Effect of sputum processing with dithiothreitol on the detection of inflammatory mediators in chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis
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Background: Sputum analysis is used increasingly to assess airway inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, including those with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis. However, it is not known whether dithiothreitol (DTT), a reducing mucolytic agent regularly used to homogenise sputum, affects the detection of inflammatory mediators in the sputum soluble phase from such patients.

Methods: Thirty two spontaneous sputum samples were collected from 13 patients with chronic bronchitis and 17 with bronchiectasis. An aliquot from each sample was treated with either freshly prepared 0.1% DTT plus normal saline (NaCl) or NaCl alone, then ultracentrifuged to obtain the sputum sol phase. Interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, leukotriene B4 (LTB4), secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI), alpha-1-antitrypsin (α-AT), and tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) were measured by ELISA, and neutrophil elastase (NE) and myeloperoxidase (MPO) by chromogenic substrate assay. The effect of DTT on the detection of assay standards was also determined.

Results: Median levels of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE were similar in the DTT and NaCl treated samples. There was a significant reduction in median [IQR] levels of detectable TNFα (0.07 [0.00–0.47] pM v 0.90 [0.06–6.98] pM, p<0.001), LTB4 (1.67 [1.31–2.64] nM v 2.29 (0.95–4.22) nM, p<0.05) and MPO (0.00 [0.00–0.00] mg/l v 4.48 (0.00–33.66) mg/l, p<0.001) and a small increase in the median α-AT concentration (0.05 [0.03–0.08] nM v 0.03 (0.02–0.08) nM, p<0.01) in the DTT treated samples. DTT had no effect on the assay standards for IL-1β, IL-8 or TNFα, but at higher concentrations it did affect IL-6, SLPI, NE, and LTB4 standards (43%, 70%, 75% and 643% of control value for top standard, respectively) and at all concentrations DTT completely abolished MPO activity.

Conclusions: Sputum processing with DTT significantly reduces the detectable concentration of TNFα, LTB4, and MPO, and produces a small but significant increase in median α-AT levels. To avoid this problem we recommend that an untreated aliquot of sputum be retained for cytokine analysis, unless the assay has been specifically validated.

Sputum analysis is used increasingly as a non-invasive method of assessing airway inflammation, both in terms of cellular indices and soluble mediators of inflammation. However, different methods of sputum processing—for example, the use of mucolytic agents such as dithiothreitol (DTT)—may influence the detection of these soluble mediators. Studies have confirmed that sputum treatment with DTT, and its optical isomer dithioerythritol (DTE), significantly improves total cell counts and cytospin quality. However, DTT also has the potential to interfere with the detection of inflammatory mediators in the sputum sol phase, either by affecting the three dimensional structure of proteins causing the release of mucus bound molecules, or by interfering directly with the immunoassay.

Previous studies of induced sputum from asthmatic patients have shown that processing with DTT has no effect on interleukin (IL)-5 or IL-8 levels but can increase detectable concentrations of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) and significantly lower concentrations of myeloperoxidase (MPO) and eosinophilic peroxidase. However, these results are not transferable to the detection of other mediators in sputum from patients with different diseases and all assays should be validated before use.

To our knowledge there have been no previous studies of the effects of sputum processing with DTT on the detection of the relevant inflammatory mediators in sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis. The comparison is important given that sputum processing protocols often include treatment of the sputum sample with DTT before cytokine analysis. We have therefore investigated the effect of sputum processing with DTT on the soluble phase concentrations of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, leukotriene B4 (LTB4), secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI), alpha-1-antitrypsin (α-AT), tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), neutrophil elastase (NE), and MPO. We have also examined the direct effect of DTT on the immunoassay for each mediator.

METHODS

Patients and sputum collection
Thirty two spontaneous sputum samples were collected over 4 hours (from rising) from 17 patients with clinical and radiological evidence of bronchiectasis (mean (SD) age 64 (14) years, forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) 66.7 (24.7)% predicted, forced vital capacity (FVC) 87.2 (21.4)% predicted, FEV1/FVC 60.6 (14.0)% and 13 patients with chronic bronchitis as defined by daily sputum production for at least 3 months of 2 consecutive years (mean (SD) age 60

