Immunopathological changes in the airways of stable lung transplant recipients
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Abstract

**Background** - Pathological obliterative bronchiolitis, characterised by inflammation and occlusion of airways, is a serious complication of lung transplantation. Endobronchial biopsy (EBB) provides a means of examining transplanted airways. This study aimed to investigate the role of EBB samples in revealing early signals of airway injury.

**Methods** - In 18 stable lung transplant recipients with close to maximal lung function, EBB samples were taken simultaneously with transbronchial biopsy samples and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid. OCT embedded specimens were snap frozen on an isopentane slurry made with liquid nitrogen and 7 μm sections were stained with monoclonal antibodies using a three stage immunoperoxidase method.

**Results** - Compared with nine non-transplanted control subjects, EBB specimens from the stable transplant group had significantly increased CD8 positivity (median 53 versus 27 cells/mm basement membrane, p = 0.04; 95% CI for the difference 1 to HLA-DR positivity (median 84 versus 26 cells/mm basement membrane, 95% CI for the difference 6 to 115). There was an increase in CD68 positive cells in the EBB specimens from transplant recipients of borderline significance (median 92 versus 68, p = 0.08, 95% CI for the difference 1 to 84). CD3, CD4, and CD25 counts were similar in the two groups. EBB findings were not influenced by age, sex, induction for transplant, inmunosuppression doses or levels, nor the presence of airway commensals in the BAL fluid.

**Conclusions** - EBB is practicable in a transplant setting and provides information about bronchial inflammatory changes. It is likely that there is ongoing inflammation, possibly rejection mediated, even in healthy lung transplant recipients despite triple immunosuppression.

(Torax 1997;52:322–328)
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Relatively little is known of the immunopathology of the airway following lung transplantation, either in stable transplant patients or in those with chronic rejection (synonymous with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome). The gross pathology of transplanted airways is recognised in the Lung Rejection Study Group's working formulation of lung rejection. Four separate entities are described: lymphocytic bronchitis and bronchiolitis, obliterative bronchiolitis, and bronchiectasis. There is an important distinction between histological obliterative bronchiolitis and the clinically defined bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome which does not require histological evidence of obliterative bronchiolitis.

Attention has focused on the assessment of acute "lung" rejection using transbronchial biopsy (TBB) specimens of lung parenchyma to categorise perivascular phenomena. The yield of useful bronchial tissue from such specimens is variable but frequently poor. Estimates for the sensitivity of diagnosing obliterative bronchiolitis from TBB specimens range from 15.2% to 87%. Chamberlain and coworkers recently described a sensitivity of 17.1% and a specificity of 94.5% for histological obliterative bronchiolitis from one transbronchial lung biopsy procedure. It is probable that important early indicators of airway injury are being under-recognised and therapeutic opportunities missed, particularly with reference to the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. With current protocols the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome remains the commonest cause of morbidity and mortality in survivors of lung transplantation beyond three months. The risk is estimated to be between 10% and 54% with an overall mortality rate of 50%.

It is hypothesised that the pathogenesis of histological obliterative bronchiolitis involves lymphocyte mediated airway epithelial damage associated with increased expression of class II MHC antigens and an infiltrate of antigen presenting dendritic cells. The resulting stimulation of alloreactive CD4 lymphocytes is thought to lead to local cytokine release. Current concepts of fibrosis suggest that recruited macrophages and mast cells release tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1, and platelet derived growth factor (PDGF)-β leading to fibroblast proliferation and subsequent collagen production. The actual pathway in human transplanted lung has yet to be defined but includes small and large airways, initially in an irregular distribution. Interestingly, in a necroscopic study where the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome was the cause of death, airway scarring and collagen...
Table 1 Clinical details of stable transplant recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Original disease</th>
<th>Days after transplantation</th>
<th>% max FEV₁</th>
<th>Rejection grade</th>
<th>BAL microbiology</th>
<th>Cyclosporin level (µg/l)</th>
<th>Dose of prednisolone (mg)</th>
<th>Dose of azathioprine (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>A1B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>PPH</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>CMV,SA</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>PsA,ASP</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>SPH</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A0B2</td>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A1B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>A0B0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>A1B0</td>
<td>PsA,CMV</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>A1B2</td>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>A1B3</td>
<td>PsA,CMV</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>283</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>32–43</td>
<td>106–543</td>
<td>98–100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B = bronchiectasis; CF = cystic fibrosis; O = emphysema; S = sarcoidosis; E = Eisenmenger’s syndrome; PPH = primary pulmonary hypertension; ASP = aspergillus; SPn = Streptococcus pneumoniae; SA = Staphylococcus aureus; PsA = Pseudomonas aeruginosa (culture); CMV = cytomegalovirus (viral IF).

