How prevalent is aspirin induced asthma?
A J Knox

There is still much to be learned about the genetics and pathogenesis of aspirin induced asthma and the role of prostaglandins and leukotrienes in airways diseases.

The bark of the white willow Salix alba has been used in medicine for thousands of years and was described by Hippocrates in 400BC and by Dioscorides, a Greek surgeon to the Roman Army, in AD70. In the 1700s Edward Stone, the vicar of Chipping Norton, wrote that 20 grains of powered willow bark in a dram of water every 4 hours was an excellent cure for “agues”. It was only in 1829 that Leroux discovered that salicin was the active ingredient and in 1859 that Kolbe succeeded in the chemical manufacture of salicylic acid. Felix Hoffmann, a German chemist, added an acetyl group to the molecule in 1897 in an effort to increase its stability and to provide a more effective and safe treatment for his father who was crippled by rheumatism. Aspirin was born. Subsequently, benefits of aspirin have been reported in a wide range of ailments including fevers, inflammatory diseases, stroke, and heart disease. In 1971 Vane and colleagues identified the mechanism of action of aspirin as inhibition of cyclooxygenase, a key enzyme in the generation of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid. It has become clear, however, that not all the effects of aspirin are beneficial and is particularly notable in the respiratory tract where a subset of patients with asthma develop an aggressive mucosal inflammatory disease within hours of ingesting aspirin and most other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Aspirin induced asthma forms part of a syndrome which includes rhinitis and nasal polyposis. Aspirin intolerance is associated with more severe forms of asthma and is common in women. Although there are a number of theories regarding the pathogenic mechanisms involved in aspirin induced asthma, it seems to be related to inhibition of protective prostaglandins from cyclooxygenase causing an imbalance of pro-inflammatory leukotrienes. Genetic studies have shown that individuals with a polymorphism in leukotriene (LT) C4 synthase which causes them to produce larger quantities of cysteiny1 leukotrienes are more prone to developing aspirin induced asthma. Most patients with asthma, however, do not bronchoconstrict to aspirin and, indeed, protective beneficial effects have been reported with both oral and inhaled cyclooxygenase inhibitors on a wide range of bronchoconstrictor challenges in patients with asthma. Studies of aspirin induced asthma in different populations have found prevalences ranging from 1% to 20%, with the differences being attributed either to the methods of diagnosis or differences in the populations being assessed. The study by Vally et al reported in this issue of Thorax looks at the prevalence of aspirin intolerant asthma in three populations of asthmatic subjects in Australia, one cohort recruited from hospital based sources, a second from the Asthma Association of Western Australia, and a third taken from a study of randomly selected individuals from a rural community. The prevalence of respiratory symptoms triggered by aspirin in all three populations was remarkably similar at 10–11%. Estimates of the prevalence of aspirin induced asthma depend on the methods used, however. It has been suggested that the gold standard for diagnosing aspirin induced asthma should be either oral or inhaled challenge with aspirin. Challenge studies have suggested prevalences as high as 20% in some populations and it is possible that many patients are diagnosed who did not realise that aspirin made their asthma worse. Our own anecdotal experience in Nottingham of trying to identify asthmatic patients by oral challenge suggests that these patients are rather more difficult to find than one might expect, based on prevalence figures from questionnaire studies. Interestingly, in the study by Vally et al a number of individuals in the random cohort had not been diagnosed as asthmatic but reported respiratory symptoms with aspirin and other NSAIDs, which suggests that these individuals may suffer asthmatic symptoms when challenged with NSAIDs. Cyclooxygenase, the enzyme responsible for production of prostanooids, exists in two isoforms—COX-1 (the constitutive form) and COX-2 (the inducible inflammatory form). There is evidence that the inflammatory form COX-2 is increased in both human asthma and in animal models of asthma. As COX-1 is predominantly involved in the production of protective housekeeping prostanooids, it has been thought that it is COX-1 inhibition which is responsible for the adverse effects of aspirin. If this is correct, the new selective COX-2 inhibitors should be less prone to induce aspirin induced asthma. Recent studies suggest that this is, indeed, the case and that adverse respiratory reactions are seen much less commonly with these drugs.

