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Abstract
Background—The objective of this multicentre, randomised, double blind, parallel group study was to compare the efficacy and safety of the addition of salmeterol with that of doubling the dose of fluticasone propionate in asthmatic patients not controlled by a low or intermediate dose of inhaled corticosteroids.

Methods—After a four week run in period of treatment with fluticasone propionate (100 µg twice daily if pre-trial dose was 400–600 µg inhaled corticosteroids or 250 µg twice daily if pre-trial dose was 800–1200 µg inhaled corticosteroids), 274 patients were randomised to treatment for 12 weeks with either salmeterol 50 µg twice daily plus the run in dose of fluticasone propionate or twice the run in dose of fluticasone propionate (200 or 500 µg twice daily). Outcome measures were daily records of peak expiratory flow (PEF), symptom scores, and clinic lung function.

Results—The improvements in both the morning and evening PEF were better in the salmeterol than in the fluticasone propionate group, the mean increase in morning PEF being 19 l/min higher (95% CI 11.0 to 26.1) and in evening PEF being 16 l/min (95% CI 18.4 to 24.0) higher in the salmeterol group. The increase in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) was 0.09 l greater in the salmeterol group than in the fluticasone propionate group after four weeks of treatment (95% CI 0.01 to 0.18), but not after 12 weeks. Both regimens showed an increase in symptom free days and a reduction in the need for rescue salbutamol both during the day and the night, but these improvements were greater in the salmeterol group. There were no significant differences between the groups in adverse effects or in the number of rescue courses of oral corticosteroids.

Conclusions—In this group of patients still symptomatic despite 100 or 250 µg fluticasone propionate twice daily, the addition of salmeterol caused a greater improvement in lung function and symptom control than doubling the dose of fluticasone propionate.

In international guidelines inhaled corticosteroids are considered the primary treatment for persistent asthma1 and inhaled short acting β₂ agonists are recommended for relief of symptoms as needed. If patients remain symptomatic two of the available options are to increase the dose of inhaled corticosteroids or to add a long acting β₂ agonist.

Inhaled corticosteroids have been shown to improve lung function and symptoms, to decrease airway hyperresponsiveness, and to reduce the number of asthma exacerbations.1–4 Long acting inhaled β₂ agonists have been shown to improve lung function and symptom score, to decrease nocturnal asthma, and to decrease the need for short acting β₂ agonists.5 On the other hand, the regular use of short acting β₂ agonists has been associated with less good asthma control and an increase in the number of exacerbations.5 Additionally, there are concerns that maintenance therapy with long acting β₂ agonists could lead to deterioration of asthma control. Recently, however, three large trials by Greening et al,6 Woolcock et al,7 and Pauwels et al8 have reported that the combination of the long acting β₂ agonists salmeterol or formoterol with the inhaled corticosteroids beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) or budesonide (BUD) provide better improvement in lung function and symptom control, without increasing the exacerbation rate, than doubling the dose of the inhaled corticosteroid.

To date no studies have been performed using the combination of salmeterol (SLM) and fluticasone propionate (FP). This study was therefore undertaken to compare the effects on lung function and symptom control of adding salmeterol or doubling the dose of FP in asthmatic subjects still symptomatic on a low or intermediate dose of inhaled corticosteroids.

Methods

PATIENTS

Asthmatic patients aged at least 18 years and receiving 400–600 µg BDP or 800–1200 µg BUD daily were recruited to the study. Patients were excluded if they had changed their asthma medication in the preceding six weeks, had used oral steroids in the previous three months, had an upper or lower respiratory tract infection requiring antibiotic treatment, or had been admitted to hospital for their asthma in the previous month.

The study was performed at 27 centres in The Netherlands and was approved by the medical ethics committee of each study hospit-
### OUTCOME PARAMETERS

#### Diary card data
During the run-in and treatment periods all patients filled in a daily diary card, recording their morning and evening PEF, daytime and night time symptoms, and use of rescue salbutamol. PEF was measured using a mini-Wright peak flow meter before taking the study medication. The best of three measurements was retained. The use of rescue medication within six hours of the measurements was also recorded. Daytime symptoms were recorded using a scale of 0 (no symptoms) to 5 (severe symptoms precluding normal activities). Night time symptoms were scored using a scale of 0 (no symptoms) to 4 (did not sleep at all).

