Influence of excessive weight loss after gastroplasty for morbid obesity on respiratory muscle performance
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Abstract

Background – Morbidly obese subjects are known to have impaired respiratory function and inefficient respiratory muscles. A study was undertaken to investigate the influence of excessive weight loss on pulmonary and respiratory muscle function in morbidly obese individuals who underwent gastroplasty to induce weight loss.

Methods – Twenty-one obese individuals with mean (SE) body mass index (BMI) 41.5 (4.5) kg/m² without overt obstructive airways disease (FEV₁/FVC ratio >80%) were studied before and six months after vertical banded gastroplasty. Only patients who had lost at least 20% of baseline BMI were included in the study. Standard pulmonary function tests and respiratory muscle strength and endurance were measured.

Results – Before operation the predominant abnormalities in respiratory function were significant reductions in lung volumes and respiratory muscle endurance and, to a lesser degree, reductions in respiratory muscle strength. All parameters increased towards normal values after weight loss with significant increases in functional residual capacity (FRC) from 84.0 (2.2) to 91.3 (2.5)% of predicted normal values (mean difference 7.3, 95% confidence interval of difference (CI) 4.2 to 10.5), total lung capacity (TLC) from 85.6 (3.0) to 93.5 (3.7)% of predicted normal values (mean difference 7.9, 95% CI 4.5 to 11.5), residual volume (RV) from 86.7 (3.1) to 96.4 (3.0)% of predicted normal values (mean difference 9.7, 95% CI 5.2 to 14.1), expiratory reserve volume (ERV) from 76.6 (3.0) to 89.0 (3.4)% of predicted normal values (mean difference 12.4, 95% CI 6.3 to 18.9), respiratory muscle strength: Pimax from 92 (4.4) to 113 (4.6) cm H₂O (mean difference 21, 95% CI 12.2 to 31.6), Ptimax from 144 (5.6) to 166 (4.3) cm H₂O (mean difference 22, 95% CI 12.9 to 32.0), and endurance: PmPeak/Pimax from 56 (1.4) to 69 (2.0)% (mean difference 13, 95% CI 9.7 to 16.9). The strongest correlation was between weight loss and the improvement in respiratory muscle endurance.

Conclusions – Lung volumes and respiratory muscle performance are decreased in obese individuals. Weight loss following gastroplasty is associated with improvement in lung volumes and respiratory muscle function. (Thorax 1998;53:39–42)
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Methods

Twenty-one otherwise healthy obese patients of mean (SE) age 41 (2.1) years (range 25–52) and mean body mass index (BMI) 41.5 (4.5) kg/m² were studied before and six months after banded gastroplasty performed to induce weight loss. BMI was calculated as body weight/height². Only patients with BMI >33 kg/m² before surgery and who had lost at least 20% of their BMI six months after the operation were recruited for the study. Patients with an FEV₁/FVC ratio <80% were excluded from
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Table 1  Mean (SE) spirometric parameters in obese subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (% pred)</td>
<td>75.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>84.6 (4.3)**</td>
<td>9.0 (6.4 to 11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (% pred)</td>
<td>83.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>86.3 (4.5)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.4 to 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>81.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>83.0 (3.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.9 to 1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC=forced vital capacity; FEV1=forced expiratory volume in one second.
** Statistically significant.

Table 2  Mean (SE) lung volumes in obese subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLC (% pred)</td>
<td>85.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>93.5 (3.7)**</td>
<td>7.9 (4.5 to 11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRC (% pred)</td>
<td>84.0 (2.2)</td>
<td>91.3 (2.5)**</td>
<td>7.3 (4.2 to 10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (% pred)</td>
<td>86.7 (3.1)</td>
<td>96.4 (3.0)**</td>
<td>9.7 (5.2 to 14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERV (% pred)</td>
<td>76.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>89.0 (3.4)**</td>
<td>12.4 (6.3 to 18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV/TLC (% pred)</td>
<td>102.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>106.8 (4.0)</td>
<td>4.5 (3.1 to 6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLC=total lung capacity; FRC=functional residual capacity; RV=residual volume; ERV=expiratory reserve volume.
** Statistically significant.

