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Introductory article

Usefulness of D-dimer, blood gas, and respiratory rate measurements for excluding pulmonary embolism

P Egermayer, G I Town, J G Turner, D C Heaton, A L Mee, M E J Beard

Background. A study was undertaken to assess the usefulness of the SimpliRED D-dimer test, arterial oxygen tension, and respiratory rate measurement for excluding pulmonary embolism (PE) and venous thromboembolism (VTE). Methods. Lung scans were performed in 517 consecutive medical inpatients with suspected acute PE over a one year period. Predetermined end points for objectively diagnosed PE in order of precedence were (1) a post mortem diagnosis, (2) a positive pulmonary angiogram, (3) a high probability ventilation perfusion lung scan when the pretest probability was also high, and (4) the unanimous opinion of an adjudication committee. Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) was diagnosed by standard ultrasound and venography. Results. A total of 40 cases of PE and 37 cases of DVT were objectively diagnosed. The predictive value of a negative SimpliRED test for excluding objectively diagnosed PE was 0.99 (error rate 2/249), that of $\mathrm{PaO}_2 \geq 80 \text{ mm Hg}$ (10.7 kPa) was 0.97 (error rate 5/160), and that of a respiratory rate of $\leq 20/\text{min}$ was 0.95 (error rate 14/308). The best combination of findings for excluding PE was a negative SimpliRED test and $\mathrm{PaO}_2 \geq 80 \text{ mm Hg}$, which gave a predictive value of 1.0 (error rate 0/93). The predictive value of a negative SimpliRED test for excluding VTE was 0.98 (error rate 5/249). Conclusions. All three of these observations are helpful in excluding PE. When any two parameters were normal, PE was very unlikely. In patients with a negative SimpliRED test and $\mathrm{PaO}_2 \geq 80 \text{ mm Hg}$ a lung scan is usually unnecessary. Applications of this approach for triage in the preliminary assessment of suspected PE could lead to a reduced rate of false positive diagnoses and considerable resource savings. (Thorax 1998;53:830–4)

It is well established that clinical signs alone are unreliable in the diagnosis of both acute pulmonary embolism (PE) and deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and that the majority of patients who present with suspected venous thromboembolism (VTE) do not have the disease. In particular, symptoms and signs of PE such as respiratory rate and oxygen saturation may be indistinguishable from those of other cardiorespiratory disorders. In recent years, with the widespread availability of objective testing methods such as ventilation/perfusion lung scanning, pulmonary angiography and spiral CT scanning, there are large numbers of patients undergoing negative complex investigations to exclude the presence of disease. Since the prevalence of PE ranges from less than 10% (as in the study featured in the introductory article) to 40% in patients where there is clinical suspicion, there have been many diagnostic strategies proposed to improve the sensitivity and specificity of combinations of tests for patients requiring further investigation. These diagnostic algorithms usually involve one or more tests, with definitive treatment being started only when one of these is positive. However, pulmonary angiography is invasive and the other tests are both costly and time consuming. Thus, much interest has been shown in developing a simple test or combination of simple tests that could reliably exclude PE and obviate the need for further assessment in the majority of patients. The authors of this article have been able to show that a combination of normal respiratory rate and normal arterial blood gas oxygen tension can almost exclude the presence of PE. When combined with a simple, rapid whole blood D-dimer assay that can be performed and interpreted at the bedside, these measurements can have a 100% negative predictive value—that is, the disease can be excluded in the presence of a negative test. This would appear
Clinical assessment in pulmonary embolism

Clinical signs are notoriously inaccurate in the diagnosis of lower limb DVT and PE as many other conditions can mimic thromboembolic disease. When taken in isolation, an increased respiratory rate is not a specific sign for PE, but its absence has been suggested as an effective screen for PE with a negative predictive value of 95%. Of the 39 patients with objectively diagnosed PE, 14 (36%) had a respiratory rate of less than 20/min. This figure is supported by clinical data in the Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis (PIOPED) study where 30% of patients with confirmed PE had a respiratory rate of less than 20 breaths/min. By combining this figure with a normal arterial blood oxygen level they were able to increase the negative predictive value of a normal result to 98%.

Wells et al have been able to stratify patients into differing risk groups for PE based on a more extensive assessment and combination of clinical symptoms and signs as illustrated in Figure 1. This group previously validated the utility of a pretest probability assessment in patients with suspected DVT using a number of major and minor factors that were assigned different weightings of clinical importance and applied modifications of these to the diagnosis of PE. By separating patients into differing risk groups prior to objective testing they were able to improve the diagnostic value of clinical assessment alone and identify those patients who had a low risk for further thromboembolic complications over a subsequent follow up period. It is these patients who could be included in diagnostic algorithms with d-dimer testing to avoid diagnostic ventilation/perfusion scanning or invasive pulmonary angiography when screening is non-diagnostic. Whilst clinical assessment alone is not being proposed as the only method of diagnosis, by adopting a thorough risk stratification system based on the evidence in the literature, the clinician can more appropriately select those patients who require more invasive investigations to exclude PE.

![Figure 1 Algorithm for determining the probability of PE based on clinical assessment (modified from Wells et al)](http://thorax.bmj.com/content/62/10/781)
D-dimer screening for exclusion of VTE

D-dimer is a product of endogenous fibrinolysis and, although increased levels are found in acute DVT and PE, they may also be raised in a number of other conditions such as infection, trauma, malignancy, and other inflammatory disorders. As a result the sensitivity and specificity of this assay is variable in different patient groups. Nevertheless, it has been shown in many studies that the presence of a normal d-dimer level can reliably exclude the presence of VTE with a predictive value greater than 95%. Over the last decade the standard reference tests have been based on monoclonal antibody specific ELISA techniques with d-dimer levels greater than 500 ng/ml being considered abnormal. More recently there have been a number of latex and whole blood agglutination testing kits available. These have advantages over ELISA tests in that (1) they can be performed and interpreted more rapidly, (2) they do not require expensive laboratory equipment or technical expertise and do not need to be processed in batches to be cost effective, and (3) they are relatively inexpensive. These factors support the use of rapid testing kits as potential screening tools for the exclusion of both DVT and PE, particularly in the emergency setting, and the study by Egermayer et al. has demonstrated a negative predictive value of 99%, at least comparable to most reported series.

