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Abstract

Background – The allergen-induced late asthmatic reaction (LAR) is associated with mucosal inflammation involving several cell types including activated T lymphocytes and eosinophils. In contrast, the early asthmatic reaction (EAR) is believed to result from rapid allergen-induced release of bronchoconstrictor mediators from IgE sensitised mast cells. Cyclosporin A has efficacy in chronic severe corticosteroid-dependent asthma and is believed to act principally by inhibiting cytokine mRNA transcription in T lymphocytes. However, it has effects on other cell types in vitro, including the inhibition of exocytosis/degranulation events in mast cells. It was therefore hypothesised that cyclosporin A would attenuate both the EAR and LAR in subjects with mild asthma.

Methods – Twelve sensitised atopic asthmatic subjects with documented dual asthmatic responses were studied in a double blind, placebo controlled, crossover trial. On two separate study visits subjects received two oral doses of either cyclosporin A or matched placebo before inhaled allergen challenges. The forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was measured half hourly for eight hours and blood eosinophil counts were analysed three, six, and 24 hours after the challenge. Treatment effects on blood eosinophil counts as well as the EAR and LAR, respectively defined as the areas under the curve (AUC) of FEV₁ changes from baseline between 0–1 and 4–8 hours after challenge, were compared by non-parametric crossover analysis.

Results – Cyclosporin A reduced both the LAR (median AUC = 41.9 l-h (inter-quartile range – 82.7 to –12.4) for cyclosporin A and = 84.5 l-h (– 248.9 to –39.1) for placebo; p = 0.007) and the late increase in blood eosinophils (median 0.2 × 10⁹/l (0.15 to 0.4) for cyclosporin A and 0.4 × 10⁹/l (0.25 to 0.55) for placebo; p = 0.024) but had no effect on the EAR. The reduction of the LAR by cyclosporin A correlated significantly with prechallenge blood concentrations of cyclosporin A (r = 0.6, p = 0.028).

Conclusions – These data are consistent with the concept that cyclosporin A has anti-inflammatory actions in asthma resulting from inhibition of mRNA transcription of eosinophil-active cytokines, predominantly in T lymphocytes. Cyclosporin A, possibly in its inhaled form, or other agents which prevent cytokine gene transcription may therefore have potential in ameliorating the inflammatory component of asthma.
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It is well recognised that bronchial allergen challenge of appropriately sensitised atopic asthmatic subjects provokes an immediate bronchoconstrictor response (usually within 30 minutes) which resolves within 1–2 hours. This is known as the early asthmatic reaction (EAR). In some asthmatic subjects this is followed by a more sustained delayed-in-time late phase asthmatic reaction (LAR) which peaks within eight hours and resolves within 24 hours (although an associated increase in bronchial hyperresponsiveness may last for several days). It was therefore hypothesised that cyclosporin A would attenuate both the EAR and LAR in subjects with mild asthma.
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Conclusions – These data are consistent with the concept that cyclosporin A has anti-inflammatory actions in asthma resulting from inhibition of mRNA transcription of eosinophil-active cytokines, predominantly in T lymphocytes. Cyclosporin A, possibly in its inhaled form, or other agents which prevent cytokine gene transcription may therefore have potential in ameliorating the inflammatory component of asthma.

Keywords: cyclosporin A, eosinophils, late asthmatic reactions.
Table 1  Patient demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Regular medication (%) predicted</th>
<th>Baseline FEV₁ (L)</th>
<th>Challenge allergen (BU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>B, IS</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>B, IS</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>TGP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>B, IS</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>B, NS</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>HDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>TGP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>B, IS</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>TGP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B = short acting β agonist; IS = inhaled corticosteroid; C = cat dander; HDM = house dust mite; TGP = timothy grass pollen; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; PC₂₀ = concentration of allergen provoking a fall of 20% in FEV₁.

Low doses of the immunosuppressive agent cyclosporin A have been found to be effective in the treatment of a number of chronic inflammatory diseases characterised by T lymphocyte activation and there is accumulating evidence for a role for activated T cells in the pathogenesis of asthma. When cyclosporin A was added to current medication in chronic severe oral glucocorticoid-dependent asthmatic subjects over a three month period there was an improvement in lung function and fewer disease exacerbations. In a subsequent nine month study low dose oral cyclosporin A, but not placebo, significantly reduced the requirement for oral glucocorticoids and also produced a significant improvement in morning peak expiratory flow rates.