Table 1  Mean (SE) spirometric parameters in obese subjects

Table 2  Mean (SE) lung volumes in obese subjects

the study. No patient was hypoxic before the operation.

MEASUREMENTS

Spirometric parameters
Forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) were measured three times on a computerised spirometer hooked to an X-Y recorder and the best trial recorded.

Respiratory muscle strength
Respiratory muscle strength was assessed by measuring the maximal inspiratory mouth pressure (PmPeak) and expiratory pressure (PmMax) at residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC), respectively, as previously described by Black and Hyatt.13 The value obtained from the best of at least three efforts was used.

Respiratory muscle endurance
To determine inspiratory muscle endurance, a device similar to that proposed by Nickerson and Keens14 was used. Subjects inspired through a two way Hans-Rudolph valve whose inspiratory port was connected to a chamber and plunger to which weights could be added externally. Inspiratory elastic work was then increased by the progressive addition of 25–100 g weights at two minute intervals as previously described by Martyn and co-workers16 until the subjects were exhausted and could no longer open the valve and inspire through it. The pressure achieved with the heaviest load (tolerated for at least 60 seconds) was defined as the peak pressure (PmPeak). The respiratory muscle endurance was defined as the ratio between the PmPeak and the PmMax at absolute %. The endurance after surgery was measured as the ratio between the new PmPeak and the new PmMax so it actually measured the patient’s new respiratory muscle endurance. Because the threshold device is independent of flow, the same pressure has to be generated by each patient in order to be able to inspire through it, and is independent of the pattern of breathing adopted by the patient.

To avoid motivation and learning effects on the results of the respiratory muscle performance tests, each patient was trained in performing the tests several times before entering the study until the results were reproducible for at least three trials.

Lung volumes
FRC was measured in a variable pressure constant volume body plethysmograph using a standard technique.17 TLC was obtained by adding inspiratory capacity (IC) to FRC, RV was obtained by subtracting FVC from the TLC, and ERV was obtained by subtracting RV from the FRC.

DATA ANALYSIS

Differences in variables before and after surgery were compared using paired t tests. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results
The mean (SE) BMI was 41.5 (1.3) kg/m² before surgery and was significantly reduced to 31.7 (1.1) kg/m² six months after the operation (mean difference 9.8, 95% CI 7.8 to 11.7, p<0.0001) when the postoperative measurements were performed.

Spirometric parameters
The preoperative and postoperative parameters are shown in table 1. The baseline FVC was reduced while the FEV1/FVC ratio was within the normal range. Postoperatively there was a significant increase in FVC while the FEV1 and the FEV1/FVC ratio were not significantly changed.

Lung volumes
Lung volume measurements (table 2) revealed that TLC, FRC, ERV, and RV were significantly reduced before surgery. Postoperatively there was a significant increase in TLC (p<0.01), FRC (p<0.05), ERV (p<0.01),
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Respiratory muscle performance

The inspiratory and expiratory muscle strength as assessed by the \( P_{\text{Imax}} \) and \( P_{\text{Emax}} \) were slightly, although significantly, reduced (\( p<0.05 \)) compared with predicted normal values, as suggested by Black and Hyatt,\(^{14} \) while the inspiratory muscle endurance, assessed by the \( \text{PmPeak}/P_{\text{Imax}} \) ratio, was even more markedly reduced (\( p<0.001 \); table 3). Following weight loss there was a significant increase in respiratory muscle strength and endurance. The improvement in respiratory muscle performance correlated significantly with the weight loss following surgery and the strongest correlation was with the improvement in respiratory muscle endurance (\( p<0.001, R^2 = 0.71 \); fig 2).

Discussion

This study shows that the predominant abnormalities in respiratory function in obese patients are significant reductions in lung volumes and respiratory muscle endurance and less marked reductions in respiratory muscle strength. All parameters increased towards normal values after weight loss.