The majority of studies have compared a single d-dimer testing method with a standard objective diagnostic test but some have compared several rapid latex and agglutination kits with traditional ELISA methods on the same patient. For example, the SimpliRED whole blood agglutination test which has been available for several years and was used in the current study, has been assessed widely with good sensitivity and negative predictive value for the exclusion of both DVT and PE. Although the result obtained is a subjective interpretation of the degree of agglutination in the drop of blood with the d-dimer antibody, the reported inter-observer agreement, between-assay agreement, and reproducibility is greater than 95%. Despite these findings, Freyberger et al. and Janssen et al. were unable to demonstrate similar results when compared with the ELISA tests or other rapid latex tests. They found a sensitivity of 61–79%, specificity of 67–90%, and a negative predictive value of only 52–77%, but it should be noted that the blood collection and storage techniques prior to analysis varied. These included the use of plasma samples that were later reconstituted with the addition of red cells which the authors acknowledge may have caused the reduced predictive values. The number of false positive d-dimer results in the different patient groups (surgical vs medical, inpatients vs outpatients) will affect the specificity and positive predictive value of the test, but will reduce its clinical application to a much lesser degree providing the proportion of false negative tests remains low and the ability of the test to exclude VTE remains high. Those wishing to use the individual tests need to understand some of their limitations before using them in clinical practice. Some of the newer d-dimer testing kits may require extensive investigation before being adopted. Van Beek et al. have shown that, for PE, acceptance of a test sensitivity of less than 100% may have serious consequences in that one per 1000 evaluated patients with clinically suspected PE would die for every 2% decrease in sensitivity if this was accepted.

Brimble et al. and Reber et al. have reported the importance of using a soluble fibrin assay in addition to the rapid d-dimer test to exclude VTE reliably. They have proposed this as needing further evaluation because up to 10% of their patients with acute DVT or PE have had normal SimpliRED d-dimer results, impaired fibrinolysis possibly accounting for the negative result. Soluble fibrin assays are not available for rapid bedside investigation at present. However, if developed, they may complement d-dimer tests as useful screening tools.

Cost effectiveness of rapid D-dimer testing

Several diagnostic algorithms have been proposed for the investigation of patients with suspected VTE and some of these have been validated in large studies assessing safety, reliability, and recurrence rates. Most of these require tests that are not always available at all times or in all centres, particularly late at night or at weekends, and patients are often started on anti-coagulation before a definitive diagnosis is made to prevent early complications and progression of VTE. This exposes large numbers of patients to the risks of anticoagulation and is wasteful of hospital resources. Although the current study has not addressed cost effectiveness, it has been shown by Perrier et al. that the use of non-invasive diagnostic techniques can save time and money. By using d-dimer and lower limb duplex ultrasonography in combination with ventilation/perfusion lung scanning, a 9% incremental cost saving and a 47% decrease in pulmonary angiograms was achieved when angiography was only performed in those with an inconclusive non-invasive diagnostic work-up.

Clinical applicability

With negative predictive values of nearly 100%, d-dimer measurement alone could be considered as the only screening test necessary. However, most authors have been reluctant to propose such a diagnostic strategy. Despite numerous reports that have prospectively as-
The use of D-dimer testing is time and cost effective.

LEARNING POINTS

* For patients with suspected pulmonary embolism clinical signs alone are unreliable in making the diagnosis.
* In the presence of low levels of D-dimer the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism is highly unlikely.
* Not all D-dimer testing methods have equal sensitivity or specificity, however the negative predictive value of the rapid bedside kits which approach 100% are comparable to the ELISA tests.
* By combining clinical parameters and D-dimer test results a safe and reliable investigation algorithm can be applied, resulting in a large proportion of ventilation/perfusion scans being avoided.
* The use of D-dimer testing is time and cost effective.

assessed the reliability and accuracy of all forms of D-dimer assay, there is little evidence that clinical practice has altered significantly. Large cohorts of patients with clinically suspected VTE have been compared using reference investigations (lower limb venography and either pulmonary angiography or ventilation/perfusion scanning) and the use of D-dimer measurement alone or in combination with the clinical pretest probability. Both have proved reliable and safe. Various algorithms for investigating patients with suspected VTE have been proposed (fig 2). Although applicable in the majority of patients resulting in significant cost savings due to reduction in the number of unnecessary angiograms, lower limb duplex scans, ventilation/perfusion scans or spiral CT scans being performed, there remains a reluctance to follow this type of approach. This may be due to the concern physicians have regarding the absolute certainty of a negative D-dimer test on further management and outcome. There are both ethical and medicolegal issues involved in misdiagnosis of VTE which are at the forefront of the physician’s mind.

We believe that D-dimer testing will have a key role in future clinical practice, particularly in the emergency setting, allowing patients who are at low clinical risk of VTE with a negative D-dimer assay to be spared immediate hospital admission, anticoagulation, and investigation. Also, in situations when the patient presents after working hours or at weekends when the full range of diagnostic tests may not be available, a negative D-dimer test would allow the patient to be discharged until further non-invasive tests could be performed the following day, thereby avoiding hospital admission and anticoagulation.
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