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Abstract

Background—Patients with a poor perception of their symptoms of asthma seem to have an increased risk of an asthma attack. The influence of factors such as airway calibre, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, age and sex on the “perceptiveness” of a patient are poorly understood. It is of clinical importance to identify patients who are likely to have a poor perception of their symptoms. We have studied the perception of bronchoconstriction by asthmatic patients during a histamine provocation test and analysed the influence of bronchial obstruction, hyperresponsiveness, sex, and age. We were particularly interested to establish whether there was any difference in perception between subjects with a greater or lesser severity of asthma (expressed as bronchial obstruction, hyperresponsiveness).

Methods—One hundred and thirty four patients with allergic asthma underwent a histamine provocation test. The FEV1 was measured after each inhalation of histamine. Subjects were asked to rate subjective quantification of the sensation of breathlessness on a visual analogue scale (VAS). The relationship between changes in VAS values and the reduction in FEV1, as a percentage of the baseline value was analysed by determining the linear regression slope (\( \alpha \)) between the two parameters and indicates the perception of airway obstruction. Multiple regression analysis was performed to investigate the effect of baseline FEV1, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, sex and age on the “perceptiveness” for bronchoconstriction.

Results—The median value of the slope \( \alpha \) (indicating the perception of airway obstruction) was 0.91 (25–75th percentile: 0.48–1.45). Age and sex had no influence on the perception of bronchoconstriction. Both initial bronchial tone (baseline FEV1) and bronchial hyperresponsiveness (PC20) showed a significant correlation with the perception of bronchoconstriction. The regression coefficients for FEV1, and 2 log PC20 in the multiple regression model were 0.20 and 0.10. Patients who had a low baseline FEV1, and/or a high bronchial responsiveness to histamine were more likely to show a low perceptiveness for bronchoconstriction during the challenge test.

Conclusions—Low baseline FEV1, and high bronchial responsiveness are associated with a low degree of “perceptiveness” for bronchoconstriction. This suggests that patients with a more severe degree of asthma either show adaptation of “perceptiveness” for airway obstruction or that low perceptiveness leads to more severe asthma.

(Thorax 1999;54:15–19)
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Some asthmatic patients perceive their symptoms of bronchial obstruction rather poorly. Patients with a poor perception might have an increased risk of a severe or even fatal asthmatic attack. Detection of the determinants of the ability of perceiving bronchial obstruction might be helpful therefore in protecting “poor perceivers” from a severe asthmatic attack. In this study we define perception of breathlessness as the subjective quantification of the respiratory stimulus intensity in relation to the bronchoconstrictive stimulus.

The bronchial challenge test with histamine was used to induce airway obstruction as a stimulus to measure the perceptiveness for the associated sensation. The reduction in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) as a percentage of the baseline value was used as an index for airway obstruction and the subjective quantification of the change in sensation of breathlessness was measured on a visual analogue scale (VAS). In line with other studies, the slope of the linear regression line \( \alpha \) between these two parameters was used as an index of the “perceptiveness” of the patient; the steeper the slope, the more sensitive a person is to signals of bronchoconstriction.

Subjects vary greatly in the subjective quantification of the stimulus at any level of the reduction in FEV1. The factors underlying this observed variability are still unknown. Some studies have shown an impaired perception by elderly patients but others have not. Brand et al showed that women are more likely to perceive airway obstruction during a histamine challenge test than men. Furthermore, bronchial hyperresponsiveness has been found to be a possible factor in influencing the perception of asthma symptoms. Burdon et al suggested that those subjects who frequently develop acute airflow obstruction acquire a degree of tolerance that reduces the sensory intensity of the experience compared with that in less reactive subjects. Other studies have failed to show any influence of the severity of airway responsiveness on the perception of...
airway obstruction. In daily practice it is especially important to identify non-perceptive subjects with a more or less severe degree of asthma (expressed as the baseline FEV₁ and concentration provoking a fall in FEV₁ of 20% or more (PC₂₀)).

On the other hand, with reference to the FEV₁, assuming a laminar flow in the airways, the driving pressure is proportional to the flow multiplied by the airway resistance. This means that flow (FEV₁) has a hyperbolic relationship with airway resistance. Consequently, a similar change in FEV₁ from a high or a low baseline value, represents a relatively small or large change in airway resistance, respectively. Assuming that airway resistance is the adequate stimulus perceived by the patients, it would be reasonable to expect that subjects with a low baseline FEV₁ might perceive the same decrease in FEV₁ considerably better than subjects with a high baseline value of FEV₁.

