies in patients with COPD. It is, however, beyond the scope of this current study to determine the extent of bronchiectasis in their population.

ROLE OF VIRUS INFECTION

In previous work published from the East London COPD study Seemungal et al found that one third of exacerbations of COPD were associated with common respiratory viruses, using molecular techniques. Similar findings were reported using traditional virological methods on a mixed population of patients with COPD and heart failure. The principal advantages of molecular techniques are their increased sensitivity and higher detection rate of rhinoviruses which can be difficult to culture or identify serologically.

Epidemic viruses may not be the only viruses involved in COPD. Hogg’s group in Vancouver have identified the presence of adenovirus E1A early antigens in patients with COPD. Infection early in life may lead to latent infection which may be important in priming cells for a subsequent role in the development of COPD. Their findings indicate that E1A continues to be expressed within the epithelial cell and, given the right circumstances such as smoke exposure, COPD will result.

A role for viral infection in the pathogenesis of COPD has been supported by the finding of raised titres to common respiratory viruses (CMV and adenoviruses) in a Norwegian population with COPD. By comparing umbilical cord blood samples at birth and heel prick samples, we have confirmed that infection with adenovirus is the commonest respiratory virus in early infancy, with up to 23% of children undergoing seroconversion by 6 months of age. In an elaborate study by Gilmour et al a cultured epithelial cell line (A549) was transfected with adenovirus E1A gene and exposed to pollution particles (PM2.5) resulting in a significant rise in IL-8.

MECHANISMS OF DISEASE

Patel et al identified a correlation between IL-8 levels and bacterial count. IL-8 is secreted by epithelial cells and causes neutrophils to transmigrate the airway epithelium. The principal mechanism of this process is via intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) on the epithelium which binds to the counter ligand CD18/CD11b on the neutrophil. This process can be enhanced by the addition of tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) and interferon γ (IFNγ) to epithelial cells in vitro.

Bacterial colonisation of the airways is associated with increased levels of TNFα, and infection with viruses causes a rise in lymphocyte derived IFNγ. Both of these chemokines significantly increase epithelial ICAM-1, thereby compounding the effect of IL-8 in enhancing neutrophil accumulation in the airways. Furthermore, ICAM-1 is the major surface receptor for rhinovirus infecting epithelial cells, and rhinovirus is the most common viral infection in COPD exacerbations. This sets the scene for a vicious circle of increased adhesion of viruses, increased cytokine expression, and increased neutrophil accumulation in the airways.

While each step in this inflammatory “catch 22” is established, we can only await pharmaceutical development and trials to try to break the cycle. Until that time we have to watch as COPD increases year on year and is expected to rise from its current sixth to the third most common cause of death in 2020. We also have to watch as targets are set for every other major disease category, yet lung diseases which kill most people do not get a mention. COPD will continue to fill...
the A&E departments, shut the hospitals, close the operating theatres due to a lack of beds, and break every target in every acute hospital service. Ironically, it will continue its lonely position as the “Cinderella” of medicine.
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Gene expression profiling

**Gene expression profiling: good housekeeping and a clean message**

R C Chambers

Microarray technology offers us the means of monitoring gene expression on a scale which was hard to envisage only a few years ago.

There is no doubt that gene expression studies based on evaluating mRNA levels for single or multiple genes of interest in human lung biopsy tissue have had a major impact on our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying respiratory disease. The recent advent of microarray technology has added further impetus to the central paradigm that mRNA quantification in lung tissue can shed light on pathogenesis and identify new targets for therapeutic intervention. This technology is now so advanced that it allows the parallel monitoring of entire genomes using microarrays with a surface area equivalent to just a few square centimetres and as little as 5 μg RNA starting material.

Since its first application in the mid 1990s, microarray technology has been applied to all aspects of biomedical research with over 60 papers in respiratory research alone. It has been successfully used for the classification and molecular diagnosis of lung cancer, the identification of potential target genes for therapeutic intervention in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, mechanistic studies in animal models of asthma and pulmonary fibrosis, and for profiling lung development. Global expression profiling of cellular responses in vitro has provided new insights into the transcriptional programs involved in cytokine signalling, growth arrest and apoptosis, and it is already enabling us to understand the operation of functional gene networks.

