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Abstract

Background – Standard treatment for pleural infection includes catheter drainage and antibiotics. Tube drainage often fails if the fluid is loculated by fibrinous adhesions when surgical drainage is needed. Streptokinase may aid the process of pleural drainage, but there have been no controlled trials to assess its efficacy.

Methods – Twenty-four patients with infected community acquired parapneumonic effusions were studied. All had either frankly purulent/culture or Gram stain positive pleural fluid (13 cases; 54%) or fluid which fulfilled the biochemical criteria for pleural infection. Fluid was drained with a 14 F catheter. The antibiotics used were cefuroxime and metronidazole or were guided by culture. Subjects were randomly assigned to receive intrapleural streptokinase, 250,000 IU daily, or control saline flushes for three days. The primary end points related to the efficacy of pleural drainage – namely, the volume of pleural fluid drained and the chest radiographic response to treatment. Other end points were the number of pleural procedures needed and blood indices of inflammation.

Results – The streptokinase group drained more pleural fluid both during the days of streptokinase/control treatment (mean (SD) 391 (200) ml versus 124 (44) ml; difference 267 ml, 95% confidence interval (CI) 144 to 390; p < 0.001) and overall (2564 (1663) ml versus 1059 (502) ml; difference 1505 ml, 95% CI 465 to 2545; p < 0.01). They showed greater improvement on the chest radiograph at discharge, measured as the fall in the maximum dimension of the pleural collection (6.0 (2.7) cm versus 3.4 (2.7) cm; difference 2.7 cm, 95% CI 0.3 to 4.4; p < 0.05, two-tailed Fisher’s exact test). Systemic fibrinolysis and bleeding complications did not occur. Surgery was required by three control patients but none in the streptokinase group.

Conclusions – Intrapleural streptokinase probably aids the treatment of pleural infections by improving pleural drainage without causing systemic fibrinolysis or local haemorrhage.
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Secondary pleural infection is an important complication of pneumonia. Over 40% of patients with community acquired pneumonia develop an associated pleural effusion and about 15% of these become secondarily infected. In the UK pleural infection has a mortality of about 20%. The transition of a simple effusion to the infected state is heralded by fibrin deposition in the pleural cavity and by indicators of white cell and bacterial metabolism within the effusion fluid (falling pH and glucose levels and rising levels of lactate dehydrogenase). Once secondary infection of the pleural space has occurred, the mainstay of management is effective drainage of the pleural collection.

Traditionally, drainage of the pleural space has been achieved by simple aspiration, catheter drainage, or thoracotomy with decortication or rib resection. Of these approaches, surgical procedures achieve the best drainage but carry an obvious morbidity. Less invasive drainage techniques are often limited by the loculation of pleural fluid by fibrinous septae, the presence of fibrinous clots within the empyema fluid which may block chest drains, and the development of an infected fibrinous pleural “rind”.

Uncontrolled studies have suggested that intrapleural streptokinase may improve the drainage of infected pleural effusions by lysis of the intrapleural fibrinous adhesions. None of these series includes a control group which makes interpretation of their results difficult. The weaknesses of these series means that this form of treatment is still controversial and the need for a controlled study which assesses the early use of streptokinase has been emphasised. This paper reports the results of a randomised comparison of intrapleural streptokinase given at diagnosis with control saline flushes in patients with complicated parapneumonic effusion and empyema.

Methods

Subjects

Twenty-four subjects (17 men) were studied. All presented to one unit over three years with community acquired pneumonia associated with features of systemic sepsis (fever, raised white blood cell count, and C reactive protein) and a pleural effusion requiring drainage.

Inclusion criteria for entry to the study were purulent pleural fluid, pleural fluid Gram stain or culture positive for bacteria, pH of pleural fluid of <7.1, pleural fluid concentrations of...
lactate dehydrogenase >1000 IU/l, pleural fluid/blood glucose ratio <0.25, or loculation or septation of pleural fluid on computed tomographic (CT) and ultrasound scanning. Exclusion criteria were age <18 or >90 years, treatment with streptokinase by any route in the previous two years, bleeding diathesis, stroke or significant haemorrhage in the preceding six months, known sensitivity to streptokinase, or any disease making survival at two months unlikely.