Respiratory function is determined by the interaction of the lungs, chest wall, and respiratory muscles. Obesity might therefore be expected to influence lung function through its effect on the chest wall and the respiratory muscles. Generally, the mechanical properties of the lungs are normal and the compliance of the chest wall is reduced.\(^2 \) In addition, Cherniack and associates\(^9 \) have shown inefficient respiratory muscles in obese individuals which may result from either reduced chest wall compliance or the lower lung volume at which ventilation takes place. \( P_{\text{Imax}} \) and \( P_{\text{Emax}} \) were also found to be lower than predicted in obese individuals.\(^13 \) Although respiratory muscle endurance was not measured as assessed by the \( P_{\text{Imax}} \) and \( P_{\text{Emax}} \) were slightly, although significantly, reduced in obese patients, the MVV, which is affected by the respiratory muscles,\(^18 \) has been found to be low\(^0 \) or normal.\(^8 \) Weight loss following either ileal bypass or gastroplasty for obesity has been found to increase VC, FRC, TLC, and ERV.\(^11 \)\(^12 \) Wadström and coworkers\(^13 \) reported that, despite a weight loss of 18% and increased lung volumes, their obese patients showed no significant change in respiratory muscle strength. However, it should be noted that the 18% weight loss was achieved after a mean of 78 days and other investigators\(^7 \) have reported a transitory decrease in muscle strength for several weeks after weight reduction. Wadström and coworkers probably performed their measurements immediately after this period, while our patients were studied six months after surgery and after having lost significantly more weight (mean 23.6%).

There is still some uncertainty with regard to the factors responsible for the improved respiratory endurance. The reduced chest wall compliance may partially increase following weight reduction. This, and the increase in lung volumes associated with weight loss, should lead to a reduction in respiratory muscle inefficiency in obese patients. Respiratory muscles become fatigued when an imbalance occurs between energy supply and energy de-

Table 3  Mean (SE) respiratory muscle performance in obese subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
<th>Mean difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{Imax}} ) (cm H(_2)O)</td>
<td>144 (5.9)</td>
<td>166 (4.3)**</td>
<td>22 (12.9 to 32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{Emax}} ) (cm H(_2)O)</td>
<td>92 (4.4)</td>
<td>113 (4.6)**</td>
<td>21 (12.2 to 31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{PmPeak}/P_{\text{Imax}} ) (%)</td>
<td>56 (1.4)</td>
<td>69 (2.0)**</td>
<td>13 (9.7 to 16.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( P_{\text{Imax}}, P_{\text{Emax}} = \text{maximum inspiratory and expiratory pressures}; \text{PmPeak} = \text{peak pressure}. \)

** Statistically significant.
mand. Energy demand decreases with improved chest wall compliance and increased lung volume, as occurs when patients lose weight, and fatigue of the respiratory muscles is delayed and improved endurance or MVV test should occur. On the other hand, energy supply, which may be increased by treating hypoxemia, is not relevant in our group of patients as none was hypoxic before surgery.

Lung volumes improved following weight loss. Increased lung volumes would explain an improvement in expiratory muscle function but not in inspiratory muscle function. An alternative possibility for the improvement in respiratory muscle performance is a change in the characteristics of the respiratory muscles following weight reduction. It has been shown previously that weight reduction is associated with an increase in isokinetic muscle endurance, probably due to an increase in glycogen synthase activity. However, this increased activity has not been shown in the respiratory muscles.

In summary, significant loss of body weight following gastroplasty in obese individuals caused significant increases in lung volumes and respiratory muscle performance. Weight loss, as a dependent variable, was best correlated with the improvement in respiratory muscle endurance.

Influence of excessive weight loss after gastroplasty for morbid obesity on respiratory muscle performance.

P Weiner, J Waizman, M Weiner, M Rabner, R Magadle and D Zamir

*Thorax* 1998 53: 39-42
doi: 10.1136/thx.53.1.39

Updated information and services can be found at: [http://thorax.bmj.com/content/53/1/39](http://thorax.bmj.com/content/53/1/39)

**Email alerting service**

These include:

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Topic Collections**

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Health education (1223)
- Obesity (public health) (197)
- Airway biology (1100)
- Lung function (773)

**Notes**

To request permissions go to: [http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions](http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions)

To order reprints go to: [http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform](http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform)

To subscribe to BMJ go to: [http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/](http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/)