Despite these observations it is not clear precisely how cyclosporin A ameliorates chronic asthma since, in addition to its inhibitory effects on the release of eosinophil-active cytokines from activated T lymphocytes, it also inhibits other cellular functions including the rapid mediator release from mast cells in vitro and basophils ex vivo. In order to dissect partially the possible effects of cyclosporin A on the late phase inflammatory and the early phase mast cell-mediated responses in vivo we have performed a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study of the effects of oral cyclosporin A on the allergen-induced EAR and LAR in mild atopic asthmatic subjects. We hypothesised that cyclosporin A would inhibit both the EAR (through mast cell stabilisation) and the LAR (through inhibition of cytokine mRNA transcription by a wide variety of cell types).

Methods

PATIENTS

Twelve patients with atopic asthma were recruited from the allergy clinic of the Royal Brompton Hospital, London (table 1). The patients had a clinical history of intermittent chest tightness, wheeze or shortness of breath and documented reversible airflow obstruction (20% change in FEV₁) which occurred either spontaneously or with treatment in the preceding year. No subject was receiving long acting inhaled or oral β agonists. No subject had received immunotherapy or orally administered corticosteroids during the 12 months preceding the study. Four patients were taking inhaled corticosteroids but these were discontinued seven days prior to the allergen dose-finding visit. Patients with seasonal symptoms were studied out of the pollen season. None were smokers, and any patient with a history compatible with respiratory infection in the four weeks preceding or during the study was excluded. All patients gave written informed consent and the study was approved by the ethics committee of the hospital.

STUDY DESIGN

This was a double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study. The study period involved three inhaled allergen challenges with an interval of at least two weeks between each challenge. An initial challenge determined the allergen concentration sufficient to provoke a 20% reduction in FEV₁ from the prechallenge value (PC₂₀) within 15 minutes of allergen exposure (the EAR). Patients who developed an LAR (defined as a decrease in FEV₁ of >15% from baseline between 4–8 hours after challenge) were enrolled into the study. Patients received either two single doses of 500 mg cyclosporin A (in capsule form) or matched placebo before the two subsequent challenges in a predetermined random order. All other medication was withheld for at least eight hours before each allergen challenge.

At the initial assessment a full explanation of the study was given, the patient's history was taken and examination performed. Patients with any known contraindications to receiving cyclosporin A were excluded. Specific exclusion criteria were a previous or current history of gastrointestinal or liver disorders that could affect absorption, distribution, metabolism or excretion of the drug, as well as renal impairment as shown by one of the following: proteinuria (>0.3 g/l by dipstick analysis), serum creatinine >120 mmol/l, or concomitant treatment with nephrotoxic drugs. Patients with evidence of impaired liver function (any increases in serum bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase or γ-glutamyl transferase more than twice the laboratory upper limits of normal) were also excluded, as were patients with a history of hypertension, cardiac disease, or epilepsy.

Skin prick tests to a panel of common aeroallergens (extracts of cat dander, dog fur, house dust mite, and timothy grass pollen) were performed, and blood was taken for an eosinophil count. The allergen used for challenge was selected on the basis of a history of clinical sensitivity supported by a positive skin prick test result (weal diameter at least 3 mm greater than that produced by control solution). The allergens selected for each subject are shown in table 1.

Allergen sensitivity was determined by skin prick tests with doubling serial dilutions of allergen, starting with 20,000 biological units (BU)/ml house dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus), timothy grass extracts (Phleum pratense), or cat dander (ALK, Horsholm, Den-
After challenge with inhaled saline to determine the baseline (pre-allergen) FEV₁, the dose-finding allergen challenge was performed, starting with a twofold dilution below that which produced a 3 mm diameter skin prick weal (threshold dose for a response). Increasing twofold concentration increments (with at least 15 minutes between challenges) were given until a 20% decrease in FEV₁ was achieved. Patients inhaled saline or allergen solution delivered by a Wright nebuliser (calibrated to give an output of 0.13 ml/min) by tidal breathing for two minutes. FEV₁ was recorded before challenge, every five minutes after allergen challenge for the first 30 minutes, and then half hourly for the next eight hours. At some time during the period 4–8 hours after the challenge patients were required to demonstrate a decrease in FEV₁ of at least 15% of the baseline value.