1 A = acute rejection 0–4, B = airway inflammation 0–4 (X = ungradeable).

Deposition were more consistently found in large, rather than small, airways. Details of airway inflammation in asthma and chronic airflow limitation have evolved relatively recently, primarily using endobronchial biopsy (EBB) specimens to sample the bronchial mucosa directly. We have recently reported increased deposition of collagen in the airway of subjects with relatively mild asthma, suggesting that airway scarring in this situation may lead to fixed airway narrowing and rigidity. In subjects with chronic bronchitis Di Stefano and coworkers showed that there was a link between airflow limitation and the number of lymphocytes and macrophages in the bronchial mucosa. An inverse correlation was found between the number of T lymphocytes and the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁).

This study aimed to define inflammatory cell activation, markers, and T lymphocyte phenotypes present in EBB samples from a cross section of stable healthy lung transplant recipients compared with healthy controls. Characterising early immunopathological airway changes may prove relevant to understanding the later development of the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome.

**Methods**

EBB specimens were taken from 18 stable lung transplant recipients (table 1) of median age 36 years (interquartile range 32–43). Eleven had received double lung transplants, five heart-lung transplants, and two single lung transplants. EBB samples were taken at the same time as routine surveillance of TBB samples and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (at two, four, eight, 12, 26, 39, 52 weeks and yearly thereafter). The interval from transplantation to the biopsy varied from 58 to 1301 days (median 195 days). All had FEV₁ measurements at or near their maximal post transplant value (median 100%, interquartile range 98–100%) — that is, all were designated bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome (BOS) category 0. Patients were excluded if they had prior clinical likelihood of intercurrent lung infection or rejection. No patients were excluded after EBB samples were taken.

Nine asymptomatic non-smoking volunteers were recruited as controls. This group had a median age of 22 years (interquartile range 20–24). They were non-atopic and non-asthmatic, with a negative methacholine challenge. Controls were not age or sex matched as there is no current evidence that this affects EBB findings.

The study was approved by the Alfred Hospital ethics review committee.

Selection and procurement of the pulmonary donor was in accordance with guidelines described elsewhere. No donor organs came from subjects with any form of airflow obstruction or known respiratory disease (according to donor hospital records).

All patients began triple immunosuppression therapy immediately after the operation. Maintenance therapy included cyclosporin (to achieve a blood level of 200–350 µg/l); EMIT assay, parent drug only (Syva, California, USA)), azathioprine (1.0–2 mg/kg/day), and prednisolone (0.15–0.25 mg/kg/day). Anti- lymphocyte preparations and inhaled steroids were not used in any patients.

Cyclosporin assay and lung function testing were undertaken immediately before the bronchoscopic examination. Spirometric tests were performed with a Masterscreen Spirometer (Jaeger, Wuerzburg, Germany) at two week intervals for up to six months after transplantation and monthly thereafter. The FEV₁ was compared with the recipient’s previous best value after transplantation to ascertain the percentage of maximal FEV₁.
Four specimens were taken from lower lobe subcarinae with alligator forceps (Olympus, FB 15C, Japan) and placed into chilled saline. OCT embedded biopsies were snap frozen in a liquid nitrogen chilled isopentane slurry, then sectioned on a high performance cryostat. Frozen tissue sections of 7 μm were fixed in a paraformaldehyde based fixative (PLP) for 15 minutes at 4°C prior to staining. The staining panel (DAKO, Denmark) for lymphocyte typing included anti-CD3, CD4, and CD8. Anti-CD25 and HLA-DR were used as cell activation markers and anti-CD68 was used as a marker of macrophages. Staining for cell subsets/activation markers was undertaken using a standard three layer immunoperoxidase stain (Vectastain Elite ABC kit, Vector Laboratories, California, USA).