**MICROARRAY PLATFORMS**

Although a number of microarray platforms have been developed, microarrays come in two basic formats. Complementary DNA (cDNA) arrays usually contain polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products generated from cDNA libraries or clone collections, spotted onto glass slides or nylon membranes. Expression values are based on the competitive hybridisation of two samples being directly compared following the incorporation of two fluorescent dyes (Cy3 and Cy5) on a single array. In contrast, oligonucleotide arrays (for example, Affymetrix GeneChips) contain relatively short sequences (20-mers) synthesised onto silicon wafers in situ by photolithography or arrayed as pre-synthesised oligonucleotides onto glass slides. The final target consists of biotin labelled cRNA and each sample is hybridised to a separate array. Hybridisation is detected by staining with a streptavidin-phycocerythrin conjugate followed by confocal fluorescence laser scanning. The advantage of oligonucleotide arrays is that they contain multiple validated probe sequences for each gene and mismatch control sequences to allow correction of non-specific hybridisation signals. In contrast, cDNA arrays usually consist of user defined probe sequences but allow a much greater degree of flexibility and are generally cheaper as slide printing can be performed in house.

**EXTRACTING BIOLOGICAL MEANING**

Managing and mining the huge amount of data generated by microarray experiments remains a major challenge for most users. Although this side of microarray analysis is still considered a major bottleneck, help is at hand via a plethora of online data mining, clustering, and analysis tools. In fact, most of the best tools are available to academic users as freeware upon request. A detailed description of these tools is beyond the scope of this editorial. However, Gene Expression (http://www.thoracic.org/ geneexpression), a new column edited by Naftal Kaminski and hosted by the ATS website, is a valuable resource aimed specifically at lung researchers and an excellent route to other sites of interest. Despite its growing use both in academia and industry, microarray experiments are still considered by many
as nothing more than a sophisticated fishing trip. This is because microarray analysis challenges the traditional hypothesis driven method of investigation and shifts the emphasis towards hypothesis generation. Investigators are then faced with what is probably the greatest challenge—namely, the extraction of biological meaning from microarray data and the prioritisation of candidate genes for follow up. Faced with hundreds of possibilities, it is not surprising that investigators have, in the past, tended to focus on artificial neural networks which can integrate into a reasonable hypothesis regarding their likely role in the disease process. Fortunately, the need to address these limitations of microarray analysis is fuelling the rapid development of novel computational tools. This includes unbiased scoring methods for identifying the most meaningful and informative genes in microarray experiments. One such tool has recently been applied to great effect to funnel and prioritise candidate genes for follow up in expression studies of human lung biopsy material from patients with pulmonary fibrosis.1 Used in combination with computational tools which allow the visualisation of gene expression data on maps representing biological pathways (for example, GenMAPP at http://gladstone-genome.ucsf.edu/) and programs based on artificial neural networks which can be trained to recognise signature expression profiles,2 these tools are likely to significantly accelerate our understanding of the molecular basis of disease.

VALIDATION OF MICROARRAY DATA

Although microarray technology is improving rapidly and confidence in the data generated is growing, validation of microarray expression trends using a second readout remains a critical requirement. This is especially important if the sample size is too small to allow rigorous statistical analysis. For this purpose, the real time fluorescence based reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is generally the method of choice. However, in this issue of Thorax, Glare et al.3 revisit one of the most stubborn problems associated with all such methods—normalisation. They choose of a reference gene with which to normalise signals obtained to allow the legitimate comparison between samples and eliminate differences of non-biological origin. One of the most commonly used methods is to normalise against a housekeeping gene because its mRNA levels are thought to remain constant. Using competitive RT-PCR, Glare et al provide compelling evidence that mRNA levels of two of the most commonly used housekeeping genes in asthmatic airways—glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and β-actin—are, in fact, highly variable and therefore totally unsuitable for normalising the expression levels of potential genes of interest. This study is a welcome addition to a growing body of evidence that mRNA levels of a number of traditional housekeeping genes are not invariably under a variety of experimental and pathological conditions.4 The evidence is now so strong for samples obtained in vivo that their use should either be discontinued or can only be viewed as valid when appropriate experimental conditions have been applied to confirm that their expression is indeed constant under the experimental conditions of the study.

So what are the alternatives for normalising gene expression data? There are no ideal solutions but, for conventional gene expression studies, the use of total cellular RNA has been proposed as one of the least unreliable methods for data normalisation.5 Although the use of total RNA levels for normalising expression data derived from patient material still has to be fully validated, recent technical advances for RNA quantitation—including the Ribogreen RNA quantification assay and the Agilent Bioanalyzer which allows RNA quality and quantity assessment in a single step—are likely to prove very useful for studies of human biopsy material with very low RNA yields. Another alternative is to use ribosomal RNA (rRNA) which makes up the bulk of total RNA. Despite reservations regarding changes in expression levels and potential imbalances in rRNA and mRNA fractions between different samples, 18S rRNA has recently been validated for normalising expression levels by quantitative RT-PCR analysis under a number of experimental conditions and is demonstrably more reliable than normalising to housekeeping genes.6 7 While considering the issue of normalisation, it is also worth pointing out that, regardless of the platform used, uncertainties relating to the use of housekeeping genes for signal normalisation are also relevant to microarray experiments. For oligonucleotide based arrays, the most commonly used approach is to scale or normalise the output data using a transcriptome equivalent strategy (or global normalisation) in order to derive an average intensity for each array with the assumption that the total sum of all transcripts present is similar between samples.