After a period of at least two weeks the patients received the first dose of study medication (either 500 mg cyclosporin A or placebo) at 20.00 hours on the evening before allergen challenge. At 08.00 hours the following day venous blood samples were taken for cyclosporin A concentrations and eosinophil counts before the patient received the second dose of the same study medication. At 10.45 hours, after further blood samples had been taken, a saline challenge was performed to determine the baseline FEV₁ (defined as the lowest FEV₁ value achieved within 15 minutes following saline challenge). The allergen challenge was performed as follows: at 11.00 hours, using a predetermined dose of antigen (the PC₂₀ allergen determined at the first visit). The FEV₁ was then recorded every five minutes for 30 minutes, then every 30 minutes for eight hours. Blood samples for further measurements of peripheral blood eosinophils were taken three, six, and 24 hours after allergen challenge and for measurement of cyclosporin A concentrations at 24 hours. The entire procedure was repeated after an interval of at least two weeks, with patients receiving the alternative treatment to that received on their first treatment visit.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

The primary outcome measures were the magnitudes of the early (EAR) and late (LAR) asthmatic responses, defined as the area under curve (AUC) of changes in FEV₁ from the baseline (or prechallenge) value during the periods 0–1 hours and 4–8 hours after the challenge, respectively. The AUC values were calculated by the trapezoid method. Differences between the AUC values after cyclosporin A and placebo treatments were compared by paired analyses using a non-parametric method for crossover trials in which three separate Wilcoxon signed rank tests were performed to analyse the treatment and period effects as well as the treatment-period interaction. This method was also used to compare absolute blood eosinophil counts at each time point. Correlation coefficients were calculated by Spearman’s method with correction for tied values. Data analysis was performed by an independent blinded statistician.

**Results**

Every subject complied with the treatment, achieving blood cyclosporin concentrations of more than 100 mg/l 12 hours after the first dose of cyclosporin. Peak levels just before allergen challenge were more than 250 mg/l (mean (SE) 820 (130) mg/l). Nine of the 12 subjects reported some side effects (nausea or parasthesia) after taking cyclosporin A while only one reported side effects after placebo treatment. All side effects were transient, lasting less than six hours, and were self-limiting.

FEV₁ measurements at each time point were found to be distributed normally but the AUC values for changes in FEV₁ from baseline were not. Comparison by a t test showed that baseline (pre-allergen) FEV₁ values during each treatment arm were not significantly different (mean (SE) 3.45 (0.15) l for cyclosporin A, 3.44 (0.16) l for placebo; p = 0.69).

The effects of cyclosporin A and placebo on changes in FEV₁ from baseline values after allergen challenge are shown in fig 1. There was no significant difference (p = 0.63) between the effects of cyclosporin A and placebo on the individual AUCs during the EAR period (0–1 hour after challenge). The median (and interquartile ranges (IQR)) of AUC values during this period were −12.0 (−36.9 to −7.0) litre hours (l.h) and −17.9 (−32.9 to −12.3) l.h after cyclosporin A and placebo, respectively. However, compared with placebo, cyclosporin A significantly reduced (p = 0.007) the AUC values during the 4–8 hour LAR period. The median AUCs were −41.9 (IQR −82.7 to −12.4) l.h for cyclosporin A and −84.5 (IQR −248.9 to −39.1) l.h for placebo. Compared

![Figure 1. Effects of cyclosporin A (CsA) and placebo on mean (SE) changes in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) from baseline (prechallenge) values. The mean prechallenge FEV₁ values were 3.45 l for cyclosporin A and 3.44 l for placebo. The p values for individual comparisons of the effects of the two treatments on the areas under curve of changes in FEV₁ over the 0–1 hour and 4–8 hour periods are shown.](http://thorax.bmj.com/cover.png)
There were no significant period effects or treatment-period interactions for either the eosinophil counts or AUC of changes in FEV1.