We have studied the perception of airway obstruction during a histamine challenge test on asthmatic patients to assess the influence of baseline FEV₁, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, age, and sex. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that subjects with a low baseline FEV₁ are more likely to have a good perception of airway obstruction, because a similar change in FEV₁ in these patients represents a relatively greater change in airway resistance than in subjects with a high baseline FEV₁.

Methods

PATIENT SELECTION

Patients were recruited from a research project on symptom perception by asthmatic patients aged 16–60 years who were allergic to house dust mite. Inclusion criteria for this research project were FEV₁ >50% of predicted value, PC₂₀ histamine equal to or less than 8 mg/ml and/or reversibility of obstruction at least 15% after inhalation of 800 µg salbutamol (compared with baseline FEV₁), lower airway complaints, no use of oral steroids, and no dependency on inhaled corticosteroids. The present study was performed at the first assessment of lung function parameters after inclusion in the larger trial. Only patients with asthma with a baseline FEV₁ >50% of the predicted value qualified for a histamine challenge test and were recruited for the present study. Patients had to have a bronchial provocation test with a minimum reduction in FEV₁ of 20% or more of baseline value with at least two doubling doses of histamine to determine a patient’s “perceptiveness” during this test. Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

BRONCHIAL PROVOCATION

Each patient underwent a histamine challenge test according to ERS standards. Prior to testing, no short acting bronchodilators were used for at least eight hours and no long acting bronchodilators for at least 12 hours. Doubling concentrations of histamine starting with 0.03 mg/ml up to 16 mg/ml were administered until FEV₁ had fallen by at least 20% compared with the baseline value or a maximum of 16 mg/ml histamine was given. The bronchial response to each dose of histamine was expressed as the reduction in FEV₁ as a percentage of the baseline value according to ERS standards. The dose of inhaled histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV₁ compared with the baseline value (PC₂₀ histamine) was obtained from the log-dose response curve by linear interpolation.

ASSESSMENT OF BREATHELESSNESS

Breathlessness was measured before each measurement of FEV₁ using a visual analogue scale (VAS). The subjects rated their breathlessness on a 100 mm horizontal VAS with the words “minimum” and “maximum” on the left and right ends. Subjects were instructed that the word “minimum” meant “no complaints of respiratory sensation such as shortness of breath, chest tightness and breathlessness” and that “maximum” stood for “the worst complaints of respiratory sensation imaginable”. The three different descriptions of symptoms (shortness of breath, chest tightness, and breathlessness) were all used as indicators of respiratory sensations. Subjects were asked to score the overall magnitude of all three symptoms together in one assessment. Other histamine related symptoms such as pharyngitis or conjunctivitis, headaches, or cough were not scored. The subjects were instructed to place a vertical mark on the line, such that its position relative to the two extremes indicated the magnitude of respiratory sensation at the moment of measurement. VAS values at any dose of histamine were expressed as absolute differences (in mm) compared with the baseline value.

ANALYSIS OF DATA

A patient’s perception of bronchoconstriction was determined by the relationship between the absolute changes in VAS values in millimetres and the reduction in FEV₁ as a percentage of the baseline value in each patient. This relationship was analysed by means of a linear regression coefficient (slope $\alpha$) between these two parameters in which the change in FEV₁ was the independent variable and was placed on the $x$ axis. The change in VAS value, being the dependent variable, was put on the $y$ axis. Each (AVAS/AFEV₁) slope indicates the perception of airway obstruction of that patient, the steeper the slope the more sensitive is the subject to signals of bronchoconstriction. Values are expressed as medians with 25–75th percentile ranges. PC₂₀ values, which were positively skewed, were 2log transformed before this parameter was entered in the analysis. Multiple regression analysis was performed, with the perception of bronchoconstriction (slope $\alpha$) as the dependent variable and the baseline FEV₁, 2log PC₂₀, sex, age, and baseline VAS values as predictor variables. Predictor variables were entered in the multivariate model using a backwards procedure. A p value of <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.
R² of the total model = 0.14.

Regression model: perception of bronchoconstriction = 0.37 + 0.10 \times 1 \text{ pack year} = 365 (\text{days})

in VAS value according to the reduction in FEV₁ as a percentage of the baseline value (n = 134). Solid bars represent patients with more severe asthma (PC₂₀ >2 mg/ml in combination with FEV₁ >80% predicted). The patients with more severe asthma (PC₂₀ >2 mg/ml and/or FEV₁ >80% predicted) were positively correlated with the perception of bronchoconstriction. The regression coefficients for FEV₁ and 2log PC₂₀ in the multiple regression model were 0.20 and 0.10 with p values of 0.018 and 0.002, respectively. This result indicates that patients with more severe airway responsiveness and/or low baseline FEV₁ were more likely to show a lower perceptiveness for a decrease in FEV₁ during a histamine challenge test than the more healthy subjects. The baseline VAS, age, and sex had no significant effect on the perception of bronchoconstriction.

