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Abstract

Background—Some previous reports have indicated beneficial cardiac effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure (NCPAP) in patients with severe congestive heart failure (CHF), but others have reported deleterious cardiac effects, particularly among patients in atrial fibrillation (AF). The aim of this study was to determine if differences in cardiac rhythm influence the acute cardiac response to NCPAP.

Methods—Eleven consecutive patients with CHF were recruited, six in atrial fibrillation (AF) and five with sinus rhythm (SR). Cardiac index was measured during awake NCPAP application by the thermodilution technique during cardiac catheterisation. NCPAP was applied in a randomised sequence at pressures of 0, 5, and 10 cm H2O with three 30 minute applications separated by 20 minute recovery periods without NCPAP.

Results—Significant differences were found between the AF and SR groups for cardiac index responses to NCPAP (p = 0.004, ANOVA) with a fall in cardiac index in the AF group (p = 0.02) and a trend towards an increase in the SR group (p = 0.10). Similar differences were seen between the groups in stroke volume index responses but not in heart rate responses. Changes in systemic vascular resistance were also significantly different between the two groups (p< 0.005, ANOVA), rising in the AF group but falling in the SR group.

Conclusions—These data indicate an important effect of underlying cardiac rhythm on the awake haemodynamic effects of NCPAP in patients with CHF.
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Congestive heart failure (CHF) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality, and the complication of Cheyne Stokes respiration (CSR) appears to be associated with a particularly poor prognosis.1–3 Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) has been reported to reduce left ventricular transmural pressure in CHF with possible beneficial effects on cardiac output.4–6 These findings suggest that CPAP may benefit some patients with CHF and, indeed, several studies have reported benefits from CPAP administered via face mask to some patients with acute pulmonary oedema7–10 or before cardiac transplantation in patients with severe CHF.11 Nasal CPAP has also been reported to improve cardiac function in patients with stable severe CHF who are in sinus rhythm (SR),12 13 particularly where there is associated sleep disordered breathing.4 14 However, other reports have not found beneficial effects of NCPAP in CHF15 16 and, in particular, a previous study from this department17 has reported detrimental effects of NCPAP applied during wakefulness among CHF patients in atrial fibrillation (AF). These reports suggest that AF may be an important factor in determining the haemodynamic response to NCPAP in patients with CHF. This question has important clinical relevance since AF is a common rhythm disturbance in patients with CHF. Furthermore, sleep apnoea is also common in patients with CHF, either central or obstructive,4 and NCPAP may be a potential treatment modality in such patients.

The present study was specifically designed to compare clinical and haemodynamic responses to NCPAP in awake patients with CHF who were either in SR or AF, and the primary focus was to determine whether there is a different cardiac response to NCPAP between the SR and AF groups. A secondary aim was to obtain further information on patients with AF using a placebo control and different levels of NCPAP.

Methods

PATIENT SELECTION

Eleven consecutive patients with severe stable CHF were recruited from the patient population attending the Cardiology Service at St Vincent’s Hospital. Patients fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: age under 75 years, New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification stage III or IV, and left ventricular ejection fractions on echocardiography or multiple gated acquisition (MUGA) radionuclide scanning of less than or equal to 40%. Patients were required to be clinically stable with no recent acute cardiac event (such as myocardial ischaemia, infarction or acute pulmonary oedema) in the preceding month and no change in medications during the seven days before recruitment. Medical treatment included digoxin, loop diuretics, and vasodilators, including angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and nitrates. Patients with primary valvu-
lar heart disease including significant tricuspid regurgitation were excluded, as were patients with significant primary pulmonary disease and those unable to tolerate nasal CPAP. All patients had detailed pulmonary function testing (TT Autolink, PK Morgan, Gillingham, UK) and resting arterial blood gas measurements by radial artery puncture.

INVASIVE MONITORING

Medications likely to affect haemodynamic parameters during the course of the study were withheld on the study day—namely, ACE inhibitors, loop diuretics, nitrate preparations, and calcium channel antagonists. All patients gave fully informed written consent and the protocol received prior approval from the hospital ethics committee.