**Protocol**

Subjects were randomised in an age stratified manner to receive streptokinase or to the control group. Temperature, white blood cell count, prothrombin ratio (expressed as the International Normalised Ratio, INR), and levels of C reactive protein were recorded. The duration of symptoms to diagnosis of the infected pleural collection was noted. Postero-anterior and lateral chest radiography, contrast enhanced thoracic CT scanning and pleural ultrasound scans were performed. A 14 French van Sonnenberg catheter was then positioned in either the most dependent portion of the collection or the largest locule under ultrasound guidance. The catheter was inserted by two radiologists who were unaware of the group to which the subject had been randomised. This was connected to an underwater drain and kept on continuous suction at −20 cm H2O (except during saline or streptokinase injection). The catheter was inserted the streptokinase group received 250 000 IU intrapleural streptokinase in 20 ml saline in place of one of the saline flushes. After streptokinase injection the chest catheter was closed off for two hours and then returned to suction. Patients receiving control therapy continued saline flushes as usual.

Temperature and pleural fluid drainage were recorded daily to discharge and chest drain removal, respectively. Blood white cell count, C reactive protein levels and prothrombin ratio (INR) were recorded at baseline, daily for the first four days of treatment, and then twice weekly to discharge. The postero-anterior and lateral chest radiographs were repeated at discharge. The total duration of hospital stay was recorded.

All patients received antibiotics. If cultures were negative these consisted of cefuroxime (1500 mg intravenously three times daily, reduced in renal impairment) and metronidazole (400 mg orally three times daily) for at least five days and until afebrile, followed by combination amoxycillin 500 mg three times a day and clavulanic acid 250 mg three times a day until discharge. Where cultures were positive the antibiotics given were those appropriate for the organisms isolated and were continued until discharge.

The catheters were removed after the fifth day of treatment provided pleural drainage had fallen to <150 ml per day (including returned saline flushes) for two consecutive days. The duration of chest drainage was noted. After removal of the first catheter further thoracic aspiration or catheter drainage of residual fluid collections was at the discretion of the managing physician. The total number of pleural procedures for fluid drainage was noted.

The radiographs were consensus scored by two radiologists as a batch without knowledge of the randomisation group of the subject. The size of the pleural collection was measured at baseline in three ways: (1) the maximal linear dimension of the collection was measured from the posteroanterior and lateral chest radiographs; (2) the area of the hemithorax occupied by the collection was estimated to the nearest 10% from the posteroanterior chest radiograph; and (3) the volume of the hemithorax occupied by the collection was estimated to the nearest 10% on the thoracic CT scan. At discharge the maximal linear dimension of the pleural collection was remeasured and the overall reduction in the volume of the pleural collection from baseline to discharge was estimated from the posteroanterior and lateral chest radiographs as: no change, >25%, >50%, or >75% reduction in volume.

**Trial end points and withdrawal**

The primary end points of the study related to the efficiency of pleural fluid drainage and were (1) volume of pleural fluid drained in total; (2) volume of pleural fluid drained during days 2–5 of pleural drainage (first dose of streptokinase/control given on day 2); and (3) improvement in chest radiograph from baseline to discharge. Secondary end points were (1) change in INR from baseline to its average over days 2–5; (2) total number of pleural procedures for fluid drainage; (3) time until white blood cell count was <12×10⁹/l, C reactive protein levels were <8 mg/l (normal range <8 mg/l), and the patient was consistently afebrile; and (4) duration of stay in hospital.

The study end point was discharge from hospital. Withdrawal before this point occurred in two circumstances – death of the patient or referral for surgery. Criteria for referral for surgery consisted of a progressive or unresponsive sepsis syndrome in the presence of a substantial residual pleural fluid collection.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the SAS statistical software package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Unpaired t testing was used unless otherwise stated.

**Results**

All the data analysis was performed after the end of data collection. Twenty four patients entered the study and were randomly assigned in an age stratified manner into the two study groups. The clinical and radiological baseline characteristics of the studied groups are presented in table 1. The two groups were well matched by all the criteria examined.
Table 1 Mean (SD) clinical characteristics of the study groups at randomisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Streptokinase (n=12)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62 (23)</td>
<td>60 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>9:3</td>
<td>8:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal linear dimension of pleural collection on thoracic CT scan (cm)</td>
<td>8.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% hemithorax occupied by pleural collection on PA chest radiograph</td>
<td>35 (22)</td>
<td>28 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.8 (0.70)</td>
<td>37.6 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total white blood cell count (×10⁹)</td>
<td>17.9 (8.0)</td>
<td>12.2 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin ratio (INR)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C reactive protein (mg/l) (normal &lt;6 mg/l)</td>
<td>194 (83)</td>
<td>206 (107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-diagnosis symptom duration (days)</td>
<td>27 (17)</td>
<td>35 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with frankly purulent fluid</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural pH</td>
<td>6.95 (0.32)</td>
<td>6.97 (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural LDH (IU/l)</td>
<td>2397 (2296)</td>
<td>4667 (7847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural fluid to blood glucose ratio</td>
<td>0.30 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood or pleural fluid Gram stain or culture positive</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between the groups were all non-significant.