There is clearly still much to be learned about both the genetics and pathogenesis of aspirin induced asthma and of the role of prostaglandins and leukotrienes in airways diseases. Reports such as the one from Australia suggest that aspirin induced asthma is an important problem for further study.
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Circumstantial evidence suggests an important role for neutrophilic airways inflammation in addition to eosinophilic airways inflammation in non-severe asthma. This issue of Thorax features a hypothesis paper by Douwes et al that questions the assumption that Th2 driven allergic inflammation is the pathogenetic mechanism behind the majority of cases of asthma. Douwes and colleagues draw evidence supports the theory that steroids mobilise neutrophils in healthy subjects. In acute severe asthma the blood neutrophil count is often high at presentation and falls with treatment. We must presume that the fall occurs in response to improved clinical status and reduced biological stress and swamps any rise in neutrophil counts induced by oral corticosteroids. Nevertheless, we should not ignore the possibility of an underlying effect of corticosteroids on neutrophil numbers or activity. Although there is much in vivo evidence that steroids reduce eosinophilic inflammation, the extent to which they genuinely potentiate neutrophil activity is far from clear. It seems unlikely that neutrophilic non-eosinophilic asthma is solely a product of steroid treatment as eosinophilic inflammation can still be found in severe asthma and even in well controlled disease, despite inhaled or oral steroid treatment. Moreover, not all the evidence supports the theory that steroids augment neutrophilic inflammation in vivo. For example, Louis et al have shown that, in severe asthma, sputum neutrophils are reduced in subjects who are on oral steroids compared with those who are not. Ideally, a large population study of steroid naive asthmatic patients is needed to ascertain the prevalence of non-eosinophilic airways inflammation in asthma. Follow up might reasonably include comparison of sputum neutrophil counts, neutrophil activation markers, and neutrophil chemotactic activity between eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic asthmatics both before and after starting normal inhaled steroid treatment.

**Comparisons with COPD**

Neutrophil driven asthma might have much in common with other airways diseases such as bronchiectasis, cystic fibrosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), in which neutrophil influx is a recognised feature. COPD, like asthma, is a definition rather than a disease. Moreover, there are several definitions of COPD which reflect the parent discipline of the definer. Radiologists, epidemiologists, pathologists,
physiologists, and clinicians can all offer a working definition of COPD but, just as a set of blind men feeling an elephant cannot readily describe the whole, so the single discipline definition usually focuses on the aspect of COPD they can see or measure. Some subjects with COPD have airflow obstruction despite only having minimal radiological or pathological evidence of emphysema. The physiological basis of this airflow limitation is likely to be peripheral airway remodelling changes that include goblet cell hyperplasia and increased smooth muscle bulk, which are similar to changes found in asthmatic airways. While a subset of patients with COPD features more commonly associated with asthma such as eosinophilic and basement membrane thickening, the converse also appears to be true with some asthmatics having neutrophilia and fixed airflow obstruction. It is interesting that, although there have only been a few bronchoscopic studies of severe asthma, a prominent finding is of an increase in neutrophil numbers in the large airway wall which has not been found in the majority of studies of COPD. As yet there is no proven explanation as to why submucosal neutrophil counts are frequently normal in biopsy specimens of patients with COPD, a disease in which the neutrophil is often regarded as the major effector cell. Perhaps future investigations comparing mechanisms of neutrophil recruitment in these two diseases may help to answer this question. Research into mechanisms of airway inflammation in COPD and other neuromorphic airway diseases could also eventually help to identify possible therapeutic targets in this subset of asthma patients.