#### Clinic visits
At each scheduled visit details of adverse events, deterioration of asthma symptoms, and withdrawals were recorded, diaries were reviewed and forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV₁, and PEF (using the patient’s own peak flow meter) were measured. All lung function measurements were performed during the morning, 12–16 hours after the last dose of the study medication and at least eight hours after the last dose of rescue salbutamol. The best of three technically adequate measurements was retained.

In case of a deterioration of asthma symptoms despite the use of more than six times rescue salbutamol daily, the patients were asked to return to the outpatient clinic and were treated with a seven day course of oral prednisone, tapering off the daily dosage as follows: 35, 30, 20, 10, 5, 5 mg per day, respectively.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
In this study two treatment regimens were compared: either doubling the existing dose of FP or adding 50 µg SLM twice daily to the existing dose of FP. According to the existing dose of FP there were two groups of patients (100 µg twice daily and 250 µg twice daily).

The statistical analyses were performed on both groups combined. As the distribution of patients over both groups reflects that in the general population of patients with mild or moderate asthma, the results of the combined groups are presented.

### Table 1 Stratification for pre-study dose of inhaled corticosteroids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-study dose of inhaled steroid</th>
<th>Run in period</th>
<th>Treatment period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400–600 µg</td>
<td>FP 100 µg twice daily</td>
<td>FP 100 µg twice daily (open) + FP 100 µg twice daily (blind) or SLM 50 µg twice daily (blind)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800–1200 µg</td>
<td>FP 250 µg twice daily</td>
<td>FP 250 µg twice daily (open) + SLM 50 µg twice daily (blind)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FP = fluticasone propionate; SLM = salmeterol.

### Table 2 Characteristics of the randomised patients at start of the run in period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fluticasone propionate (n = 139)</th>
<th>Fluticasone propionate + salmeterol (n = 135)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>73/66</td>
<td>68/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>46 (15)</td>
<td>47 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>2.33 (0.74)</td>
<td>2.34 (0.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% pred)</td>
<td>71 (16)</td>
<td>73 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l)</td>
<td>3.69 (1.08)</td>
<td>3.70 (1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (% pred)</td>
<td>94 (17)</td>
<td>96 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (l/min)</td>
<td>348 (110)</td>
<td>358 (129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (% pred)</td>
<td>75 (21)</td>
<td>79 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility (%)</td>
<td>15 (5)</td>
<td>15 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC = forced vital capacity; PEF = peak expiratory flow; reversibility = increase in % predicted FEV₁ after 400 µg salbutamol by metered dose inhaler or 800 µg by dry powder inhaler; % pred = percentage of the predicted value.
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Table 3 Clinic lung function during treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fluticasone propionate</th>
<th>Fluticasone propionate + salmeterol</th>
<th>Adjusted* (difference between groups)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 139)</td>
<td>(n = 135)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3</td>
<td>3.38 (0.75)</td>
<td>3.39 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 4</td>
<td>3.53 (0.77)</td>
<td>2.45 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 5</td>
<td>2.47 (0.76)</td>
<td>2.48 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3</td>
<td>3.72 (1.06)</td>
<td>3.71 (1.14)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03 to 0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 4</td>
<td>3.85 (1.08)</td>
<td>3.72 (1.25)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(0.053)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 5</td>
<td>3.73 (1.10)</td>
<td>3.75 (1.21)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.08 to 0.12</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF (l/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 3</td>
<td>367 (114)</td>
<td>365 (117)</td>
<td>17.6 (7.4)</td>
<td>3.14 to 32.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit 4</td>
<td>391 (111)</td>
<td>367 (115)</td>
<td>6.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>−9.45 to 22.7</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations see table 2.

*Adjusted for the baseline measurement at visit 2 (ANCOVA).

