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Introductory article

Simian virus 40 large T antigen (SV40LTAg) primer specific DNA amplification in human pleural mesothelioma tissue

C Pepper, B Jasani, H Navabi, D Wynford-Thomas, AR Gibbs

Background. DNA sequences and immunoreactivity associated with Simian virus 40 transforming factors, large T and small t antigens (SV40LTAg), suggestive of an aetiopathogenetic link have been identified in fresh frozen tissue of a high proportion of recent cases of pleural mesotheliomas from the United States, Italy and Germany. SV40 is not normally infective in man though it can transform human cells in tissue culture. A large cohort of people in the western world was accidentally parenterally inoculated with live SV40 through contaminated polio vaccines given between 1959 and 1961, and this might be a factor in the current continuing rise in the incidence of mesothelioma in the United States, Britain and Europe. The present study investigated the presence of SV40LTAg DNA in recently diagnosed cases of mesothelioma in Britain and the feasibility of detecting the SV40 DNA in archival tissue for retrospective analysis of cases in the peri-vaccination period.

Methods. DNA was extracted from fresh frozen and/or rehydrated formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue sections from nine recently diagnosed cases of mesothelioma, nine cases of pulmonary adenocarcinoma, and three reactive pleurae, and amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primer pairs used previously on fresh frozen tissues – namely, the SV primer set directed at the LTAg gene sequence unique to SV40 and the PYV primer set directed at a sequence shared by SV40 and papovavirus strains BK and JC, respectively.

Results. PCR positivity with the SV primer set was restricted to four of the nine cases of mesothelioma. In contrast, six of the nine mesotheliomas, two of the nine adenocarcinomas, and one of the three reactive pleurae showed positivity with the PYV primers. The fresh frozen and corresponding formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue results concorded well with each other.

Conclusions. Our data provide evidence for the association of SV40LTAg primer specific DNA with human pulmonary mesothelioma in the British population.
The introductory article has added to a growing body of evidence suggesting that Simian virus 40 (SV40) DNA can be identified in several types of human malignancy. The techniques used in the study by Pepper and colleagues were chosen to replicate previous work, and although they are not described in detail in their rapid communication, they can be largely inferred. The region of the viral genome under investigation codes for its large T antigen (TAg; Fig 2). It is well characterised and appropriate primer sequences for polymerase chain reactions (PCR) are available. PCR involves adding oligonucleotide “primer” sequences from two areas of the genome under investigation together with a DNA polymerase. The region of the gene between the two primer sequences is amplified, provided it is present in the parent sample. In this study the primers were expected to amplify a 105 base-pair sequence and which had previously been considered positive. However, when a portion of the amplified DNA was sequenced it was found to be identical to SV40 DNA. SV40 is a small double stranded DNA virus which begins at ORI (the origin) and proceeds in both directions.

SV40 and human cancer

The introductory article has added to a growing body of evidence suggesting that Simian virus 40 (SV40) DNA can be identified in several types of human malignancy. The techniques used in the study by Pepper and colleagues were chosen to replicate previous work, and although they are not described in detail in their rapid communication, they can be largely inferred. The region of the viral genome under investigation codes for its large T antigen (TAg; Fig 2). It is well characterised and appropriate primer sequences for polymerase chain reactions (PCR) are available. PCR involves adding oligonucleotide “primer” sequences from two areas of the genome under investigation together with a DNA polymerase. The region of the gene between the two primer sequences is amplified, provided it is present in the parent sample. In this study the primers were expected to amplify a 105 base-pair sequence and the reaction produced DNA fragments of approximately the correct length in four of nine mesotheliomas.