Finally, it is also worth remembering that gene expression studies measure mRNA levels and no more. Since most genes are also highly regulated at the post-transcriptional stage, changes in mRNA levels may not necessarily reflect changes at the protein level. In addition, interpreting expression studies in disease versus control tissue is often confounded by the very dramatic differences in cell populations present within the two types of tissue. Genes which appear to be highly differentially expressed may therefore reflect changes in the cellular composition of the tissue rather than changes in gene expression per se. Additional analysis by conventional immuno-histochemistry and/or in situ hybridisation therefore becomes essential when analysing whole biopsy tissue. Similarly, important changes in gene expression may be masked because of dilution of the message. This may be particularly problematic when dealing with biopsy tissue where the disease is confined to a small number of cells within the sample. Recent advances in RNA amplification technology8 and laser capture microdissection (LCM) to sample individual cell populations within a biopsy sample are proving particularly useful for addressing these potential problems.9

In conclusion, we now have the means of monitoring gene expression on a scale which was hard to envisage only 5 years ago. The integration of this technology with rapidly evolving innovations in computational tools, public domain data repositories, in combination with the appropriate post-microarray validation experiments is likely to have a major impact on our understanding of complex human disease processes in the future.
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Cystic fibrosis

Psychological consequences of segregation resulting from chronic Burkholderia cepacia infection in adults with CF

A J A Duff

Patients with CF segregated because of Burkholderia cepacia infection must be helped to assemble coherent structures for social relationships if they are to adapt successfully to such management.

In 1997 the median life expectancy for individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF) was 31.5 years in the UK and it has been suggested that those born today can expect to live well into their mid 40s. However, there is huge variability in the physical condition of adults with CF. While malabsorption, osteoporosis, diabetes, and liver failure all contribute to incapacity, lung disease is the main cause of morbidity and mortality. Some patients have near normal levels of lung function. Others, however, are debilitated by dyspnoea and dependent on oxygen.

Lung disease in CF is primarily due to the consequences of infection. In the first decade of life Staphylococcus aureus and Haemophilus influenzae are the predominant organisms in sputum, while in older children and adults Pseudomonas aeruginosa is most common. In the past 15 years some CF centres have had epidemics of Burkholderia cepacia infection. Although patients respond to standard antibiotic treatment, most become chronically infected and experience a more rapid decline in lung function. The reasons for this are still unclear, although recent microbiological findings suggest that there are different pathogenic potentials of various B cepacia genomovars. In the UK prevalence rates vary between centres but increase significantly if spread from patient to patient is not prevented. In this respect, B cepacia differs from other bacteria in that it is usually caught through close or frequent contact with another B cepacia positive CF patient.

In most UK adult CF centres it is now accepted practice to separate patients who are infected with B cepacia from those who are not. Guidelines on cross infection were published in 2000; however, in the past 5 years there has been a significant increase in the number of severely colonised patients. This may make it too difficult to prevent the spread of the organisms in these centres. Contemporary advice to patients extends segregation to outside hospitals—directing them not to attend CF meetings, not to have any physical contact with B cepacia negative CF patients, and to adopt impeccable hygienic behaviour. Although difficult, where this has been done fewer patients with CF become infected with B cepacia for the first time and some clinicians now report a decrease in the overall number of cases. However, as a result of the emergence of cross infection in CF patients, the multidrug-resistant strain of P aeruginosa, some clinicians now advocate segregating patients according to their microbiological status. Others have questioned the wisdom of adopting such precautions, highlighting—in addition to clinical reasons—the potential emotional impact on patients and their families.

PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES OF SEPARATION

While it is known that adults with chronic illness are at a greater risk of developing psychological problems, prevalence rates of such difficulties in adult CF populations are still largely unknown. What is known is that individuals at the end stages of the condition are even more vulnerable to psychological problems resulting from increasing “loss” of, for example, mobility, autonomy, relationships, and quality of life. Furthermore, the disease becomes more “visible” and intrusive and less predictable, which can give rise to feelings of anhedonia, helplessness, fear, and anxiety. With this in mind, while much has been published on the physical benefits of segregating patients with CF, almost no information exists on the psychosocial consequences of such practices. It is well recognised that being “hospitalised” has a negative effect on psychological functioning. Isolation in hospital has the potential to have even greater negative effects on emotional well being. One study reported that over 42% of patients identified negative emotions associated with isolation. Such patients have significantly higher rates of anxiety and depression and significantly lower levels of self-esteem and control. Evidence from other patient groups who have experienced segregated and isolating medical treatments (such as those with cancer, leprosy or HIV positive patients) suggests that the experience is confining, depressing, boring and lonely, leading to feelings of clinical depression, despair and abandonment. Indeed, loneliness, monotony and stigmatisation have been reported as frequently as potential positive aspects of segregation such as having time for reflection, which some patients find very therapeutic. In adult men diagnosed as HIV positive, social isolation is thought to be compounded by ruptures in relationships and the breakdown of social support networks. In addition, while there may be a high level of desire among patients to receive information and reassurance, being segregated appears to inhibit communication.

