Evidence for systemic rather than pulmonary effects of interleukin-5 administration in asthma
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Abstract

Background—Interleukin 5 (IL-5) has an important role in mobilisation of eosinophils from the bone marrow and in their subsequent terminal differentiation. A study was undertaken to determine whether inhaled and intravenous IL-5 could induce pulmonary eosinophilia and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) independently of these effects.

Methods—Nine mild asthmatics received inhaled (15 µg) or intravenous (2 µg) IL-5 or placebo in random order in a double blind, crossover study. Blood samples were taken before and at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 24, and 72 hours following IL-5 or placebo, and bronchial responsiveness (PC_{20} methacholine) and eosinophil counts in induced sputum were determined.

Results—Serum IL-5 levels were markedly increased 30 minutes after intravenous IL-5 (p=0.002), and sputum IL-5 levels increased 4 and 24 hours after inhaled IL-5 (p<0.05). Serum eotaxin was raised 24 hours after intravenous IL-5 but not after inhaled IL-5 or placebo. Blood eosinophils were markedly reduced 0.5–2 hours after intravenous IL-5 (p<0.05), followed by an increase at 3, 4, 5, and 72 hours (p<0.05). Sputum eosinophils rose significantly in all three groups at 24 hours but there were no differences between the groups. Bronchial responsiveness was not affected by IL-5.

Conclusion—The effects of IL-5 appear to be mainly in the circulation, inducing peripheral mobilisation of eosinophils to the circulation without any effect on eosinophil mobilisation in the lungs or on bronchial responsiveness.

(Thorax 2001;56:935–940)
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Chronic asthma is characterised by an inflammation of the bronchi with the presence of eosinophils and CD4+ T helper cells. T helper type 2 (Th2) cells are the predominant source of the cytokine interleukin (IL)-5 and are increased in the asthmatic airways. IL-5 plays an important role in the mobilisation of eosinophils from the bone marrow and in the terminal differentiation and maintenance of mature eosinophils. IL-5 levels have been measured in the circulation of asthmatic patients and rises during asthma exacerbations. Additionally, increased IL-5 mRNA expression is seen in bronchial mucosa and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) CD4+ T cells in asthma, and IL-5 protein levels increase following allergen challenge. A positive correlation between asthma severity and IL-5 mRNA levels in bronchial biopsy specimens has also been demonstrated.

In guinea pig and rodent models airway administration of IL-5 induces an increase in airway eosinophilia without demonstrable change in bronchial responsiveness. Airway and blood eosinophilia has also been shown in IL-5 transgenic mice, but without bronchial hyperresponsiveness. IL-5 administration to the airways of asthmatic subjects, however, has been associated with peripheral blood eosinophilia, airway eosinophilia, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness.

These conflicting observations suggest a complex cooperative role for IL-5 in the accumulation of circulating and airway eosinophils and their possible subsequent contribution to bronchial hyperresponsiveness. Since IL-5 also appears to have important effects on the bone marrow, we questioned whether the route of IL-5 production was crucial to its effects on the airways. We hypothesised that IL-5 confined entirely to the lungs and airways would have little systemic effect on eosinophil mobilisation, while IL-5 administered to the circulation might possess this primary effect. Further, we sought to establish whether pulmonary or systemic IL-5 could induce specific pulmonary effects consistent with asthma. We therefore investigated the effects of IL-5 administered intravenously or by inhalation to patients with mild asthma on eosinophil counts in blood and in sputum, and on airway hyperresponsiveness.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Nine non-smoking atopic patients with mild persistent asthma (five women, age range 25–41 years) participated in the study (table 1). All subjects had a history of episodic chest tightness, and wheezing and symptoms were controlled by on demand usage of short acting inhaled β2 agonists alone. All subjects had been free of symptoms of respiratory tract infection for at least 2 weeks before study commencement. Atopy was determined by positive skin prick responses (>3 mm) to extracts of six common aeroallergens (Vivodiagnost, ALK, Benelux). Baseline forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) was >70% of predicted and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (PC_{20} <8 mg/ml methacholine) was determined by a standardised technique. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Royal Brompton Hospital and all participants gave written informed consent.
Figure 1 Study design. Subjects were treated in random order with inhaled IL-5 (15 µg), intravenous IL-5 (2 µg), or placebo in a crossover design at 2 week intervals. Specimen sampling was performed at time points noted. B = blood sample; P = PC_{20} methacholine; S = sputum induction.