SV40 is a small double stranded DNA virus which causes asymptomatic infection in the kidneys of mink and monkeys. It belongs to the group of papovaviruses which include mouse polyomavirus, human BK and JC viruses, and papillomaviruses. BK and JC viruses (named after the subjects in whom they were identified) commonly cause asymptomatic human infection with 70-80% of adults being seropositive. They are structurally very similar to SV40 with 69% homology of their DNA. BK causes cystitis and nephritis in immunosuppressed patients and JC is associated with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. These papovaviruses share with adenoviruses (another DNA virus of vertebrates) a potent ability to induce tumours in species which are not their natural hosts. Papillomaviruses are associated with cervical cancer but there is, to date, no convincing evidence that other papovaviruses cause malignancy in humans.

SV40-like DNA was identified in human tumours in 1992 by Bergsagel and colleagues who were looking for JC- or BK-like DNA in childhood choroid plexus tumours and ependymomas. When PCR was performed with polyomavirus (PVY) primers under conditions of low stringency – that is, allowing DNA amplification despite some base-pair mismatching – amplification products of approximately the correct size were detected. However, when a portion of the amplified DNA was sequenced it was found to be identical to that of a region of SV40 TAg and different from the DNA of the JC and BK viruses in several respects. When the authors repeated the PCR under conditions of high stringency using SV40 primers they detected DNA which hybridised with a further SV40 oligonucleotide sequence in 20 of 31 of the tumours. Immunohistochemical staining revealed nuclear SV40 TAg in seven of 11 cases. Seventeen tumour samples were restudied blindly in a different laboratory using primers for three different parts of the SV40 genome and viral DNA was found in 14, including all eight which had previously been considered positive. The tumour DNA was transfected into monkey kidney cells and, in one case, produced what were regarded as typical viral cytopathic effects.

At around the same time SV40 was noted to induce mesotheliomas when inoculated into the pleural cavities of hamsters and so Carbone and coworkers extended Bergsagel’s observations with a study of human mesotheliomas. SV40-like DNA was identified in 29 of 48 tumours but in only one of 28 lung tissue samples from the same subjects, and in none of 48 other solid tumours. A 132 base-pair DNA segment which was sequenced showed only a single nucleotide difference from SV40 TAg DNA. Nuclear TAg was detected immunohistochemically in 11 of 14 tumours, and all of 26 serum samples contained anti-TAg antibodies. One further study identified SV40-like DNA in eight of 11 malignant mesotheliomas and in none of seven control samples.

Figure 1 UK asbestos imports (histogram) and predicted mesothelioma deaths for men born before 1953 ( Histogram) and for all men ( Histogram). Adapted with permission from Peto et al.

Figure 2 Structure of SV40 genome. Viral replication begins at ORI (the origin) and proceeds in both directions. The early antigens code anticlockwise and the late protein coat antigens code clockwise. Adapted with permission from Boozé.
with viral DNA. The mesothelioma data suggest that it is usually present, though that sometimes seems to be the case,17 whether it is truly incorporated into the tissue of origin of the tumour,11 whereas the presence of viral DNA in blood from patients with osteosarcomas suggests that it is more widely distributed.18 It is not known whether the entire viral genome is usually present, though that sometimes seems to be the case, or whether it is truly incorporated into the host genome. Incorporation of SV40 into host DNA occurs commonly in vitro but in human bone tumours the DNA appeared to be present in short sequences and might have been episomal.14 At this stage it seems clear that the observations of SV40 DNA in human tumours can neither be accepted without reservation nor dismissed, and that further work is necessary to refine and standardise the identification techniques and to explain the discrepancies. In the meantime, it is worth considering how Simian viral DNA might have come to be present in tumours and whether there are other clues suggesting that it might have an aetiologically significant role.