Abbreviations: α-AT, α-antitrypsin; DTT, dithiothreitol; IL, interleukin; LTB4, leukotriene B4; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NE, neutrophil elastase; SLPI, secretory leukoprotease inhibitor; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor alpha.
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volume of assay standard (at nine times the usual concentration of the top standard) diluted with eight volumes of NaCl. Doubling dilutions were performed on each mixture to produce the recommended range of standards for each assay.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (version 10.0, Chicago, USA). Mediator/protein levels are expressed as median (interquartile range) and comparisons between sputum treatments (NaCl versus DTT) were made using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Agreement between the two methods of sputum processing was assessed using the methods of Bland and Altman.13

RESULTS
Comparison of DTT and NaCl processing on the detection of mediators in sputum samples
The median levels of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE were similar in samples processed with DTT and NaCl (table 2). However, the median levels of detectable TNFα, LTB4, and MPO were significantly lower in the DTT treated samples and there was a small but significant increase in the median α1-AT concentration in the DTT treated samples (table 2).

Agreement between the two methods of sputum processing for the detection of each mediator is shown in fig 1, according to Bland and Altman. As recommended by these authors, the data have been log transformed before analysis to produce differences that are unrelated to the mean. The mean difference, with 95% limits of agreement, for the log data is shown on each figure. The antilogarithms of these values—that is, the ratios of measurements by the two methods—are shown in table 3. Thus, the levels of IL-1β measured in NaCl treated sputum differed from that measured in DTT treated samples by 0.204–3.408 times that detected in DTT treated samples for most measurements. These limits of agreement were marginally narrower for α1-AT but were wider for the other mediators, particularly SLPI, TNFα, and MPO (table 3).

Effect of DTT on mediator assays
The addition of DTT did not influence the detection of the assay standards for IL-1β, IL-8, or TNFα. However, DTT did have an effect at higher concentrations on IL-6, SLPI, and NE standards (43%, 70%, and 76% of control value for top standard, respectively). This effect diminished with increasing dilution so that the measurement of the DTT treated standards was greater than 85% of the control value at 1:32 dilution for IL-6 and SLPI, and at 1:2 dilution for NE (fig 2A–C). Leukotriene B4 measurements were markedly increased in the DTT treated standards. The top standard was 643% of the control value and, although this effect again lessened at lower dilutions, the DTT standard remained 120% higher than the control at a 1:64 dilution (fig 2D). There were some differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Lower limit of mediator detection in sputum, intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV), and recovery of the mediator from non-DTT treated samples spiked with a known amount for each assay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower limit of detection (µM)</td>
<td>Intra-assay CV (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTB4</td>
<td>0.1377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLPI</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1-AT</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Median (interquartile range) levels of each inflammatory mediator in NaCl and DTT treated sputum samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaCl treated samples</th>
<th>DTT treated samples</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β (µM)</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>12.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (µM)</td>
<td>4.03 (0.52–8.82)</td>
<td>3.07 (0.55–10.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8 (µM)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.49–1.83)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.58–2.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTB4 (µM)</td>
<td>2.29 (0.95–4.22)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.31–2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLPI (µM)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01–0.23)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01–0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α1-AT (µM)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02–0.08)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03–0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα (µM)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.06–6.98)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.00–0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE (µM)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.08)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00–0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO (µg/l)</td>
<td>4.48 (0.00–33.66)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00–0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For definition of abbreviations see footnote to table 1.
in the two sets of standards for the α-AT assay at higher concentrations of DTT, although the pattern was not consistent and beyond a 1:32 dilution the DTT standard was within 15% of the control value (fig 2E). Finally, DTT completely abolished MPO activity at all dilutions and at higher concentrations it significantly reduced the detection of the assay standards at all dilutions (fig 2F).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we found that the median levels of the inflammatory mediators IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, α1-AT, TNFα, NE, and MPO were similar in saline and DTT treated sputum. However, DTT treatment resulted in significantly lower median levels of TNFα, LTβ, and MPO, and a small but significant increase in median levels of α-AT. Furthermore, agreement between the two methods of sputum processing was poor with wide 95% limits seen for all mediators measured.

