Case report

Intrathoracic extramedullary haematopoiesis complicated by massive haemothorax in alpha-thalassaemia
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Abstract
Intrathoracic extramedullary haematopoiesis (EMH) is a rare entity that is usually asymptomatic. A 44 year old man with alpha-thalassaemia is described who developed dyspnoea and massive left sided haemothorax. The haemoglobin disorder was established by Hgb H staining and haemoglobin electrophoretic studies. The DNA analysis revealed it to be a case of double heterozygous terminal codon mutation with the genotype $ao\text{CS}/t\text{au}^T$. Computed tomographic scanning and magnetic resonance imaging of the thorax showed multiple paravertebral masses which were found by thoracoscopic biopsy to be extramedullary haematopoiesis. Although no additional sclerotic pleuritis or low dose radiation therapy was given, the lung expanded well and there has been no recurrence of haemothorax to date.
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Extramedullary haematopoiesis (EMH) occurs as a compensatory phenomenon to several haematological diseases including thalassaemia, megaloblastosis, and hereditary spherocytosis. Intrathoracic EMH is a rare entity which is often located in the lower thoracic paraspinal area and is usually asymptomatic. We describe the case history of a patient who presented with alpha-thalassaemia complicated by haemothorax.

Case report
The patient, a 44 year old man, had a history of alpha-thalassaemia for some years. The disorder was diagnosed by positive haemoglobin H staining and haemoglobin electrophoretic studies (Hgb H 10.3%). DNA analysis showed a double heterozygous terminal codon mutation with genotype $ao\text{CS}/t\text{au}^T$ ($CS = \text{constant spring; } T = \text{terminal codon mutation other than } CS$). Bone marrow aspiration cytology revealed erythroid hyperplasia. He was admitted in February 1996 with left sided chest pain and dyspnoea for several days. There was no history of trauma. We were unable to obtain a family history of haematological disorder.

Physical examination revealed a blood pressure of 146/76 mm Hg, pulse rate of 95/min, respiratory rate of 19/min, pale conjunctiva, icteric sclera, diminished left sided breathing sounds, and marked hepatosplenomegaly. Initial haematological examination showed a haemoglobin level of 6.8 g/dl, haematocrit value of 27.1%, mean corpuscular volume (MCV) of 71.9 fl, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) of 25.1 g/dl, red blood cell count of 3.7 $\times$ 10$^11$/mm$^3$, white blood cell count of 14 $\times$ 10$^3$/mm$^3$, and platelet count of 2.7 $\times$ 10$^11$/mm$^3$. Serum biochemical analysis gave the following values: iron 140 µg/dl, ferritin 374 ng/ml, total iron binding capacity (TIBC) 187 µg/dl, and total bilirubin 4.6 mg/dl. Chest radiography showed a massive left sided pleural effusion and posterior mediastinal masses (fig 1). Computed tomographic scanning of the chest revealed multiple lobulated paravertebral masses over the T spine with good contrast enhancement (fig 2). Magnetic resonance imaging of the thorax showed elongated lobulated paraspinal masses with isointensity to muscle on T1-weighted images and hyperintensity on T2-weighted images. Thoracocentesis revealed a bloody effusion with a protein level of 6500 mg/dl, sugar 5 mg/dl, red blood cell count 3.4 $\times$ 10$^6$/mm$^3$, white blood cell count 2 $\times$ 10$^3$/mm$^3$, and negative cytological results. Video-assisted
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In conclusion, based on the characteristic radiographic findings and radionuclide marrow scanning, it is important to recognise the possibility of intrathoracic EMH as a differential diagnosis of non-traumatic haemothorax, especially in patients with bone marrow insufficiency or chronic haemolytic anaemia. Although radiation therapy or sclerosing pleurodesis is suggested for recurrent haemothorax,
There is a counter-intuitive to GPs working daily with the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) as a discrete entity. The most important and fundamental point is that PEF cannot differentiate between obstructive and restrictive patterns of abnormal function, as the diagnosis is not made correctly then the GP cannot hope to manage the patient correctly. The implications of a restrictive defect will often necessitate referral to secondary care to assess the cause, whereas most cases of COPD are manageable within primary care.

The FEV1 is markedly reduced to the predicted normal pattern (upper line). The patient's FEV1 is preserved at 5.7 l/s (or 340 l/min) which is 80% predicted. As expiration begins (point “a”) there is a rapid increase in expiratory flow. The patient correctly. The implications of a restrictive defect will often necessitate referral to secondary care to assess the cause, whereas most cases of COPD are manageable within primary care.