Table 1 Frequency of identification of SV40-like DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue type</th>
<th>SV40-like DNA</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesothelioma</td>
<td>9/34 (26%)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal brain</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal lung</td>
<td>1/9 (11%)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>4, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
<td>1/9 (11%)</td>
<td>6, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the other hand, Strickler and colleagues failed to replicate the results of Bergsagel and others, and failed to identify any amplified DNA in five of the ten samples. They suggested that the PCR primers amplified DNA sequences of approximately the correct length and did not demonstrate that they contained SV40-like sequences. However, the DNA sequence has been confirmed in other laboratories and it seems unlikely that the PCR primers are amplifying either JC or BK viruses or parts of the normal human genome. However, and despite the structural similarities with SV40, it is possible that the DNA originates from a previously unrecognised human virus. Contamination by laboratory SV40 strains is also possible as PCR is extremely sensitive to small amounts of extraneous DNA, and SV40 is a commonly used laboratory virus. The SV40-like DNA from some bone tumours was found to have an extra copy of a 72 base-pair repeat region which is found in laboratory strains but not in wild SV40.19 On the other hand, sequences identified in brain tumours matched more than one strain of wild virus and were not homologous with laboratory strains, and were thus not due to contamination. Laboratory contamination also does not readily explain the tissue specificity of the findings.

The amplification of extracted SV40 DNA appears to be highly reproducible between laboratories with discordant results having been reported in only 3% of samples, but the method of extraction of DNA from tissue samples does affect the identification rate and might explain interlaboratory differences.4 The laboratory which identified viral DNA in mesothelial tissue also found immunohistochemical evidence of viral protein production whereas other laboratories found neither, raising the possibility of geographical differences in viral prevalence.19 However, serological and immunohistochemical tests are not well characterised and the 100% identification of antibodies in one laboratory and the almost 0% identification in another suggests that the differences are technical.

Doubt also remains about the extent of tissue infection with viral DNA. The mesothelioma data suggest that it is localised to the tumour site but not in the normal tissue, whereas SV40 DNA was identified in the kidney cells of rhesus monkeys.20 The extent of contamination of vaccines administered before the introduction of polio vaccines in the Simian kidney cells and by the time its presence was recognised 62% of the USA population had been immunised with potentially infected vaccines. Although SV40 produced no cytopathic effects in the Simian kidney cells and by the time its presence was recognised 62% of the USA population had been immunised with potentially infected vaccines, it is not known whether the entire viral genome is usually present, though that sometimes seems to be the case, or whether it is truly incorporated into the host genome. Incorporation of SV40 into host DNA occurs commonly in vitro but in human bone tumours the DNA appeared to be present in short sequences and might have been episomal.14 At this stage it seems clear that the observations of SV40 DNA in human tumours can neither be accepted without reservation nor dismissed, and that further work is necessary to refine and standardise the identification techniques and to explain the discrepancies. In the meantime, it is worth considering how Simian viral DNA might have come to be present in tumours and whether there are other clues suggesting that it might have an aetiologically significant role.

Human exposure to SV40 and oncogenic properties

SV40 was identified in 1961 in the kidney cells of rhesus monkeys which were used from the early 1950s to culture poliovirus for the newly developed Salk enteral vaccine.21 SV40 produced no cytopathic effects in the Simian kidney cells and by the time its presence was recognised 62% of the USA population had been immunised with potentially infected vaccines.20,21 Although SV40 produced no cytopathic effects in the Simian kidney cells and by the time its presence was recognised 62% of the USA population had been immunised with potentially infected vaccines, it is not known whether the entire viral genome is usually present, though that sometimes seems to be the case, or whether it is truly incorporated into the host genome. Incorporation of SV40 into host DNA occurs commonly in vitro but in human bone tumours the DNA appeared to be present in short sequences and might have been episomal.14 At this stage it seems clear that the observations of SV40 DNA in human tumours can neither be accepted without reservation nor dismissed, and that further work is necessary to refine and standardise the identification techniques and to explain the discrepancies. In the meantime, it is worth considering how Simian viral DNA might have come to be present in tumours and whether there are other clues suggesting that it might have an aetiologically significant role.

