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Abstract

Background—Hospital admission rates for asthma have been higher for Asian (Indian subcontinent, ISC) ethnic minority groups in the UK than for white Europeans (W/E). As this may in part be due to differences in the uptake or delivery of preventative health care strategies, the extent to which targeted education and treatment programmes reach these groups needs to be determined.

Methods—Six hundred and eighty nine asthmatic subjects (345 W/E, 344 ISC) of mean (SD) age 34.5 (15) years (range 11–59) and mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) 80% predicted from districts of high ethnicity and socioeconomic deprivation within inner city Birmingham were reviewed in the appropriate dialect (English, Punjabi, Hindi, Urdu) in a community based study.

Results—Data adjusted for age and analysed separately for men (M) and women (F) showed no significant differences in the numbers reporting previous asthma education, assessment of delivery techniques, or being taught about medications, but there were significant differences for advice on trigger factors (M: 52% vs 42%; F: 56% vs 42%), recognition of symptoms (M: 51% vs 43%; F: 53% vs 33%), and ownership of peak flow meters (M: 35% vs 22%; F: 36% vs 24%) for W/E and ISC groups, respectively. Anti-inflammatory asthma medications were highly prescribed, but self reported drug compliance (M: 73% vs 62%), understanding medications (F: 59% vs 39%), and self management (F: 23% vs 12%) varied significantly. Asthma follow up in the community was low for both groups with more of the ISC subjects also being followed up by hospital.

Conclusions—The management of both ethnic groups has centred on drug prescription, delivery techniques and compliance, but has been deficient, particularly in the ISC group, in developing understanding of the disease and self management.
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Although inequalities in the delivery and uptake of preventative health care have frequently been implicated in the disproportionate asthma morbidity borne by socioeconomically deprived and ethnic minority groups, both in the UK and elsewhere, there are few data for these groups which specifically address their asthma knowledge or highlight ethnic differences in management. Such data could be valuable, particularly when planning health care strategies for some ethnic minority groups such as in the UK where, against a background of conflicting reports on asthma prevalence rates but similar severity of acute episodes, higher hospital admission rates have been observed in Asian subjects (Indian subcontinent, ISC) than in white European (W/E) subjects. It is possible that, for the ISC group who frequently live in socioeconomically deprived inner city areas, the poor accessibility to preventative health care resulting from language or communication difficulties may further complicate variable cultural attitudes to asthma as a chronic disease or its management with prophylactic treatment.

A study was undertaken from primary care registers to document the level of monitoring or follow up and preventative health care amongst W/E and ISC asthmatic subjects aged 11–59 years. This was part of a prospective community study based in districts of high ethnicity and medium or high socioeconomic deprivation in inner city Birmingham (UK). The study was designed to look for any differences in asthma management between the W/E and ISC ethnic groups by quantifying the extent of any targeted education and treatment programmes reaching these groups, and assessing the chronic nature of the disease or its severity using objective measures of airflow obstruction and current levels of drug prescription.

Methods

STUDY POPULATION

Birmingham has a population of approximately 1.1 million of whom 27.2% live in districts with medium or high socioeconomic deprivation (1991 National Jarman index >40). Information from the 1991 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys showed that ethnic minority groups, whether born in the UK or not, made up 21.5% of the population, were mainly ISC (representing most of the non-English speaking) or black Afro-Caribbean (AFC), and that 57.3% lived in seven of the
Table 1  Recruitment and subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>W/E</th>
<th>ISC</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. (%) smokers</td>
<td>77 (50)</td>
<td>63 (34)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. (%) ex-smokers</td>
<td>102 (53)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.7 (15)</td>
<td>29.7 (16)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age onset (years)</td>
<td>18.4 (17)</td>
<td>18.0 (15)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) peak flow (l/min)</td>
<td>2.91 (1.0)</td>
<td>2.60 (0.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) % predicted FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>81.0 (21.8)</td>
<td>78.1 (21.5)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) FEV&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; (l)</td>
<td>439.3 (125.7)</td>
<td>431.9 (113.1)</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) % predicted peak flow</td>
<td>85.9 (22.1)</td>
<td>92.7 (21.4)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W/E = white European; ISC = Indian subcontinent; p values of <0.05 considered significant.