**Table 1** Characteristics of study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>FEV(_1) (% pred)</th>
<th>PC(_{20}) (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Sputum eosinophil (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean (SE); †geometric mean; ‡median (range).
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**Results**

Serum IL-5 levels and blood and sputum eosinophil levels were measured by ELISA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Pharmingen, Cambridge, UK). Briefly, purified rat anti-human monoclonal antibodies were incubated at 2 µg/ml in coating solution (0.1 M NaHCO₃, 100 µM DTT, pH 8.2 at 4°C) overnight. The plates were then washed, then blocked with 10% (v/v) FCS/0.1% Tween and added in duplicate. Cytokine standards (R&D Systems, Abingdon, Oxon, UK) were diluted in 10% (v/v) FCS/PBS/Tween and added in duplicate. The plates were then incubated at 4°C overnight, biotinylated rat anti-human antibody (R&D) was added, and they were incubated at room temperature for 45 minutes before washing with PBS/Tween and (ABTS, Sigma) substrate. They were then incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes before washing with PBS/Tween and PBS/Tween PBS/Tween. Sample supernatants were added to each plate in duplicate. Cytokine standards (R&D Systems, Abingdon, Oxon, UK) were diluted in 10% (v/v) FCS/PBS/Tween and added in duplicate. The plates were then incubated at 4°C overnight, biotinylated rat anti-human antibody (R&D) was added, and they were incubated at room temperature for 45 minutes, then washed before the addition of avidin-peroxidase. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes before washing with PBS/Tween and (ABTS, Sigma) substrate. They were then developed for approximately 10 minutes before measurement on a plate reader (Anthos Labtec, Austria) at 405 nm. The detection limit of this assay was 35 pg/ml.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

PC₂₀ was log transformed before statistical analysis and reported as geometric mean (SD) values. IL-5 levels and blood and sputum eosinophils were not normally distributed and were therefore log transformed before analysis and reported as median (range) for eosinophils and geometric mean (SD) for IL-5 levels. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures was used for between group analyses. Within group changes in PC₂₀, sputum and blood eosinophil levels, and serum and sputum supernatant IL-5 were analysed using the Student’s paired t test. Mean differences between treatments with 95% confidence intervals for these effect estimates are presented for serum IL-5 and blood eosinophil counts. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS program; p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Serum and Sputum IL-5 Levels**

Serum IL-5 levels were rapidly cleared from the circulation and returned to baseline levels between 1 and 72 hours. Serum IL-5 concentrations in atopic asthmatic patients increased significantly 0.5 hours after intravenous IL-5 administration (387.4 (162.1) pg/ml) compared with baseline (14.0 (12.8) pg/ml, p=0.002) with rapid clearance, returning to baseline levels between 1 and 72 hours (fig 2). The serum IL-5 levels at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 hours after intravenous IL-5 were 16.8 (5.9), 14.2 (8.4), 5.7 (3.5), 8.1 (3.9), and 3.7 (2.0) pg/ml respectively, indicating that by 1 hour the levels had returned to baseline levels. In order to determine whether there was any difference in the kinetics of clearance of IL-5 between asthmatic patients and non-asthmatic controls, we also studied three non-asthmatic non-atopic volunteers. The mean (SE) serum level in non-asthmatic subjects was 3.6 (2.5) pg/ml at baseline, which increased to 54.2 (24.6) pg/ml 0.5 hours after intravenous injection of 2 µg IL-5 (mean difference –60.53, 95% CI –107.7 to –13.3, p<0.05; fig 2). These data indicate that there is no difference in the clearance in IL-5 between normal and asthmatic volunteers, although the asthmatic subjects had higher levels of baseline serum IL-5 than non-asthmatics. There was a trend towards an increase in serum IL-5 levels 0.5 hours after intravenous IL-5 administration (from 18.18 (12.4) pg/ml to 51.14 (100.56) pg/ml) in the asthmatic subjects, but placebo had no effect on serum IL-5 levels.