M ost patients currently suffering from mesotheliomas are old enough to have received contaminated polio vaccines but that is not the case for the children with renal tumours in whom viral DNA was identified, and this suggests that there are other potential sources of infection. Support for this comes from the identification of SV40 antibodies in 2% of blood samples obtained before the introduction of polio vaccines, in 3% of schoolchildren born after vaccines were cleared of SV40, and in 4% of elderly patients with no history of polio vaccination.22 The significance of these serological studies is not entirely certain because of the possibility of cross reactions with anti-JC and anti-BK antibodies. Contact with monkeys can lead to infection but the range of natural SV40 host animals is narrow and animal contact cannot explain the background 2-5% seropositivity rate. The virus can replicate in the nose and intestinal tracts of human and can shed for several weeks after infection, but nothing is known about whether transmission between humans occurs.23 Shortly after its identification, SV40 was found to be highly oncogenic when injected into immature ham-
This observation caused considerable concern and several studies of vaccinated cohorts were established. Most showed no greater prevalence of tumours amongst immunised subjects, though one study did report an increased incidence of neural tumours amongst the offspring of mothers vaccinated during pregnancy.21 A more recent comparison of the cohort of infants most likely to have received contaminated polio vaccines with the next closest five year age group is reported to have confirmed the absence of any increased incidence of tumours including mesotheliomas,22 but binds and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor genes by exposure to asbestos.27 They did, however, to arrest of cell replication for a suitable period of time.29 Human cells are semi-permissive for SV40 and allow both viral replication and DNA incorporation into the host genome.

Several nuclear proteins are known to be bound and inactivated by viral early antigens such as SV40 large T antigen. The best characterised of these are p110, the protein product of the retinoblastoma gene, and p53. The retinoblastoma gene sited on the long arm of chromosome 13 is the prototypic tumour suppressor gene and is associated with retinoblastomas, osteosarcomas, and various other tumours, though not mesotheliomas.24 It is under-phosphorylated in the early phases of cell division and becomes progressively more phosphorylated as division progresses. It is thought that a reduction in the levels of hypophosphorylated p110 by SV40 Tag binding neutralises its growth arresting properties.27 The amino acid residues 105–115 which are required for SV40 binding to p110 are also essential for Tag to transform cells. SV40 Tag has the capacity to replicate in vivo, an essential characteristic of SV40 Tag in vivo. Tag binds to and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor gene products which usually have inhibitory influences on the enzymes necessary for DNA replication, it up-regulates the expression of insulin growth factor 1 which stimulates cell division, and has self-regulating properties. A small t antigen is produced by differential splicing of RNA of the same gene and has an important role in facilitating Tag effects.

Virus such as SV40 carry only a limited amount of genetic material and depend heavily on host cell functions for their replication. This requires them to subvert normal cellular mechanisms towards viral replication, and each virus has developed proteins which serve this function. They have structural similarities and are termed “early” antigens because of the timing of their expression during the infectious cycle. They are distinguished from “late” antigens which code for viral coat proteins. In the case of SV40, the large tumour antigen (large T-antigen or Tag) is the principal early protein. It is multifunctional and in “permissive” cells - that is, those which allow viral replication - it binds to viral DNA and in the presence of other cellular proteins unwinds it and allows replication.28 Tag also binds and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor gene products which normally have inhibitory influences on the enzymes necessary for DNA replication. 30 Tag regulates the expression of insulin growth factor 1 which stimulates cell division, and has self-regulating properties. A small t antigen is produced by differential splicing of RNA of the same gene and has an important role in facilitating Tag effects.

Virus such as SV40 carry only a limited amount of genetic material and depend heavily on host cell functions for their replication. This requires them to subvert normal cellular mechanisms towards viral replication, and each virus has developed proteins which serve this function. They have structural similarities and are termed “early” antigens because of the timing of their expression during the infectious cycle. They are distinguished from “late” antigens which code for viral coat proteins. In the case of SV40, the large tumour antigen (large T-antigen or Tag) is the principal early protein. It is multifunctional and in “permissive” cells - that is, those which allow viral replication - it binds to viral DNA and in the presence of other cellular proteins unwinds it and allows replication.28 Tag also binds and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor gene products which normally have inhibitory influences on the enzymes necessary for DNA replication. It up-regulates the expression of insulin growth factor 1 which stimulates cell division, and has self-regulating properties. A small t antigen is produced by differential splicing of RNA of the same gene and has an important role in facilitating Tag effects.