City's 39 electoral wards, geographically representing the inner city.

STUDY SUBJECTS AND DESIGN

All the participating general practices were within a 2.5 mile radius of the City Hospital in Birmingham. To avoid a bias in selection, all asthmatic subjects aged 11–59 years previously diagnosed as having asthma who were from either W/E or ISC ethnic groups and registered with any of 12 participating inner city general practices (six single handed) were requested by appointment letter(s) to attend their usual primary health care premises for review by one researcher (HM). Another two single handed general practices were also approached but declined involvement. Asthmatic subjects who were outside the age range or from other minority groups (mainly AFC and some mixed ethnicity) and a small number of asthmatics from the ISC group who could not communicate orally in English, Punjabi, Urdu, or Hindi were excluded. Permission for the study was obtained from the local ethics committees.

Demographic, socioeconomic, lifestyle, and illness profile data were collected but the focus of this study was primarily on asthma education and self management elements. Subjects were interviewed in their preferred language (as above) by the same researcher (HM) who read out questions in a structured way and recorded outcomes from free form responses. An objective confirmation of responses was sought and was possible for most aspects of self reported asthma knowledge including triggers, mechanisms and medications, but not for some other important aspects of management and, especially, the self reported drug compliance. In assessing asthma knowledge further clarification was necessary when determining whether subjects understood the role of their medications; they were considered knowledgeable if able to describe the appropriate “preventer” or “reliever” action of their medications and when to take them rather than simply being able to quote the terminology used.

Objective measures of airflow obstruction (by design at least six hours after the last bronchodilator) were made by mini Wright peak flow meter and a portable hand held spirometer (Medical International Research SPIROBANK) which also computed predicted values for sex, age, and height for white European subjects. A further adjustment with a 10% reduction in predicted values was made for the ISC ethnic group as recommended.

Current levels of drug prescription were assessed against the 1993 British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive and comparative analyses were made by standard computational methods using the Statistics Package for Social Sciences.
(SPSS/PC+ Base System) version 5.0 and Epi Info version 5. Because of the age and sex differences between the comparative ethnic groups, categorical data were analysed separately for men (M) and women (F) comparing, when appropriate, by $\chi^2$, $\chi^2$ for linear trend, or Mantel-Haenszel (MH) summary $\chi^2$ stratified by six year age groups from 11 to 59 years. For important results specifically relating to asthma management, the crude odds ratios (OR) and the MH weighted odds ratios are quoted along with the Cornfield 95% confidence intervals (CI). Reported p values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Over a one year period to August 1996, 689 (57%) of 1217 asthmatic subjects (715 W/E, 502 ISC) attended for review; 345 of mean (SD) age 36.5 (15) years (range 11–59) were W/E and 344 of mean age 32.6 (16) years (range 11–59) were from the ISC. Of the self defined ISC ethnic group, 144 (42%) were non-UK born (mainly immigrants from the Indian subcontinent but also some from East and Central African countries), 117 (34%) spoke no or very little English, and 31 (9%) had never attended school. By contrast, 8% of the W/E group were non-UK born (mainly Irish) and all could speak English. There was a better response rate to the study from asthmatics who were slightly older with a mean (SD) age of 34.5 (15) vs 29.8 (13) years ($p < 0.0001$), from women than from men (60.5% vs 53.1%, $p = 0.009$), and from the ISC ethnic group than the W/E group (68.5% vs 48.3%, $p < 0.0001$).

Summary data characterising the W/E and ISC study subjects by sex and ethnic groups are shown in table 1. The age at onset of disease was not significantly different between the two groups for either sex. More of the W/E group reported a smoking history with the difference being significant only for the women. Mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) was 80% predicted for both groups when adjusted for factors including ethnicity and, when analysed separately, percentage predicted values for both FEV1 and peak flow were significantly lower for W/E men than for ISC men.