**Microbiology**

In 13 of the 24 subjects a microbiological diagnosis was established from pleural fluid Gram stain and culture, or from blood culture. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was identified in seven cases and *Streptococcus milleri* in three cases, in one of which the culture was mixed and included *Arcanobacterium haemolyticum* and *Fusobacterium*. *Haemophilus influenzae*, enterococci, and haemolytic streptococci Lancefield's group A were identified in one case each.

**Pleural Fluid Drainage**

Two of the primary study end points related to the volume of pleural fluid drainage. Both of these showed highly significant differences between control and active treatment. The streptokinase treated group drained more pleural fluid during days 2–5 (streptokinase, mean (SD) 391 (200) ml versus control, 124 (44) ml; difference 267, 95% confidence interval (CI) 144 to 390; p<0.001) and more pleural fluid in total (2564 (1663) ml versus 1059 (502) ml; difference 1505 ml, 95% CI 465 to 2545; p<0.01; fig 1). Of the secondary end points relating to pleural drainage, the number of pleural procedures required to control the fluid (streptokinase median 1, 95% range 1–2; control median 2, 95% range 1–3; p<0.05, Mann-Whitney U test) and the total duration of pleural drainage in the two groups were similar (streptokinase 8.9 (4.7) days versus control 8.72 (2.6) days; difference 0.1, 95% CI –3.04 to 3.4, p=NS).

**Improvement in the Chest Radiograph**

The radiographic end points were also primary study end points. The reduction in the largest linear dimension of the pleural fluid collection was greater in the streptokinase treated group than in the control group (streptokinase 6.0 (2.7) cm versus control 3.4 (2.7) cm; difference 2.6, 95% CI 0.3 to 4.9, p<0.05). The reduction in the overall estimated size of the pleural collection on the chest radiograph was greater in the streptokinase treated group than in the control group. At discharge 10 of 12 streptokinase treated subjects showed a >75% improvement in the size of their pleural collection while only four of the nine assessable control subjects showed a >75% improvement in pleural collection size on discharge.

Figure 1 Volumes of pleural fluid drained by each subject in the two study groups. In (A) the volume of fluid drained during the days of treatment with streptokinase or control saline are compared and in (B) the total volumes of pleural fluid drained in the individual patients are shown. The differences between the groups are statistically and physiologically significant.

Figure 2 Percentage improvement in the size of the pleural opacity on the chest radiograph from entry into the study to discharge from hospital for all the subjects who completed the protocol. The improvement was consensus scored by two radiologists who were unaware of the subject's randomisation status. The three empty circles represent the three control subjects withdrawn for surgery in whom pleural drainage was poor. The differences between the groups are statistically and physiologically significant.
studies showed a >75% improvement (fig 2). Assuming that the three subjects withdrawn for surgery had not shown a >75% overall radiographic improvement (the definition of withdrawal for surgery included “the presence of a substantial residual pleural collection” – see protocol), the improvement in the streptokinase treated group was significantly greater than in the control group (p<0.05, two-tailed Fisher’s exact test). Resolution of a severely loculated empyema with intrapleural streptokinase is illustrated in fig 3.

**SYSTEMIC FIBRINOLYSIS AND HAEMORRHAGE**

Measurement of the blood prothrombin ratio (INR) at baseline and daily during streptokinase/control therapy was performed in six subjects in each study group. During the days of streptokinase/control therapy the average prothrombin ratio (INR) was a little higher than at baseline in the control group. This rise was statistically but not physiologically significant (table 2). In the streptokinase group there was no rise in systemic INR, and no subject exhibited physiologically significant systemic fibrinolysis (highest INR = 1.5 in both groups). There were no local pleural or systemic haemorrhagic problems in either group.

**SURGERY AND CLINICAL PROGRESS**

In the control group three patients were withdrawn from the study and referred for surgery. In the streptokinase group no patients required surgery. Two patients (one in each treatment group) had an underlying bronchial carcinoma identified during later investigation.

The duration of the hospital stay among the patients completing the protocol and the time to normalisation of the total white blood cell count, blood levels of C reactive protein, and temperature were similar (table 3).

**Discussion**

This study reports the results of a randomised controlled trial to assess the efficacy of intrapleural streptokinase in the drainage of infected pleural effusions. Effective pleural drainage is a primary aim of treatment in this condition. The primary end points of this study show that streptokinase improves the catheter drainage of such pleural collections in terms of increased pleural fluid flow and improvement in the chest radiograph by discharge from hospital. This benefit was achieved without significant systemic fibrinolysis and was not associated with local or systemic haemorrhage.