Virus such as SV40 carry only a limited amount of genetic material and depend heavily on host cell functions for their replication. This requires them to subvert normal cellular mechanisms towards viral replication, and each virus has developed proteins which serve this function. They have structural similarities and are termed “early” antigens because of the timing of their expression during the infectious cycle. They are distinguished from “late” antigens which code for viral coat proteins. In the case of SV40, the large tumour antigen (large T-antigen or Tag) is the principal early protein. It is multifunctional and in “permissive” cells - that is, those which allow viral replication - it binds to viral DNA and in the presence of other cellular proteins unwinds it and allows replication.28 Tag also binds and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor gene products which normally have inhibitory influences on the enzymes necessary for DNA replication. It up-regulates the expression of insulin growth factor 1 which stimulates cell division, and has self-regulating properties. A small t antigen is produced by differential splicing of RNA of the same gene and has an important role in facilitating Tag effects.

Virus such as SV40 carry only a limited amount of genetic material and depend heavily on host cell functions for their replication. This requires them to subvert normal cellular mechanisms towards viral replication, and each virus has developed proteins which serve this function. They have structural similarities and are termed “early” antigens because of the timing of their expression during the infectious cycle. They are distinguished from “late” antigens which code for viral coat proteins. In the case of SV40, the large tumour antigen (large T-antigen or Tag) is the principal early protein. It is multifunctional and in “permissive” cells - that is, those which allow viral replication - it binds to viral DNA and in the presence of other cellular proteins unwinds it and allows replication.28 Tag also binds and inactivates a number of tumour suppressor gene products which normally have inhibitory influences on the enzymes necessary for DNA replication. It up-regulates the expression of insulin growth factor 1 which stimulates cell division, and has self-regulating properties. A small t antigen is produced by differential splicing of RNA of the same gene and has an important role in facilitating Tag effects.
genes coding for growth factors, growth factor receptors, and intracellular signalling proteins are recognised and abnormalities of these genes are associated with a wide variety of malignancies. Mutations of proto-oncogenes and intracellular signalling proteins are recognised and colleagues have recently reported a correlation between the generation of hydroxy radicals from intracellular known to be potently tumorigenic in animals and has acteristics of a tumour which, to date, has proved re- structural similarities to papilloma viruses which are known, for example, that the insulin growth factor 1 appear to be important. On the other hand, immuno- etics. Alteration to a single require confirmation, and the state and location of the presence of fibres might have led to incorporation of the working population to asbestos (fig 1) as the peak exposure was the same range of e effects. Alteration to a single gene is probably not sufficient to release full malignant expression. For example, fibroblasts transfected with an abnormal myc gene become immortalised but remain phenotypically normal. If transfected with an abnormal ras gene they become phenotypically abnormal but re- tain a normal lifespan. T transformation with both leads to abnormal immortal cells which can cause tumours when injected into animals, though the tumours grow to a limited size only, suggesting that a further genetic abnormality is necessary for full malignant expression.