Results

Of the 369 patients recruited into the study, 95 were excluded before randomisation because of ineligibility. The remaining 274 patients were randomised into the two treatment groups and the analysis was performed on the two groups combined.

The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 2. The groups were well balanced for all demographic data. During the treatment period 15 patients were withdrawn, nine from the FP group (7%) and six from the SLM group (4%). The reasons for withdrawal included violation of the protocol, adverse events, and non-compliance.

PEAK EXPIRATORY FLOW

There was no significant time effect in morning and evening PEF and there was no significant interaction between time and treatment, adjusted for the baseline peak flow (fig 1). There appeared to be a constant significant treatment effect over time. The increase in both morning and evening PEF, adjusted for baseline PEF, was higher in the SLM group than in the FP group (morning, mean (SE) 18.6 (3.84) l/min (95% CI 11.0 to 26.1), p < 0.001; evening, 16.2 (3.96) l/min (95% CI 8.41 to 23.9), p < 0.001). Diurnal variation was analysed after log transformation and did not show a significant treatment effect. During the run in (baseline) period the diurnal variation was 9% in both treatment groups and it decreased significantly with time (p = 0.005) at the same rate in both groups. In this analysis adjustment was also made for the logarithm of baseline diurnal variation.

LUNG FUNCTION

During the run in period the mean (SE) FEV1 increased by 0.07 (0.02) l (p < 0.001), FVC by 0.04 l (not significant), and PEF by 16 (4.17) l/min (p < 0.001). After four weeks of treatment (visit 4) FEV1, FVC, and PEF were significantly higher in the SLM group than in the FP group with p values of 0.04, 0.02, and
0.02, respectively (table 3). After 12 weeks of treatment (visit 5) no significant differences were found between the SLM and FP groups.

**SYMPTOM SCORES, RESCUE MEDICATION, AND COURSES OF ORAL STEROIDS**

The evolution in time of the logit of the number of days (nights) with symptoms or rescue medication per 14 day period (the natural logarithm of the ratio of days with/without symptoms or rescue medication) was not significantly different between the two treatment groups (fig 2).

Significant treatment effects which thus were constant in time showed up in the following outcome variables, expressed as odds ratios (OR) of FP versus SLM treatment (the odds ratio is calculated as the antilog of the difference in logit between FP and SLM): (1) night time use of rescue salbutamol, OR 1.47 (95% CI 1.04 to 2.10), p = 0.03; (2) daytime use of rescue salbutamol, OR 2.19 (95% CI 1.42 to 3.40), p<0.001; (3) days with symptoms, OR 1.52 (95% CI 1.01 to 2.28), p = 0.04.

Significant time effects (parallel in both treatment groups) were seen in night time symptoms (p = 0.002), daytime symptoms (p<0.001), and daytime rescue medication (p<0.001). These time effects showed a downward trend during the treatment period (fig 2). In all these analyses adjustment was made for the baseline logit of the outcome variable concerned.

During the run in period there were five courses of oral steroids in the FP group and six in the SLM group. During the treatment period 16 patients (12%) in the SLM group and 15 patients (11%) in the FP group received a course of oral steroids. These differences were not statistically significant.

**ADVERSE EVENTS**

Both treatments were well tolerated during the 12 week study. The number of patients reporting adverse events at the scheduled visits were not significantly different in the two treatment groups. There were four withdrawals because of an adverse event, all in the FP group.

**Discussion**

The results of this study show that, in patients with mild to moderate asthma still symptomatic despite maintenance therapy with 200 µg or 500 µg FP daily, the addition of salmeterol twice daily was superior to doubling the dose of FP in terms of better and more rapid improvement in lung function, symptom control, and need for rescue medication. Furthermore, there was no difference in rescue courses of oral steroids between the treatment groups.

Our results are in keeping with similar studies by Greening et al. Woolcock et al. and Pauwels et al. Greening et al. reported a large group of patients with mild to moderate asthma in whom the addition of SLM 50 µg twice daily was more effective at improving PEF and symptoms than increasing the dose of BDP from 400 to 1000 µg daily. Over the treatment period of six months there was no significant difference in exacerbation rate as defined in this study between the two groups. They included no clinic lung function studies and there may be some concern about the very large number of withdrawals (32%).