### Results

The clinical characteristics of the 134 asthmatic patients who could be analysed are presented in Table 1. Of the 162 subjects in the original trial, five had a baseline FEV₁ of <50% of the predicted value, one did not speak Dutch, in four patients no data on respiratory sensation were recorded, nine had a provocation test which consisted of only one doubling dose of histamine, and nine had a bronchial provocation test with a reduction in FEV₁ of less than 20% of the baseline value. The total number of patients left for analysis was therefore 134.

### Discussion

The results of the present study show that a low sensitivity to detect a decrease in FEV₁ during a histamine challenge test was more likely to occur in patients with a relatively small initial airway calibre (lower baseline FEV₁). This result is in contrast with the expectation that subjects with a low baseline FEV₁ would perceive the same decrease in FEV₁ better than subjects with a high initial FEV₁, because a similar change in FEV₁ in the latter group represents a relatively smaller change in airway resistance than in subjects with an initial low FEV₁. Possible explanations might be that these subjects with a low initial airway calibre are chronically adapted to their increased airway resistance. Such an adaptive mechanism

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subjects (n = 134)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>34 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>61/73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean (95% CI range PC₂₀ (mg/ml)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.93 to 1.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) FEV₁ (ml)</td>
<td>3229 (724)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) FEV₁ (% predicted)</td>
<td>86 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers (pack years*)</td>
<td>25 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers (pack years*)</td>
<td>30 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smokers</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication used during 4 weeks prior to testing:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta₂ agonist (less than once a day)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of beta₂ agonist and anticholinergics (Berodual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than once a day</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular (once a day or more)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular anti-histamine (once a day)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclomethasone nose spray</td>
<td>Less than once a day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 pack year = 365 (days) × 20 (cigarettes) ever smoked.

### Table 2 Multiple regression analysis of perception of bronchoconstriction (indicated by the slope in the linear regression analysis as the change in VAS value according to the reduction in FEV₁, as a percentage of the baseline value) according to baseline FEV₁, hyperresponsiveness (2log PC₂₀), baseline VAS, age, and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Individual R²</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline VAS value (mm)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (0) female (1)</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R² of the total model = 0.14.

Regression model: perception of bronchoconstriction = 0.37 + 0.10 × 2log PC₂₀ + 0.20 × FEV₁ (l).

### EFFECT OF AGE, SEX, PC₂₀, AND BASELINE FEV₁

The index for the perceptiveness of bronchoconstriction quantified by the linear regression slopes (â) of the relationship between the reduction in FEV₁, as a percentage of the baseline value and changes in VAS was made for 134 subjects (fig 1). The median slope was 0.91 (25–75th percentile 0.48–1.45). In fig 1 a distinction has been made between patients with more severe asthma (PC₂₀ ≤2 mg/ml in combination with FEV₁ ≤80% predicted) and patients with milder asthma (p = 0.005), with a median slope (AVAS/AFEV₁) of 0.69 (25–75th percentile 0.34–1.11) and 1.08 (25–75th percentile 0.59–1.52), respectively.

The result of the multiple regression analysis of the perceptiveness for bronchoconstriction according to baseline FEV₁, PC₂₀ baseline VAS, age, and sex are shown in table 2. Both initial bronchial tone (baseline FEV₁) and the bronchial hyperresponsiveness (2log PC₂₀) were positively correlated with the perception of bronchoconstriction. The regression coefficients for FEV₁ and 2log PC₂₀ in the multiple regression model were 0.20 and 0.10 with p values of 0.018 and 0.002, respectively. This result indicates that patients with more severe airway responsiveness and/or low baseline FEV₁ were more likely to show a lower perceptiveness for a decrease in FEV₁ during a histamine challenge test than the more healthy subjects. The baseline VAS, age, and sex had no significant effect on the perception of bronchoconstriction.