There are a number of possible mechanisms whereby sputum processing with DTT could have affected the detection of inflammatory mediators in the soluble phase. The effectiveness of DTT as a mucolytic agent arises from the fact that, as a potent reducing agent, it is able to split the disulphide bonds present in mucus glycoproteins and thus release sputum cells for analysis. However, by the same mechanism as for mucus glycoproteins, DTT could also affect the three dimensional structure of the mediators or the antibodies to which they are bound and measured in enzyme linked immunoassays. We examined this possibility in the second part of the study by comparing the detection of assay standards that had been "treated" with DTT or saline at similar concentrations to that used for sputum processing. We found that DTT completely abolished MPO activity at all dilutions and at higher concentrations it significantly reduced the detection of the assay.
standards for IL-6, SLPI and NE, but produced spuriously high levels of LTB, and, to some extent, α1-AT. The marked increase in LTB, measured in DTT treated standards is probably the result of the competitive nature of this assay. The LTB, present in the sample competes with a fixed quantity of peroxidase labelled LTB for a limited number of binding sites on a specific antibody. The amount of peroxidase labelled ligand bound by the antibody, determined by the colour reaction following the addition of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), is inversely proportional to the concentration of unlabelled LTB in the sample. Therefore, if DTT interferes with antibody-ligand binding, neither the labelled nor unlabelled LTB will bind to the plate so there will be no colour change following the addition of TMB which would be interpreted as being due to high levels of unlabelled LTB, in the sample. Alternatively, the peroxidase label of the antibody could be inhibited by DTT, but this would seem unlikely as peroxidase labelled antibodies are also used in the IL-1β and IL-8 assays and neither of these two assays were affected by DTT, presumably because the washing process removes any remaining DTT before the addition of the substrate. For the measurement of MPO we used a chromogenic substrate activity assay, as we have previously shown that the immune assay for this mediator gives poor recovery and is dependent on the degree of sample dilution and elastase content. However, the MPO activity assay was particularly susceptible to interference from DTT, which probably relates to reduction of either the reactive oxygen species generated by MPO in this assay or the hydrogen peroxidase substrate itself.

The effect of DTT on the measurement of assay standards did not always correspond to its effect on the detection of the mediators in sputum samples. This may relate to the sample dilution required to achieve mediator measurements within the working range of the assay (as for SLPI and NE), which may be sufficient to remove any interference from DTT on the assay performance. However, the dilutions at which the samples were assayed for IL-6 and LTB, were within the range where DTT did affect these assays, yet the median levels of IL-6 were similar in the DTT and saline treated samples and the

**Figure 2.** Results for assay standards treated with dithiothreitol (DTT) plotted against standards treated with NaCl for IL-6, SLPI, NE, LTB, α1-AT and MPO. Dashed line indicates line of equality.
median level of LTB₄ was reduced—not increased—in DTT treated samples. This suggests that, during the process of mucolysis, the activity of DTT is likely to be neutralised to some extent, thus reducing its consequent effect on the immunoassay. However, mucolysis with DTT may also cause the release of mucus bound molecules from the sputum. These may include binding molecules such as soluble receptors, carrier proteins, and autoantibodies, all of which have the potential to interfere with the detection of inflammatory mediators in immunoassays. This may explain the significantly lower median LTB₄ and TNFα levels in DTT treated sputum samples despite the predicted effects of DTT on the assays (increased detection in the former and no effect in the latter). Finally, mucolysis with DTT could also release mucus bound mediator which may explain the small but significant increase in α₁-AT seen in DTT treated sputum samples which were diluted sufficiently to remove any direct interference from DTT on the assay performance.

The patients in the current study were able to provide spontaneously expectorated sputum; however, where this is not the case, sputum induction with hypertonic saline is now commonly performed in order to obtain a sample for analysis. It is likely that processing with DTT will have a similar effect on the detection of inflammatory mediators measured in induced sputum from these patients, given that the cellular and biochemical content of spontaneous and induced sputum has previously been shown to be similar.

It could be argued that the reduced concentrations of TNFα, LTB₄, and MPO in DTT treated sputum are not relevant when measuring these mediators in paired samples that have both been processed with DTT—for example, when comparing airway inflammation at the start and end of a treatment period. However, the effect of sputum processing with DTT on TNFα and MPO was to reduce measurable concentrations of these mediators to levels that were near to, or below, the lower limit of detection for the assay, thus reducing the likelihood of detecting any significant difference between two samples that have been processed in this way. Furthermore, the fact that DTT affects the detection of some mediators, and not others, would also make it difficult to relate the concentration of a mediator to its function when the whole sample has been processed with DTT.

This study has shown that the treatment with DTT of whole spontaneous sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis and bronchiectasis does not significantly affect median levels of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, SLPI, and NE, but does significantly reduce the median levels of detectable TNFα, LTB₄, and MPO and produces a small but significant increase in the median α₁-AT level. Furthermore, agreement between the two methods of sputum processing is poor, which suggests that they should not be used interchangeably for the measurement of inflammatory mediators. To avoid these problems we recommend that an untreated aliquot of sputum be retained for the measurement of inflammatory mediators unless the assay has been specifically validated.
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