A 7 Fr gauge, four lumen, flow directed thermodilution catheter (heparin-coated Multiplex TD thermodilution catheter; Abbott Critical Care Systems, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was inserted via the right femoral vein into the pulmonary circulation and positioned in a branch of the pulmonary artery. After one hour’s rest baseline readings were recorded and included right atrial pressure (RAP), pulmonary artery pressure (PAP), and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) at end expiration, in addition to heart rate (HR) and systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures. Cardiac output (CO) and stroke volume (SV) were measured by the thermodilution technique using 10 ml of 5% dextrose solution cooled to 0°C, taking the mean of five readings. Cardiac index (CI) and stroke volume index (SVI) were calculated from CO and SV by adjusting for body surface area. Systemic vascular resistance (SVR) and pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) were also calculated using standard formulae.14 Arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂) was monitored by pulse oximetry (Biox 3700; Ohmeda, Louisville, Colorado, USA).

NASAL CPAP APPLICATION

Following baseline readings, nasal CPAP (Sullivan APD III™; ResMed, Sydney, Australia) was applied for 30 minutes using a comfortably fitting nasal mask at three different pressure levels (0, 5, and 10 cm H₂O) applied in random order according to a computer generated randomisation sequence. Zero pressure was applied by fitting the nasal mask without attaching the connecting tubing. Pressure levels were confirmed using a water manometer attached to the NCPAP mask and the mask fitting was adjusted to prevent air leakage. Measurements were repeated at 30 minutes after which the mask was removed and the subject allowed 20 minutes of recovery, after which the same measurements were again repeated.

Patients were positioned on one pillow at 30 degrees inclination during the course of the study. They were kept awake and under continuous observation by one of us (JK) to maintain mouth occlusion during CPAP appli-

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics of study patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Rhythm</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>FEV₁/FVC (% predicted)</th>
<th>Pao₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>BSA (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Medications</th>
<th>Aetiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JW</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>A, LD, Dz</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>A, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>61 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>96.2 (15.1)</td>
<td>11.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.98 (0.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>A, LD, D</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>A, LD, N</td>
<td>DCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.8 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>102 (12.7)</td>
<td>12.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rhythm indicates the cardiac rhythm present; AF = atrial fibrillation; SR = sinus rhythm; BSA = body surface area; PCWP = pulmonary capillary wedge pressure at baseline; A = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, LD = loop diuretic, D = digoxin, N = oral nitrate, Dz = diltiazem; DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy, ICM = ischaemic cardiomyopathy.

### Table 2 Baseline haemodynamic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Rhythm</th>
<th>CI (l/min/m²)</th>
<th>LVEF (%)</th>
<th>SVI (ml/m²)</th>
<th>HR (bpm)</th>
<th>SBP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>DBP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>PAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>PCWP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>RAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>SVR (dyn.s/cm⁶)</th>
<th>PVR (dyn.s/cm⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JW</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2158</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-2030</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99 (0.22)</td>
<td>31.0 (6.9)</td>
<td>67 (17)</td>
<td>119 (16)</td>
<td>73 (3)</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>1764 (280)</td>
<td>182 (68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.26 (0.55)</td>
<td>32.0 (12.6)</td>
<td>75 (17)</td>
<td>133 (29)</td>
<td>81 (13)</td>
<td>22 (5)</td>
<td>19 (8)</td>
<td>7 (6)</td>
<td>1830 (334)</td>
<td>238 (172)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF = atrial fibrillation; SR = sinus rhythm; CI = cardiac index; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; SVI = stroke volume index; HR = heart rate; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; PAP = mean pulmonary artery pressure; PCWP = pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; RAP = mean right atrial pressure; SVR = systemic vascular resistance; PVR = peripheral vascular resistance. Values are given as mean (SD).
The baseline clinical characteristics and baseline haemodynamic measurements of the two groups of patients (AF and SR) were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Between group responses to the effects of the three NCPAP levels were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures between groups (two way interaction) and Friedman ANOVA within groups, where appropriate. Post hoc analysis was performed using the Student-Neuman-Keuls (SNK) test. All analyses were performed with a statistical package (Statistica for Windows version 4.5, StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). A p value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data are presented as mean (SD).