Woolcock et al. studied a large group of 738 asthmatic patients who were still symptomatic while receiving 1000 µg BDP daily and were randomised to treatment with either BDP 1000 µg daily + SLM (50 or 100 µg twice daily) or with BDP 2000 µg daily for 24 weeks. The addition of SLM provided better improvement in morning and evening PEF, clinic FEV1, and symptom control than doubling the dose of BDP to 2000 µg daily. Exacerbation rates did not differ between the groups and none of the treatment regimens altered bronchial hyperresponsiveness.

Pauwels et al. recently reported that, over a period of 12 months of treatment, the combi-
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is not prevented by inhaled corticosteroids.\textsuperscript{14–16} The bronchodilator response of the long acting diurnal variation in PEF during the run in three months preceding the run in period and a Although patients with relatively stable asthma of both peak evening PEF and a greater reduction in diurnal variation of PEF. The mean improvement in clinic FEV, in the SLM group was greater than in the FP group after four weeks but not after 12 weeks of treatment. This finding suggests that the maximum response to the increased dose of FP is much slower and takes at least four weeks. However, it is possible that one or both treatment groups might improve further after the 12 week period. The changes in subjective measures were in accordance with objective parameters. Both the increase in days and nights without use of rescue salbutamol and the increase in symptom free days was greater in the SLM group.

Several studies have shown that, during maintenance therapy with long acting \( \beta_2 \) agonists, limited tolerance develops to the bronchoprotective effects against methacholine\textsuperscript{12} or exercise\textsuperscript{13} and that this tolerance is not prevented by inhaled corticosteroids.\textsuperscript{14–16} In most studies\textsuperscript{11 12 15 17} no tolerance to the bronchodilator response of the long acting \( \beta_2 \) agonist was found, but in two placebo controlled studies with formoterol a small loss of both peak effect and duration of bronchodilatation was reported.\textsuperscript{18 19} In our study the addition of SLM caused a rapid increase in morning and evening PEF which remained stable throughout the treatment period. The rapid decrease in symptoms was also sustained during the treatment period. These results confirm previous reports\textsuperscript{10} that tolerance to this particular long acting \( \beta_2 \) agonist is of little clinical relevance.

The data on exacerbation rate in this study, expressed as the use of courses of oral steroids, must be interpreted with caution as the treatment period was relatively short. However, the percentage of withdrawals in the present study (7\%) was much lower than in previous studies (32\%, 12\%, and 23\%, respectively).\textsuperscript{10} Although patients with relatively stable asthma were selected (no oral corticosteroids in the three months preceding the run in period and a diurnal variation in PEF during the run in period of only 9\%), there were a number of courses of oral steroids during treatment: 16 patients (12\%) in the SLM group and 15 patients (11\%) in the FP group. There was no difference in courses of oral steroids between the treatment groups. There is evidence to suggest that inhaled corticosteroids are effective in preventing asthma exacerbations.\textsuperscript{7} Verberne et al\textsuperscript{20} have recently reported that BDP in children is superior to SLM as a monotherapy in reducing the exacerbation rate. Finally, Pauwels et al\textsuperscript{9} have shown that, during treatment with the combination of formoterol and BUD, both drugs independently reduced the number of exacerbations.

We conclude from this study that, in patients with mild to moderate asthma and persistent symptoms and airflow obstruction despite low dose inhaled corticosteroids, the addition of a long acting \( \beta_2 \) agonist produced a greater improvement in lung function and symptom control than doubling the dose of inhaled steroids. Deterioration of asthma symptoms requiring a course of oral steroids did occur in both groups with similar frequency. In these patients with exacerbations the treatment of choice is probably the combination of a long acting \( \beta_2 \) agonist with a high dose of inhaled steroids.

This study was supported by Glaxo Wellcome BV, Zeist, The Netherlands.
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