Colonisation with B cepacia has resulted in exclusion from CF conferences and support groups, leading to loss of mututal support systems typically available to adults with CF and consequently, to further increases in feelings of isolation, anger, and of being a “microbial leper.”

**INTERVENTION STRATEGIES**

Patients must attempt to reassemble confidence structures for social relationships if they are to adapt successfully to their new status. The most significant factors identified as being able to improve the experience for the patient are ones which enhance human interaction. While there is no doubt that the world wide web has become the greatest source of information and opened up a stream of new possibilities for facilitating communication between individuals with CF, there are inherent pitfalls if such sources and processes are left unchecked. Web sites and chat rooms can, unfortunately, also be a fountainhead of disinformation, rumour and “folklore”. As there are few resources currently available to develop such sites effectively, more immediate strategies must be found “beyond the Internet” to clarify implications for how staff and family members can assist in ameliorating the psychosocial effects of isolation in hospital and social segregation.37 Nursing staff and social workers, in particular, have key skills in working with patients in giving information or liaison capacities and have critical roles to play in the assessment of mood states and the provision of strategies aimed at improving the experience.21 While psychological therapists have the necessary skills to provide psychotherapy on an individual basis, such “reactive” strategies will only ever meet the needs of the few and such services tend to be under-resourced. It remains important to screen regularly for psychopathology, either by conventional or psychometric assessment, particularly when it is known that the patient has had previous psychological problems. Where indicated, psychotherapy must be sought. Psychological therapists can also adopt a more consultative role and help other team members to build on their skills—counselling or otherwise—and support them in supporting individuals who are segregated because of B capaca infection. This would not necessarily involve spending more time with people. On the contrary, it seems that the principal aim must be to empower patients, facilitate their self-control, and to minimise boredom and rumination. Liaison with other professional groups—such as occupational therapists, who may instigate activity scheduling programmes—or agencies such as charities and action groups who may provide, among other things, befriending—will be of great benefit to patients. Other suggestions include improving the frequency and quality of written information and staff-patient communication and establishing regular visiting programmes.18 The Internet could also have important roles to play. Chronic disease self-management programmes (CDSMPs)28–30 are small highly structured groups led by volunteers, all of whom have the condition, and focus on cognitive symptom management, exercise and nutrition, problem solving, and communication with medical professionals. In the UK the government is now actively supporting CDSMPs with national pilot schemes taking place over the next 3 years.38 Several CDSMPs already exist—for example, for patients with arthritis and multiple sclerosis—and outcome studies have shown significant reductions in the severity of symptoms and pain, and gains in quality of life and resourcefulness. These studies also indicate the potential for further improving communication and doctor-patient relationships.39 Although difficult, it may be possible for small groups of patients with CF who are segregated because of B capaca and who have been genovaor type to form CDSMPs. Where microbiological status and genotype type are unknown, such groups could still take place using videoconferencing technology.

**CONCLUSIONS**

It is well recognised that being “hospitalised” has a negative effect on psychological functioning. Segregation and isolation may have even greater negative effects on emotional well being. Such patients have been shown to have significantly higher rates of anxiety and depression and significantly lower levels of self-esteem and control. They must reassemble coherent structures for social relationships if they are to adapt successfully to their new status. While the Internet offers improvements in communication and information dissemination, facilities for people with CF are generally available and poorly monitored. More immediate solutions may rely on key members of CF teams, particularly nursing staff and social workers, facilitating patients’ self-control and empowerment and minimising boredom and rumination. Individual psychotherapy will benefit only the few patients whose emotional distress is identified and who are able to access direct psychological support. While such services remain in relative short supply, screening remains imperative. Psychoanalytic therapists working in CF teams may have a more consultative role to play in helping establish proactive intervention schemes. Specific nurse-led strategies, improvements in communication, visiting programmes and CDSMPs should all be explored further.

With calls for segregation practices to be extended beyond patients with CF affected with B capaca, there is an obligation to learn from the experience of this group of patients who have already experienced radical changes to their medical management and support networks and to shape future management accordingly.
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