### Figure 1

Histogram of the values of linear regression slopes (â) as index of the perception of bronchoconstriction. The slopes are assessed in the linear regression analysis as the change in VAS value according to the reduction in FEV₁, as a percentage of the baseline value (n = 134). Solid bars represent patients with more severe asthma (PC₂₀ ≤2 mg/ml in combination with FEV₁ ≤80% predicted); shaded bars represent patients with milder asthma (PC₂₀ >2 mg/ml in combination with FEV₁ >80% predicted).

The result of the multiple regression analysis of perception of bronchoconstriction quantified by the linear regression slopes (â) of the relationship between the reduction in FEV₁, as a percentage of the baseline value and changes in VAS was made for 134 subjects (fig 1). The median slope was 0.91 (25–75th percentile 0.48–1.45). In fig 1 a distinction has been made between patients with more severe asthma (PC₂₀ ≤2 mg/ml in combination with FEV₁ ≤80% predicted) and patients with milder asthma (PC₂₀ >2 mg/ml and/or FEV₁ >80% predicted). The patients with more severe asthma differ from those with a milder degree of asthma (p = 0.005), with a median slope (AVAS/AFEV₁) of 0.69 (25–75th percentile 0.34–1.11) and 1.08 (25–75th percentile 0.59–1.52), respectively.

The result of the multiple regression analysis of the perceptiveness for bronchoconstriction according to baseline FEV₁, PC₂₀ baseline VAS, age, and sex are shown in table 2. Both initial bronchial tone (baseline FEV₁) and the bronchial hyperresponsiveness (2log PC₂₀) were positively correlated with the perception of bronchoconstriction. The regression coefficients for FEV₁ and 2log PC₂₀ in the multiple regression model were 0.20 and 0.10 with p values of 0.018 and 0.002, respectively. This result indicates that patients with more severe airway responsiveness and/or low baseline FEV₁ were more likely to show a lower perceptiveness for a decrease in FEV₁ during a histamine challenge test than the more healthy subjects. The baseline VAS, age, and sex had no significant effect on the perception of bronchoconstriction.

### Discussion

The results of the present study show that a low sensitivity to detect a decrease in FEV₁ during a histamine challenge test was more likely to occur in patients with a relatively small initial airway calibre (lower baseline FEV₁). This result is in contrast with the expectation that subjects with a low baseline FEV₁ would perceive the same decrease in FEV₁ better than subjects with a high initial FEV₁, because a similar change in FEV₁ in the latter group represents a relatively smaller change in airway resistance than in subjects with an initial low FEV₁. Possible explanations might be that these subjects with a low initial airway calibre are chronically adapted to their increased airway resistance.
has been suggested by Burki et al and Turcotte et al. Burki et al found that asthmatic patients appear to detect an inspiratory resistance less well than non-asthmatic subjects. Furthermore, Turcotte et al reported a poorly perceived late asthmatic response due to the mechanism of temporal adaptation during slow and progressive bronchoconstriction.

An alternative explanation put forward by Roisman et al is that increased inflammation, which is a possible cause of low airway calibre, influences the perception of induced bronchoconstriction. We were not able to measure the degree of airway inflammation to check this theory. Indeed, a positive association was found between the perception of airway obstruction and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. This result indicates that patients with more severe airway responsiveness (more inflammation) are more likely to show a low perceptiveness to a decrease in FEV1, than more healthy subjects. Although it is well known that bronchial obstruction and bronchial hyperresponsiveness are very much related, this can only partly explain the observations as the relationship between baseline obstruction and the perception of airway obstruction was corrected for hyperresponsiveness and vice versa. This finding might mean that patients with a high bronchial hyperresponsiveness experience airway constriction due to increased inflammation relatively more often and therefore may be more used to the sensation of breathlessness and more inclined to disregard the severity of the airway obstruction. These results show that patients with a more severe degree of asthma (low FEV1 and/or PC20) will perceive a further bronchoconstriction less than patients with a better baseline lung function. However, the opposite conclusion should also be considered—namely, that subjects with a poor baseline lung function. However, the exclusion of older patients could have affected the relationship between age and perception of bronchoconstriction.

Lougheed and coworkers found a strong relationship between inspiratory muscle work and the perception of asthma symptoms in patients with mild asthma. However, we studied asthmatic patients with a mean reduction in FEV1 of 27% of the baseline value in which no intensive muscle work can be expected. The perception of bronchoconstriction was therefore determined by the relationship between the changes in VAS values and the reduction in FEV1, as a percentage of the baseline value instead of the relationship between the symptom score and inspiratory capacity.

In conclusion, the perception of breathlessness during bronchoconstriction substantially varies between subjects and is weakly and positively correlated with FEV1, and PC20 but not with age or sex.
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