Results

The baseline characteristics of the total population of 11 patients are reported in tables 1 and 2 and show no significant differences between the AF and SR groups in anthropometric or baseline haemodynamic measurements. Medical therapy included digoxin, loop diuretics, and vasodilators, including angiotensin II converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and nitrates, and were broadly similar, although more patients with AF were taking digoxin. Table 3 details the cardiovascular effects of NCPAP in the two groups and are expressed as the difference between the baseline reading and the value at 30 minutes of continuous NCPAP application at each pressure level applied.

The differences between the two groups in CI responses to NCPAP application were highly significant (p = 0.004 by ANOVA) and post hoc analysis by the SNK test confirmed a significant difference (p = 0.007) in CI response at 10 cm H2O NCPAP between the AF and SR groups. These data indicate a significant decrease in CO and CI among patients with AF with increasing pressure levels (p = 0.02) but, in patients with SR, a trend towards an increase (p = 0.10) was observed. Individual patient data detailing the effects of NCPAP on CI are given in fig 1. Neither baseline cardiac index nor PCWP predicted the CI response to NCPAP. In particular, analysis of the haemodynamic response to NCPAP on the basis of high (>12 mm Hg) versus low baseline PCWP did not reveal any significant differences in CI responses either between the groups or within each group compared with placebo, irrespective of cardiac rhythm.

There was also a significant difference in SVI response to NCPAP between the AF and SR groups (p = 0.01, ANOVA). Nasal CPAP led to a significant fall in SVI in patients with AF (p<0.05) but there was a trend towards a rise (p = 0.08) in patients with SR (table 3). No significant differences were seen in HR with increasing pressure levels, either between the AF and SR groups or within each group.

Changes in SVR with NCPAP were significantly different between the two groups.
and mean arterial pressure (MAP; r = 0.62, p<0.05, ANOVA). Post hoc analysis did not demonstrate within group differences to be statistically significant, although the difference in SBP responses to 10 cm H_2O between AF and SR approached statistical significance (p = 0.06) with SBP tending to rise in the AF group while tending to fall in the SR group. Using the percentage change in CI from baseline to 30 minutes of 10 cm H_2O NCPAP to indicate haemodynamic change, significant positive correlation was found for baseline SBP (r = 0.61, p<0.05), DBP (r = 0.75, p<0.01) and mean arterial pressure (MAP; r = 0.62, p<0.05), suggesting that higher baseline blood pressure levels are associated with a greater rise in CI from baseline during NCPAP application. However, no statistical differences were noted between the AF and SR groups for SBP or DBP at baseline. There were no significant differences in responses between the AF and SR groups in RAP, PAP, PCWP and calculated PVR during NCPAP application (table 3). No significant differences were noted between the AF and SR groups for SBP or DBP at baseline. There were no significant differences in responses between the AF and SR groups in RAP, PAP, PCWP and calculated PVR during NCPAP application (table 3). No significant changes in CI in the two groups. In addition to reducing venous return to the right heart, NCPAP may also induce flattening and leftward shift of the interventricular septum and reduction in left ventricular volume, with possible adverse effects on left ventricular compliance. The above mechanisms tend to reduce left ventricular end diastolic volume and thus prevent contractility normal, a reduction in preload decreases left ventricular ejection by the Frank-Starling mechanism. As a result, the normal heart is considered to be preload dependent and normal subjects experience a fall in CO with NCPAP. In contrast, patients with heart failure CO appears to be more responsive to changes in afterload as left ventricular contractility is reduced and may be relatively unresponsive to changes in diastolic volume. NCPAP increases the functional residual capacity of the lung and the increase in lung volume may contribute to an increase in cardiac surface pressure by compressive effects on the cardiac fossa with a resultant decrease in left ventricular transmural pressure and afterload. In addition, by reducing upper airway resistance, CPAP could reduce inspiratory pleural pressure swings with consequent effects on left ventricular afterload. It has been claimed that the negative effects of a reduction in preload in patients with CHF may be outweighed by the beneficial effects of a reduction in left ventricular afterload with consequent improvement in CI. The reported finding of an increased CI with NCPAP application in patients with SR, could therefore be explained by this mechanism.