Disruption of the genome is an important feature of mesotheliomas with chromosomal abnormalities being identified in approximately 70% of tumours. The mechanism through which asbestos causes this damage is not known. Surface iron molecules can catalyse the generation of hydroxyl radicals from intracellular hydrogen peroxide and other potentially toxic free radicals can be produced by phagocytes-induced augmentation of the respiratory burst, but these chemical mechanisms do not readily explain the importance of the physical properties of fibres in determining their malignant potential. Asbestos can cause physical dis- ruption of the cellular cytoskeleton and this may lead to chromosomal instability during cell division, and might directly break or damage chromosomes leading to alterations to the genetic material. They do not, however, produce tumours when injected into animals. Although cells with chromosomal ab- normalities are more easily transformed by SV40, co- exposure with SV40 and asbestos is not sufficient to produce malignant cells using this model. Attachment of entry of foreign DNA is another potential mechanism through which asbestos can lead to malignant change. Free DNA can be detected in blood and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and is probably present locally in high concentrations at sites of inflammation. In vitro, asbestos fibres are at least as effective at incorporating viral DNA into cells as standard calcium phosphate coprecipitation methods, there is therefore perhaps relevant that the timing of the infected polio virus epidemic coincided with the peak exposure of the working population to asbestos (fig 1) as the presence of fibres might have led to incorporation of SV40 specifically into airway or mesothelial cells.

Most of the chromosomal abnormalities induced by asbestos appear to be random and a considerable degree of heterogeneity is observed both between tumours and between different parts of the same tumour. Some are observed more frequently than might be expected by chance and might be of aetiological significance, but overall there is little evidence of mutations of known oncogenes in malignant mesotheliomas. Abnormalities of the retinoblastoma gene or the p53 gene do not appear to be important. On the other hand, immuno- histochemical staining for p53 has been reported in 35–70% of mesotheliomas, even in the absence of ab- normalities of the gene, and this p53 antigen appears to be of wild type rather than mutant. Pass and colleagues have recently reported a correlation between SV40 large T antigen and p53 levels in mesotheliomas, offering further support for the hypothesis that SV40 contributes to malignancy by binding and inactivating p53 and other nuclear proteins.

**Conclusions**

The reports of SV40-like DNA in human mesotheliomas require confirmation, and the size and location of the viral genome need to be further characterised. However, the current information raises the possibility that SV40 is an important cofactor in the development of meso- theliomas. If evidence of seropositivity or viral DNA is present in about 5% of the general population and in 60% of those with mesotheliomas, then that suggests an approximately 30-fold increased risk of malignancy for those carrying the virus. Only limited reassurance can be taken from the cohort studies of populations exposed to SV40 as they all had limited power to detect even a large effect on a rare tumour. Demonstrating the presence of a virus in a tumour is not the same as demonstrating an aetiological role but plausible molecular mechanisms exist which could explain an oncogenic role for SV40. T virus belongs to a class known to be potently tumorigenic in animals and has structural similarities to papilloma viruses which are associated with human cervical cancer. There is, how- ever, no evidence that other papovaviruses or adeno- viruses are oncogenic in man and, if the observations of SV40-like DNA in human tumours are confirmed, it would be an important step in viral oncology. A reliable serological test for SV40 large T antigen would provide the most convenient means of investigating the observation epidemiologically.

If the observation is confirmed then immuno- histochemical staining for TAg offers the potential for a useful diagnostic test. More importantly, it would provide a greater understanding of the molecular char- acteristics of a tumour which, to date, has proved re- sistant to all forms of treatment. Immunotherapy targeted at TAg might have a therapeutic role as might biochemical interference with the actions of TAg. It is known, for example, that the insulin growth factor-1 receptor is necessary for SV40 cell transformation and
LEARNING POINTS

- Malignant mesotheliomas currently cause approximately 1000 deaths per year in the UK and the numbers are predicted to rise until after the year 2020.
- Contaminated polio vaccines exposed a substantial proportion of the population to Simian virus 40 (SV40) between 1958 and 1961, and Simian virus DNA has been detected in subsequently arising human mesotheliomas.
- Simian virus 40 can induce malignant mesotheliomas in experimental animals.
- Simian virus 40 large T antigen (Tag) can bind and inactivate tumour suppression gene products and so allow cells to escape from normal growth control.
- There is thus circumstantial evidence which suggests that Simian virus 40 may act as a cofactor with asbestos in inducing human mesotheliomas.