Discussion

In this placebo controlled, double blind study we have shown that cyclosporin A modulated the late, but not the early, bronchoconstrictor reaction to inhaled allergen challenge of sensitised atopic asthmatic subjects. Furthermore, there was a significant correlation between the magnitude of the reduction of the LAR by cyclosporin A and the blood concentrations of cyclosporin A before the challenge. Cyclosporin A also abolished the increase in circulating eosinophils associated with the LAR 24 hours after allergen challenge but did not have any effect on early changes in blood eosinophil counts. Interestingly, the degree of inhibition of the LAR was similar to that observed with inhaled beclamethasone and sodium cromoglycate, drugs whose efficacy in the prophylaxis of asthma is well established.\(^1,^2\) The dose of cyclosporin A received by the patients as a single event was higher than that used for prolonged treatment in our previous studies on chronic asthma but was similar (on a mg/kg basis) to that given during induction of immunosuppressive therapy before organ transplantation. The objective was to ensure that a satisfactory blood concentration of cyclosporin A was rapidly achieved and then maintained during the period of maximal inflammatory activity following allergen challenge. This objective was accomplished in each patient.

The EAR is generally believed to result from bronchial smooth muscle contraction, vascular leakage, and mucosal oedema subsequent to the rapid release of pharmacological mediators such as histamine, leukotrienes \(\text{C}_4, \text{D}_4\) and \(\text{E}_4\), and prostaglandin \(\text{D}_2\) (PGD\(_2\)) from IgE sensitised mast cells.\(^3,^4\) In contrast, the LAR is thought to be a reflection of bronchial mucosal inflammation.\(^5\) Major cellular changes in the airways associated with the allergen-induced LAR include increased numbers of activated CD4+ T lymphocytes and eosinophils.\(^6\) The mechanism of eosinophil recruitment is complex but appears to involve the elaboration of CC chemokines (RANTES, MCP-3, MCP-4 and eotaxin) as well as the “eosinophil-active” cytokines interleukin (IL)-3, IL-5, and granulocyte/macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF).\(^7,^8\) These cytokines promote eosinophil differentiation and maturation, enhance eosinophil adhesion and locomotion, and prolong eosinophil survival.\(^9\) In particular, IL-5 is unique in its ability to differentiate the committed eosinophil precursor terminally. It also has hormone-like effects since in the guinea pig intravenous injection of IL-5 released mature eosinophils from the bone marrow.\(^10\) Potential sources of eosinophil-active cytokines include T lymphocytes, mast cells, eosinophils, fibroblasts, endothelial and epithelial cells.\(^11,^12,^13,^14,^15,^16,^17,^18,^19,^20,^21,^22,^23,^24,^25,^26,^27,^28,^29,^30,^31,^32,^33,^34,^35,^36,^37,^38,^39,^40,^41\) There is considerable circumstantial evidence that eosinophil-derived products are directly involved in the patho-
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The explanation most consistent with all of these observations is that, in this in vivo model, cyclosporin A exerted its anti-inflammatory effects mainly through inhibition of transcription and translation of cytokines in T lymphocytes, and possibly other cell types, rather than by significantly inhibiting release of mediators from mast cells. In this sense cyclosporin A can be considered to have anti-inflammatory as well as immunosuppressant properties. Taken together with the results of previous studies,22,23 these observations provide further indirect support for the involvement of eosinophil-active cytokines, of which allergen-specific T lymphocytes are believed to be important sources, in the pathogenesis of inflammation across the whole spectrum of asthma severity—from patients with mild asthma (such as those participating in the present study) to those with severe corticosteroid-dependent asthma. Although the use of oral cyclosporin A in mild asthma is unlikely because of its poor risk:benefit ratio, these observations suggest that safer agents which inhibit cytokine gene transcription might prove to be effective treatments for asthma without the potential side effects associated with the use of corticosteroids. An inhaled form of cyclosporin A offering the optimal combination of good local bioavailability and fewer systemic effects may be one such agent, particularly as the anti-inflammatory effects of cyclosporin A appeared to be dose related in this study. Indeed, inhaled cyclosporin A has already been shown to inhibit the LAR in an animal model.45 Such novel therapeutic agents may hold exciting prospects for the future management of asthma.
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