**NEUTROPHILS AND AIRWAY MUCUS OVERPRODUCTION**

Products of the activated neutrophil include reactive oxygen species, cytokines, lipid mediators, and tissue damaging enzymes such as elastase, cathepsin G, and myeloperoxidase. Mucus hypersecretion is a particular hallmark of asthma that recent observations have linked ever more closely to the neutrophil and its products. Neutrophil elastase is a potent secretagogue for both airway epithelial cells and submucosal gland cells. Moreover, both neutrophil elastase and reactive oxygen species have been shown in vitro independently to increase epithelial mucin mRNA and protein expression, possibly via ligand independent transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Mucin gene expression has been proposed as the principal factor governing the differentiation of epithelial cells into goblet cells. The concept that neutrophils can induce goblet cell metaplasia via EGFR activation in addition to recent evidence that epithelial MUC 5AC and EGFR are co-localised in asthmatic airway epithelial goblet cells would suggest that neutrophil driven goblet cell metaplasia may be a key component of neutrophilic asthma.

**POSSIBLE TARGETS FOR TREATMENT**

As in other airways diseases, airway neutrophilia in asthma is likely to be multifactorial, dependent on a complex interplay of chemokines and lipid mediators from both resident airway cells and inflammatory cells in addition to enhanced adhesion molecule expression and neural activity. Thus, it may be difficult to identify the cells or molecules against which targeted treatment might have the most clinical benefit. It is tempting to speculate that epithelial chemokine production and release, perhaps augmented in response to front line exposure to inhaled particulate matter, may be an important early step in the generation of neutrophilic asthma and a valid target for therapeutic intervention. Prevention of the epithelial response might reasonably be expected to arrest the cascade of damage and further chemokine generation caused by responding inflammatory cells and their attendant mediators. One suggested target is interleukin 8 (IL-8), a CXC chemokine produced by bronchial epithelium and one of the most potent neutrophil activators and chemoattractant mediators discovered to date. Epithelial expression of IL-8 is heightened both in vitro and in vivo in response to a range of noxious stimuli, including diesel exhaust particles. Moreover, IL-8 is found in increased quantities in airway secretions obtained from subjects with neutrophilic airways disease, including asthma, at concentrations corresponding to the increased numbers of neutrophils in the same samples. Whether the epithelium, other resident airway cells such as smooth muscle cells, or infiltrating inflammatory cells are the principal source of increased luminal IL-8 levels in asthma remains uncertain.

"although an important role for neutrophilic airways inflammation in non-severe asthma has yet to be confirmed, there is much circumstantial evidence to support its existence"

Another possible drug target is leukotriene B, (LTB), an important neutrophil product that is produced by a variety of other cells. A study of lung secretions of patients with COPD and bronchiectasis showed that 43% of neutrophil chemotactic activity was dependent on IL-8 and a further 27% was dependent on LTB, an addition, LTB, has been found in increased quantities in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid of subjects with asthma compared with controls, despite high doses of oral corticosteroids. Of the two types of leukotriene modulating treatments currently available—the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors and cysteinyl-leukotriene (cys-LT) receptor antagonists—only 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors inhibit the activity of LTB, a fact that might warrant exploration of the relative benefits of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors versus cyst-LT receptor antagonists in neutrophilic asthma.

**INDUCED SPUTUM IN CLINICAL PRACTICE**

The existence of different asthma inflammatory phenotypes that may respond differently to treatment would argue in favour of the more widespread use in clinical practice of induced sputum, until now predominantly a research tool. Practical considerations including cost, technical expertise, and the technician time needed to process samples and count inflammatory cell populations would prohibit its use in the diagnosis and monitoring of all cases of suspected asthma. However, in those subjects in whom disease control is proving difficult, sputum induction might be valuable in differentiating between patients with poorly suppressed allergic inflammation, who may be more likely to benefit from increased conventional asthma treatment, and those with non-eosinophilic inflammation who require alternative approaches.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, although an important role for neutrophilic airways inflammation in non-severe asthma has yet to be confirmed, there is much circumstantial evidence to support its existence. Future research into the clinical characteristics and pharmacological responses of this form of asthma might yield results relevant not only to asthma, but also to other neutrophilic airway diseases.
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