The study groups did not differ significantly in any of their baseline characteristics (table 1). Despite this there is a trend towards more severe disease in the group receiving streptokinase (who ultimately had the better outcome). The streptokinase group included more patients with overtly purulent pleural fluid and their average baseline pleural collection size was larger. Since a larger pleural collection has a poorer prognosis than a smaller collection, this strengthens the evidence for the efficacy of streptokinase in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Streptokinase group (n = 6)</th>
<th>Control group (n = 6)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value (paired t-test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline 1.25 (0.13)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.22)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment period 1.29 (0.14)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.14)</td>
<td>(0.04 to 0.22)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have studied a population with community acquired parapneumonic pleural effusions exhibiting the clinical, biochemical, and anatomical characteristics of secondary pleural infection. In 13 of the subjects (54%) the fluid was either frankly purulent or Gram stain or culture positive. In two of these the pleural fluid was Gram stain and/or culture positive but not overtly purulent, which confirms that frank purulence is a late feature of pleural sepsis and is insensitive if used as the only index of infection. In the other 11 cases the fluid was either loculated, confirming fibrinous pleurisy (and hence infection related inflammatory disturbance of pleural fibrin meta-
bolism), and/or met the biochemical criteria which indicate secondary infection and the need for pleural drainage. As well as indicating infection, fibrinous fluid loculation predicts failed catheter drainage since the loculation impedes free drainage and for this reason this was included as one of the study entry criteria. All the studied subjects fulfilled more than one of the entry criteria, and 17 of the 24 (71%) fulfilled three or more.

The accepted mainstay of the treatment of pleural infection is pleural drainage and this study was designed to assess the effect of streptokinase on this and not on overall mortality or the need for later surgery. Despite this there is a trend towards less surgery in the streptokinase group with none of these subjects, and three similar approach in several other clinical situations including ocular hyphaema, intraocular haemorrhage, and various abscesses. The on the maximum dimension of the pleural space. The contribution of leukocytes and bacteria to the low pH of empyema fluid has been suggested as one of the reasons for the persistence of pleural infection, and the persistence of pleural infection may be associated with the presence of pleural effusions. It suggests it may be a useful analysis suggests. The assessment of the other radiological end point based on the maximum dimension of the pleural collection (which is already considered of benefit in the streptokinase group) is conservative because radiological data were only available for those subjects wherein the criteria for surgery included “the presence of a substantial residual pleural collection”. When these three subjects are included in the analysis the overall reduction in the radiographic size of the empyema is statistically significant as well as being clearly clinically significant (fig 1).

There was no evidence of a systemic fibrinolytic action from the intrapleural streptokinase. In fact, there was a small, statistically significant but physiologically insignificant rise in INR from baseline in the control group which was not seen in the streptokinase group (table 2). This small rise in the control group is probably attributable to the systemic effects of sepsis and its absence in the streptokinase group may be indirect evidence of a systemic improvement in sepsis control in this group.

This study suggests that the use of intra-pleural streptokinase may be beneficial at the diagnosis of a complicated parapneumonic effusion and not just as “rescue” therapy when standard drainage has failed. This is a significant difference from previous reports.

Early treatment has both potential advantages and disadvantages. More effective pleural drainage might be achieved by intervening before severe pleural adhesions develop; animal work supports this hypothesis. On the other hand, early treatment could have been detrimental by increasing pleural fluid production in subjects who would have drained adequately without streptokinase. This study shows that overall there is an improvement in pleural drainage when streptokinase is used as early treatment.

This study is the first randomised controlled trial of the use of a fibrinolytic agent to drain an infected fluid collection (“enzymatic debridement”). Small case series have reported a similar approach in several other clinical situations including ocular hyphaema, intraocular haemorrhage, and various abscesses. The efficacy of intrapleural streptokinase in this study provides general support for this novel approach. Fibrinolytic “enzymatic debridement” may evolve to reduce the need for drainage surgery in many common situations.

In conclusion, this study has shown that intrapleural streptokinase at a dose of 250 000 IU daily for three days is safe and effective in improving the catheter drainage of infected pleural effusions. It suggests it may be a useful aid in the management of this disorder.

Table 3 Mean (SD) time to normalisation of the clinical end points, the total duration of hospital stay, and the total duration of pleural drainage in the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Streptokinase (n = 12)</th>
<th>Control (n = 12)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of pleural drainage (days)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>0.0 (−3.49 to 3.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>3.0 (−6.14 to 12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to normal white cell count (days)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>6.0 (−3.81 to 11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to normal Bood C reactive protein (days)</td>
<td>34 (10)</td>
<td>27 (17)</td>
<td>7.0 (−4.81 to 18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to temperature normal consistently (days)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
<td>−2.0 (−7.08 to 3.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The differences between the two groups were all non-significant.
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