The FEV1 is markedly reduced to the predicted normal pattern (upper line). The patient's FEV1 is preserved at 5.7 l/s (or 340 l/min) which is 80% predicted. As expiration begins (point “a”) there is a rapid increase in expiratory flow. The patient correctly. The implications of a restrictive defect will often necessitate referral to secondary care to assess the cause, whereas most cases of COPD are manageable within primary care.
Health effects of passive smoking

Cook and Strachan are to be congratulated on their series of meta-analyses on the health effects of passive smoking. However, in their analysis of parental smoking and spirometric indices they gave as the main reason for excluding 19 out of 42 studies that met their criteria that they “provide some data, but insufficient to be included in the quantitative overview”. In the case of our own study they concluded that they were unable to transform our results to the desired effect measure. They used the “difference in outcome measure between the exposed and non-exposed children expressed as a percentage of the level in the non-exposed group” and reported that they were unable to do this with our results as we “reported differences in standard deviation scores with no baseline data”.

The standard deviation scores were calculated using the mean and standard deviation of the ratio of actual to lung function predicted for height, age and sex. Hence, the approximate percentage difference can be calculated by multiplying by the appropriate published standard deviation. Using an estimate of 15.6 cigarettes per day for the average amount smoked by parents at home, calculated from the same data for white English children in 1988, the effect of parental smoking on forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) was −0.37% (SE 0.51%) for boys and −0.18% (SE 0.77%) for girls. The wide confidence intervals on our estimates encompass the greater negative estimates of Cook et al1, but inclusion of our results would have decreased the main estimates for all four lung function parameters.

The approximation in assuming FEV1, percent predicted to be 100 for the unexposed group is no greater an assumption than combining studies using different definitions of parental smoking and different measures of mid expiratory flow. We invite Cook et al to update their estimates accordingly.

SUSAN CHINN
ROBERTO J RONA
Department of Public Health Sciences,
King’s College London, London SE1 3QD, UK


Investigation and management of persistent dry cough

McGarvey et al suggest that full investigation of patients with persistent cough improves treatment.

I reviewed 100 such patients seen consecutively. All had normal chest radiographs, two were current smokers, and their mean cough duration was 18.8 months (range one month to 20 years). Initial treatment was given on the basis of history and routine clinical examination with investigations reserved for patients not responding after one month. Thirty four patients failed to return after their initial appointment. Twenty were crossed out and all reported complete resolution of their symptoms. Clinical diagnoses in the 14 others were similar and they probably defaulted because of improvement, but none were excluded from analysis. Investigations performed included radiology of the sinuses in 8%, bronchial provocation testing in 16%, and investigation for gastro-oesophageal reflux in 19%. The final diagnoses (table 1) were based on successful response to treatment. Asthma was uncommon (7%) but, as there were few treatment failures, it seems unlikely that asthma was missed. The awareness of asthma by GPs is high in Australia and had probably been treated by their GPs. Clinical outcomes were excellent with 79 patients (92%) reporting complete or almost complete resolution of cough in a mean of two months.

These results suggest that good outcomes can be achieved in most patients without routine investigation. The poor predictive value of symptoms quoted by McGarvey et al reflect poor choice of historical features. These authors confirm that any cause of chronic cough increases the sensitivity of the cough reflex, and the finding that cough precipitated by non-specific stimuli is poorly predictive of asthma is unsurprising. Likewise, most patients with reflux associated cough do not have heartburn.

Diagnostic protocols advocated by hospital based researchers may be inappropriate for other settings. Such protocols should be subjected to randomised control trial against less interventionist approaches as would be required of a new drug treatment.

DEREK G COOK
DAVID STRACHAN
IAN M CAREY
Department of Public Health Sciences,
St George’s Hospital Medical School,
Cranmer Terrace, London SW1 0RE, UK

Table 1 Final diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean age (range)</th>
<th>M/F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhiinus</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46 (75–7)</td>
<td>8/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>53 (40–69)</td>
<td>7/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38 (9–67)</td>
<td>4/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux + rhinitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58 (44–64)</td>
<td>4/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whooping cough</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37 (14–64)</td>
<td>4/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor (ACE I)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62 (49–79)</td>
<td>2/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE I + rhinitis + reflux</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE I + reflux</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE I + rhinitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48 (30–68)</td>
<td>4/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma + rhinitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUTHORS’ REPLY** We welcome Dr Simpson’s interesting comments. He describes a group of patients which appears to be rather different from the patients reported in our study. Firstly, our patient group had been troubled with cough for a longer period of time (mean cough duration 67 months (range 2–240)