The uptake and delivery of different aspects of asthma health care and outcomes relating to knowledge and management are summarised in table 2. A moderately high percentage of asthmatic subjects from both ethnic groups and both sexes reported previous asthma education; for the ISC group this included 93/144 (65%) of the non-UK born and 130/200 (65%) of the UK born asthmatics (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.42, $p = 0.971$). There was a considerable difference in mean (SD) age between English speaking and non-English ISC asthmatic subjects (23.3 (11.9) and 46.4 (10.0) years, respectively, $p<0.0001$). These groups were not compared directly in the analysis.

Specifically addressing educational content, a relatively high percentage from both ethnic groups reported assessment of delivery techniques, but fewer were taught about other aspects including medications and there were other significant differences between the groups with fewer in the ISC group being informed about trigger factors, mechanisms and recognition of symptoms, or prescribed peak flow meters. Significant differences were noted between the two groups (W/E > ISC) when considering self reported drug compliance (men), understanding the role of medications (women), and the level of self management initiatives (both sexes). When re-analysing only those subjects below 45 years of age (63.2% of W/E group and 70.6% of ISC group), the same differences between ethnic groups were found with the exception of carrying of rescue β2 inhalers where the differences were no longer significant for either men ($p = 0.081$) or women ($p = 0.084$).

Comparing current levels of asthma prescriptions against the 1993 BTS steps showed that 95% of subjects of both sexes and from both ethnic groups were on steps 1 to 3 (full data not included) with the majority being prescribed anti-inflammatory asthma medications (usually inhaled corticosteroids) as in table 2. Analysing all five steps for trends in prescribing, there were no significant differences between the two groups.

Emergency secondary health care during acute exacerbations of asthma and the current use of primary and secondary health care preventative or follow up measures are summarised in table 3. There were no significant differences between the two groups for either sex in relation to previous attendance at accident and emergency (A&E) departments. When previous asthma related admissions in the two groups were compared there was a significant difference amongst the women (ISC >
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Discussion

This study has provided new data identifying the level of uptake and delivery of preventative health care specific to W/E and ISC asthmatic subjects living in the same areas of high ethnicity and socioeconomic deprivation. By studying asthmatics in the community, we are able to report on subjects across the whole range of severity of asthma and, similarly, by addressing the ISC ethnic group in the patient preferred dialect we have shown important ethnic differences in asthma management. A large percentage of the ISC group were non-UK born and non-English speaking, but a similar proportion of the UK born ISC group (not adjusted for age or sex) reported previous asthma education. More specifically, although similar proportions in both groups reported receiving asthma education, the recognition of trigger factors and symptoms and subsequent self-management skills differed significantly.

The low uptake among ethnic minority groups of some screening and preventative health care strategies, as well as the higher rates of drug prescription, may in part result from differing attitudes to disease and its management or compliance with prophylactic medications, as well as representing difficulties in communication. Language barriers cannot be overlooked in a condition such as asthma where patient education and preventative measures have been shown to make an impact. Our previous postal questionnaire with a 68.5% response rate to assess the development of primary care asthma provisions in all areas of Birmingham in 1995 highlighted that, in responding general practices with exclusively Caucasian doctors or nurses, only 14 of 72 (19.4%) had interpreters (usually other non-medical staff) to help overcome language barriers. However, as many inner city ISC groups traditionally register with practices whose doctors and/or nurses are able to communicate in the same patient preferred language, or attend with family or friends able to translate for them, the existing inequalities in asthma knowledge and management shown in this study may represent both a failure in delivery of educational preventative health care and the reduced uptake by patients because of continued language barriers.