There was a significant increase in the IL-5 concentration measured in sputum supernatant from baseline at 4 and 24 hours following intravenous IL-5. In normal asthmatic subjects, there was a trend towards an increase in serum IL-5 levels following intravenous IL-5 with a 43.0 (23.3)% increase at 24 hours compared with baseline.

**Serum Eotaxin**

Serum eotaxin levels were 207.5 (80.2) ng/ml at baseline, 248.1 (93.6) at 0.5 hours, 232.5 (101) at 4 hours, and 301.4 (113.6) at 24 hours following intravenous IL-5. There was a trend to increased serum eotaxin levels following intravenous IL-5 with a 43.0 (23.3)% increase at 24 hours compared with baseline.

![Figure 2](http://thorax.bmj.com/)

**Figure 2.** (A) Mean IL-5 serum levels. Serum IL-5 levels rose markedly following intravenous IL-5 but were not significantly increased by inhaled IL-5 or placebo in patients with mild asthma. In addition, in normal volunteers the administration of intravenous IL-5 led to a peak of serum IL-5 levels at 0.5 hours similar to that in patients with asthma. (B) Mean IL-5 levels in sputum supernatants. Sputum IL-5 levels rose 4 hours after intravenous IL-5 and remained raised at 24 hours but were not affected by intravenous IL-5 or placebo.
Comparative eotaxin levels were 12.0 (23.8) ng/ml following inhaled IL-5 and 1.5 (6.8) ng/ml following placebo. No correlation was observed between peak IL-5 levels (0.5 hours) and eotaxin levels at 0.5 or 24 hours following IL-5, nor was there correlation between eotaxin levels and peripheral blood lymphocyte or eosinophil numbers.

EFFECT OF IL-5 ON PERIPHERAL BLOOD CELLS

Blood eosinophil counts were reduced 30 minutes (mean difference 0.15, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.26, p<0.01) and 2 hours (0.13, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.24, p<0.05) following the administration of intravenous IL-5 compared with baseline (fig 4). The decrease in eosinophils 0.5 hours after intravenous administration was significantly different from changes following inhaled IL-5 and placebo (p<0.03). The increase in blood eosinophil counts observed at 3, 4, 5, and 72 hours was not statistically significant.

In addition, peripheral blood lymphocytes were significantly increased from baseline at 4 hours (p<0.01, mean difference –0.54, 95% CI –0.97 to –0.11) and 5 hours (p<0.001, –0.59, 95% CI –1.02 to –0.16) following intravenous but not inhaled IL-5 or placebo.

EFFECT OF IL-5 ON SPUTUM EOSINOPHILS

The median sputum eosinophil counts were 1.0% (range 0–6.3%) at baseline, increasing to 5.0% at 24 hours after inhaled IL-5 (p=0.02) and persisting at 72 hours (4.6%, p=0.02). However, similar increases were observed after intravenous IL-5 and after placebo treatment (median at 24 hours: 3.6% and 3.7%, respectively, p<0.01). There were no significant differences in sputum eosinophils between the treatment groups (fig 5).

EFFECT OF IL-5 ON LUNG FUNCTION AND BRONCHIAL RESPONSIVENESS

Baseline FEV1 was 95.1 (3.8)% predicted and PC20 was 1.15 (2.21) mg/ml. No significant changes were observed in FEV1 or PC20 during the three study periods (fig 6). There were no
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Discussion

We report the first observations on the comparative effects of inhaled and intravenous IL-5 in mild asthma. Significant increases were seen in IL-5 levels in the serum but not in the sputum when administered intravenously, and the other way round when given by inhalation. Thus, inhaled IL-5 appeared to be confined to the lung and intravenous IL-5 to the blood compartment. Intravenous IL-5 induced a rapid decline and subsequent non-significant rise in blood eosinophils which was not associated with airway eosinophilia or bronchial hyperresponsiveness. Sputum eosinophils were indeed slightly increased after intravenous and inhaled IL-5, but were also raised following placebo, which indicates that these increases were non-specific. Inhaled IL-5 had no systemic effect as reflected by blood eosinophil counts or serum eotaxin levels and did not affect bronchial responsiveness. A trend to increased serum eotaxin levels was observed 24 hours following intravenous IL-5. The cellular source of this increase in eotaxin levels could have been the vascular endothelial cells or circulating eosinophil and T cells. Systemic IL-5 is a potent stimulus to eosinophil mobilisation to the circulation, albeit not in itself sufficient specifically to induce lung eosinophilia (as measured in induced sputum) or airway hyperresponsiveness.