In contrast, our patients in AF experienced a fall in CI with NCPAP application which resulted from a change in SVI, not HR. The mechanism of this fall in SVI is unclear since the patients had a similar degree of heart failure as the SR group with no significant difference in medication or haemodynamic variables. However, several possible mechanisms could be considered. First, diastolic filling of the ventricles in the failing heart is significantly augmented by atrial contraction. This atrial contribution is lost in patients with AF and could possibly make them more susceptible to
the deleterious effects of NCPAP on preload. Secondly, in AF the varying heart rate implies that ventricular filling and emptying will vary on a beat-to-beat basis. Overall, this may make patients with AF more susceptible to the effects of reduced preload, with only a proportion of beats benefiting from any reduction in afterload, and the balance may favour a fall in CI. Finally, neurohumoral differences may exist between AF and SR patients with CHF. Patients with AF have higher levels of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) which may render them relatively salt and water depleted, and thus more susceptible to the effects of a reduction in preload.

We did not measure intrathoracic pressure directly with an oesophageal balloon for patient comfort and therefore cannot definitively state that the full CPAP pressure was delivered to the intrathoracic cavity. However, Montner and co-workers have previously shown that the full nasal CPAP pressure is transmitted to the thorax when the mouth is kept closed, as was the case in our patients.

Although our methodology does not allow us to draw definite conclusions, our data are consistent with the notion that the observed changes in SVR and BP with NCPAP represent compensatory vascular responses to the changes in CO, with SVR and BP falling in SR patients in association with increased CI, and rising in AF patients to compensate for the fall in CI. While it could be argued that the changes in CI might be secondary to the changes in SVR and BP, the mechanism for this occurring is not easily explained and we regard this as most unlikely for several reasons. Firstly, NCPAP produces pressure changes within the thorax and would not be expected to have a direct impact on either SVR or BP. Secondly, we cannot find any plausible reason why NCPAP should have opposite direct effects on either SVR or BP in patients with AF and SR.

We also speculate that the significant correlation between baseline blood pressure levels (SBP, DBP and MAP) and the change in CI on 10 cm H₂O NCPAP among the overall patient population may indicate that reducing left ventricular afterload from higher levels with NCPAP might have proportionately larger beneficial effects on cardiac performance. However, further prospective studies would be required to confirm these relationships.

The values obtained for RAP, PA pressures, and PCWP do not take into account changes in transmural pressure with NCPAP. However, if mask pressure was subtracted from the observed readings, no significant differences in the responses were found between the SR and AF groups during NCPAP. Some previous studies have suggested that NCPAP is particularly likely to benefit CHF patients with raised PCWP. However, in keeping with other reports, we found no significant relationship between baseline PCWP and changes in CO with NCPAP application, irrespective of cardiac rhythm.

We recognise from previous reports that specific subgroups of patients with CHF may benefit from NCPAP. However, the present findings suggest that the presence of AF should make one cautious about considering CPAP as a potential treatment in CHF patients with AF. This study represents an investigation of the acute haemodynamic effects of NCPAP during wakefulness and caution must be exercised in extrapolating these findings to more long term effects of NCPAP during sleep in such patients. However, the present data do at least indicate that further studies are required to assess the haemodynamic effects of NCPAP during sleep in CHF patients with AF.

In conclusion, we found a clear effect of underlying cardiac rhythm, SR or AF, on the acute haemodynamic effects of NCPAP in patients with CHF which could not be explained by other factors. Our data suggest that therapeutic trials of NCPAP in CHF patients with AF should be undertaken with extra caution, with close surveillance of individual patient responses to this treatment.
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