**McGarvey et al**
compared with 18.8 months (range 1–240)). Secondly, application to his study of our exclusion criteria—that is, smokers, an abnormal chest radiograph, any preceding viral infections, and patients taking angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors—would mean that 29 of the 86 patients (33%) he reviewed would not have been included in our study. Dr Simpson relies heavily on patient history in the evaluation of his patients. In our discussion we highlight the limitations of historical features, given the existence of both silent “reflux” and postnasal drip. We do not accept that the poor positive predictive values reflect a bad choice of historical features and believe there are no accurate discriminatory historical features that can be reliably applied to cough patients in general. This is supported by a study which specifically examined features in the clinical history and found that these were unlikely to be useful in diagnosing the cause of cough.1 While we agree that a randomised controlled trial may be one way to address the issue of how best to evaluate patients with cough, we suspect that Dr Simpson is describing a very different patient population from those referred to our cough clinic and that a less interventionist approach may not therefore be appropriate. In the meantime, we feel a comprehensive protocol which is consistent with the approach of the recent Consensus Panel Report of the American College of Chest Physicians continues to represent the optimum way to evaluate patients referred with chronic cough.2

While we agree that a randomised controlled trial may be one way to address the issue of how best to evaluate patients with cough, we suspect that Dr Simpson is describing a very different patient population from those referred to our cough clinic and that a less interventionist approach may not therefore be appropriate. In the meantime, we feel a comprehensive protocol which is consistent with the approach of the recent Consensus Panel Report of the American College of Chest Physicians continues to represent the optimum way to evaluate patients referred with chronic cough.2

Targeting DNase in cystic fibrosis

Recombinant human DNase is an expensive mucolytic which does not benefit all patients with cystic fibrosis. Company sponsored trials in unselected cystic fibrosis patients have documented wide variability in spirometric responses to the drug, but the data are presented in a way which prevents the clinician from assessing which patients are likely to benefit.

We therefore read with interest the editorial by Dr Innes regarding the assessment of response to DNase in cystic fibrosis.3 However, whilst we agree that it is necessary to target DNase, we have reservations regarding the use of “n-of-1 trials” for this therapy. Dr Innes states that this approach has been used in Scotland and quotes a study unpublished at the time of writing in support of it. However, this study has already been heavily criticised since many patients refused to take part and others did not complete the trial periods.4 Furthermore, such studies are inherently time consuming and resource intensive.

We have adopted a different approach to ensure that DNase is prescribed in a rational fashion. Before it became available on the NHS we met with local purchasers to define selection criteria and a trial protocol. Following selection, those who have an improvement in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) of >20% after a trial of DNase are defined as “responders” and remain on the drug. A review at two years has shown that, whilst responders maintain their improvement, non-responders are not disadvantaged.5 Thus, using this trial protocol we have been able to target DNase to those patients who obtain maximum benefit. This model has now been widely accepted by purchasers for adult and paediatric cystic fibrosis services in North Wales and the Northwest of England and, as such, we have no problems in obtaining funding for this very expensive product.

We suggest that Dr Innes and his colleagues abandon their “n-of-1 trials” and adopt our protocol for the use of DNase.

MARTIN J LEDSON
MARTIN J WALSHAW
Regional Adult Cystic Fibrosis Unit, The Cardiothoracic Centre, Thomas Drive, Liverpool L14 3PE, UK


NOTICES

Cochrane Airways Group

An international symposium on “The Basis for Clinical Excellence in the Treatment of Chronic Lung Diseases” organised by the Cochrane Airways Group will be held on 10–11 November 1999 at the Royal Society of Medicine, London. For further information contact Alison Rowley, Symposium Administration Office, Cochrane Airways Group, Battersea Studios, Thackeray Road, London SW8 1TW, UK. Telephone +44 (0)1799 542993. Fax +44 (0)1799 541026. email: greene_room@msn.com

World Association of Sarcoidosis and Other Granulomatous Disorders

The 17th World Congress on Sarcoidosis and Other Granulomatous Disorders (WASOG) will be held in Fumamoto, Japan on 8–13 November 1999. Further details may be obtained from Professor Masayuki Ando, Kumamoto University School of Medicine, 1-1-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860, Japan. Telephone +81-96-373-3150. Fax +81-96-371-0582.

CORRECTION

In the “Smoking Cessation Guidelines and their Cost Effectiveness” which was published as a supplement to the December issue of Thorax (December 1998; 54 (Suppl 5)), the name of one reviewer was inadvertently omitted from the list of reviewers on page S1 of Part 1:

Gay Sutherland, Clinical Psychologist, National Addiction Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, University of London, London, UK.
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