We observed significant, sequential, and converse effects on peripheral blood eosinophils following intravenous IL-5. Between 0.5 and 2 hours eosinophils were markedly reduced, and this effect has not been previously reported in animals or humans. At later time points (4–72 hours) there was a significant increase in blood eosinophil counts. We speculate that these effects result from rapid vascular margination followed by either demargination or replenishment of the circulating eosinophil pool from bone marrow and other extramedullary leucocyte reservoirs. A rapidly induced but short lived peripheral eosinophilia has been observed previously following intravenous administration of IL-5 in animals, while a prolonged peripheral blood eosinophilia occurred after inhaled IL-5 in asthmatic subjects. The finding that IL-5 did not specifically affect sputum eosinophils levels contradicts a previous study of IL-5 inhalation in patients with mild asthma. We observed minor but significant increases in sputum eosinophil numbers in all groups. These changes are unlikely to be explained by methacholine challenge or repeated sputum induction. Our findings are, however, consistent with previous studies in rodents. Wang et al showed that circulating IL-5 is essential for the development of airway eosinophilia, whereas local lung IL-5 production did not lead to eosinophil recruitment to the lungs. Similarly, Mould et al observed a doubling of eosinophil counts in BAL fluid following the administration of intrapulmonary and intravenous IL-5 compared with intrapulmonary IL-5 administration alone in mice. Lee and coworkers created an IL-5 transgenic mouse expressing IL-5 in airway epithelium which developed baseline bronchial hyperresponsiveness and BAL eosinophilia; however, in this model IL-5 was expressed at unusually high levels in the serum with concomitant prominent peripheral eosinophilia.

We saw no effect of IL-5 on bronchial responsiveness. Consistent findings are provided in human studies in which monoclonal anti-IL-5 blocking antibodies substantially reduced allergen induced blood and sputum eosinophilia but had no effect on the late phase response and bronchial hyperresponsiveness to allergen challenge. These findings have been consistently confirmed in animal models. The contribution of IL-5 to bronchial hyperresponsiveness is contentious and in asthma models it is difficult to dissociate from effects induced by mediators other than IL-5. Consistently, however, IL-5 transgenic mice have prominent airway eosinophilia but have acetylcholine responses similar to wild type mice. These studies collectively suggest a close association between IL-5 and both circulating and bronchial eosinophil numbers, but a dissociation between pulmonary IL-5 and bronchial responsiveness in both animals and humans.

Our observations are substantially different from those of Shi et al in terms of sputum eosinophilia and bronchial responsiveness induced by IL-5, despite using similar amounts of inhaled IL-5 obtained from a similar source and comparable cohorts of asthmatic patients in terms of severity and baseline sputum eosinophilia. These studies were performed in ethnically different populations, raising the possibility that there may be racial susceptibility to the effects of IL-5. Another possibility is that the high level of endemic parasitic colonisation in China may induce an expanded population of activated tissue eosinophils that are primed to respond more to IL-5. Sputum ECP levels in the mild asthmatics studied by Shi et al were, however, 2-log fold higher than levels previously described in severe asthma.

Collins et al reported that, in contrast to intravenous administration, local IL-5 did not induce tissue accumulation of eosinophils. This indicates that local IL-5 has little chemotactic activity, whereas intravenous IL-5 has a rapid enhancing effect on eosinophil accumulation by stimulating the release of a rapidly mobilisable pool of bone marrow eosinophils. Furthermore, although IL-5 is necessary, other signals from activated T lymphocytes may also be required to induce accumulation of eosinophils in the airways. Botaxin, an eosinophil selective chemokine, augments the accumulation of eosinophils by IL-5 in a synergistic fashion. This implies that IL-5 and eotaxin act cooperatively to promote the recruitment of eosinophils into the tissue. Our data indicate
that, when administered on its own to the airways by aerosol, IL-5 is not capable of inducing eosinophilic inflammation and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in mild asthmatic subjects. However, changes in circulating eosinophil numbers were observed with intravenous administration of IL-5, indicating potential systemic effects on the bone marrow. Thus, IL-5 may have systemic rather than pulmonary effects in asthma.
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