Some of the elements of asthma management highlighted require further discussion. As this study has shown differences in the content of what is actually being taught, more attention should be paid to content when developing future asthma education programmes and consideration given to the format adopted. This study has found a greater emphasis for both ethnic groups on achieving appropriate prescription and assessment of delivery techniques, both of which have previously been shown to make a difference, and this compares with the lower levels of self-management initiatives where the benefits in all except the very severe asthma are uncertain. Additionally, although patients like to be informed about self-management, it is suggested that many do not want to take full responsibility for their condition, particularly when ill, and their knowledge can only at best be a guide to subsequent behaviour. In addition to economic status and literacy level which may prove to be barriers to education, studies have also shown that psychological and interpersonal problems and attitudes to their doctor’s advice affect how well asthmatic patients follow medical advice.

The measures of lung function reported here are probably as expected in the community where most asthmatic subjects are said to have mild to moderate disease. The higher percentage predicted FEV1 for the men in the ISC group is to be expected as proportionately more of the W/E subjects reported a previous smoking history. Importantly, however, the percentage predicted FEV1 for the women in both groups was not significantly different, which is more surprising as 95% of the women in the ISC group were never smokers; chronic poor control of inflammation in the airways may be a contributory factor in these women and this is also suggested historically as more of them reported previous asthma related hospital admissions.

Similar proportions from both ethnic groups were being followed up in the community but significantly more of the ISC group were followed up by hospitals. It may be argued that the lower hospital follow up of the W/E group represented a better discrimination than in the community between the subjects who may have chronic bronchitis rather than asthma, particularly in view of the reported smoking association; however, against this suggestion is possibly the relatively preserved lung function as assessed by percentage predicted values for FEV1, or peak flow. Additionally, the current level (BTS steps) of asthma medications, which may be a surrogate measure of disease severity, did not differ between the two groups. Although we have reported previous hospital admissions and attendance at A&E departments, this refers to events over the duration of the disease rather than an incidence rate and does not attempt to quantify differentially for re-admissions or multiple attendance. Hospital admissions from socioeconomically deprived areas are more likely to be directly via presentation at the A&E department than referral by the general practitioner.

We recognise that the methods used in this study are open to response bias and in, the comparative analysis of data, have attempted to adjust for age and sex differences, but have not specifically allowed for differences between ethnic groups in reported smoking history or for the better response rate to the study by the ISC group. Although the latter, however, subsequent replies to a postal questionnaire from those who failed to attend this study suggested that most had continuing self...
reported asthma morbidity (symptoms) with similar levels in the two ethnic groups.

This study has been restricted to the 11–59 year old group and may fail to detect important differences at the extremes of age. This may be relevant for younger children where reported admission rates have risen most dramatically30 and for whom asthma prevalence rates are most frequently reported,31 as well as for the older age groups where the language and cultural differences may be expected to have a greater impact. By design we opted for the lower age cut off of 11 years as this was considered an appropriate age after which study subjects could reasonably be expected to be independent of direct questioning about their asthma history or management. The upper age cut off of 59 years was set in an attempt to avoid diagnostic transfer from other forms of airflow obstruction, but in view of the high percentage reporting a smoking history and any concern about diagnostic transfer, even at this age limit, results from table 1 were re-analysed for subjects aged below 45 years and confirmed almost the same differences between ethnic groups.

Thus, by comparing the management of the indigenous W/E group with that of an ISC group from the same district of socioeconomic deprivation, we have been able to define differences specifically due to ethnicity. Whilst the study did not specifically examine the reported strong association between deprivation and continued morbidity from asthma, some of the results presented here for both ethnic groups, including the differences highlighted, may be specific to deprived areas. A relatively high percentage from both groups reported previous asthma assessment and education, but with more emphasis on appropriate prescription, delivery techniques, and compliance rather than developing patient knowledge on actual symptom recognition, role of the medications, and self-management initiatives. Many of these features were more noticeably lacking for the ISC group (both sexes) and probably represent a failure of delivery and patient uptake of preventive health care. As previously suggested, cultural attitudes10–12 and the differing educational and language skills may be contributory. Which of the inequalities in asthma management shown directly influences admission rates or can be specifically addressed by educational programmes based in the relevant ethnic dialect requires further assessment by prospective intervention studies. In addition, whether any of the findings can be more generalised to ethnic minority populations elsewhere also requires continued research.
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