For each monoclonal antibody or isotype control, two sections 30 μm apart were examined by a single observer, blind to the case. The submucosa was analysed to a depth of 150 μm and counts were expressed per mm of basement membrane using a computerised colour image analysis system (Video Pro 32, Leading Edge, Sydney, Australia).

### Results

All patients were lung rejection grade A0 or A1. The bronchiolar component of the grading system demonstrated bronchioles present in 14 of 18 specimens (table 1). Bronchiolar inflammation was evident in four of these 14 samples.

Immunosuppression on the day the biopsy sample was taken is shown in table 1. The median cyclosporin blood level was 283 μg/l (interquartile range 221–410), the median dose of prednisolone was 15 mg daily (interquartile range 7.5–15 mg), and the median dose of azathioprine was 63 mg daily (interquartile range 50–81 mg).

BAL culture or immunofluorescence demonstrated six bacteria and seven viruses in 11 recipients. All were considered both clinically and microbiologically to be commensals. Neither clinical nor histological pneumonitis and bronchitis were present and no patient had a history of infection or rejection in the previous two months. No cytopathic effect of cytomegalovirus was seen on cytological analysis of BAL fluid samples or histological analysis of TBB specimens.

There were no complications following EBB; specifically, there were no episodes of significant endobronchial haemorrhage or pneumothorax. The acquisition of EBB specimens added five minutes to the standard bronchoscopic procedure.

The analysis of cell surface markers in EBB samples from stable transplant recipients is shown in table 2 and fig 1. There was a trend towards an overall increase in lymphocyte numbers, but with a significant increase only in the number of cells with CD8 positivity in the stable transplant population (median 53 (interquartile range 30–76) versus 27 (interquartile range 16–44) cells/mm basement membrane, \( p = 0.04; 95\% CI \) for the difference 1 to 46). There was no difference in CD25 positive cells, but there was an increase in HLA-DR positive staining cells in the transplant recipients (median 105 (interquartile range 34–151) versus 26 (interquartile range 12–64) cells/mm basement membrane, \( p = 0.03, 95\% CI \) for the difference 6 to 115). There was a trend towards an increase in CD68 positive cells (median 92 (interquartile range 69–169) versus 68 (interquartile range 32–87), \( p = 0.07, 95\% CI \) for the difference −1 to 84).

There was no correlation between individual EBB findings and the time after transplantation. The expected trend was seen for a lower dose of prednisolone with increasing time after transplantation (\( r = 0.71 \)). Cyclosporin level and azathioprine dosage did not correlate significantly with time after transplantation. The blood levels of cyclosporin did not correlate with CD8 measurements. EBB findings did not correlate with age, sex, underlying condition, doses or blood levels of immunosuppressive agents. Importantly, the presence of bacterial or viral commensals in BAL fluid did not correlate with cell counts (table 3).

EBB findings did not correlate with the standard TBB bronchiolar grading of inflammation although, with only 14 evaluable
biopsy samples, numbers are small. All patients with bronchiolar inflammation on the TBB specimen remained BOS category 0 with a median follow up of 513 days (interquartile range 345–548) from when the EBB specimen was taken.

Only two patients developed the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome during the follow up.
We chose to study stable non-rejecting transplant recipients. Our study suggests that support this view. However, the possibility that the obstruction is a function of time after lung transplantation has been described. As well as rejection, other factors such as infection, ischaemia, and denervation may influence the immunopathology of EBB samples after transplantation.

The absence of overt "lung" rejection as a cause of the changes noted was ascertained by the absence of clinical or laboratory evidence from the literature on lung transplantation. In our study population acute infection was an exclusion criterion, although several patients were colonised with bacteria or cytomegalovirus as evidenced from analysis of the BAL fluid. The presence of these organisms in BAL fluid organisms in BAL fluid served CD8 signal in the EBB samples is due to our routine practice of decreasing the cyclosporin level with time after transplantation (and that the time since transplantation in our patient group was longer) may explain some of the apparent contradictions between the two studies. It is also notable that Fournier excluded patients with grade 1 acute rejection, a biopsy appearance that has hitherto been regarded as benign. This difference in biopsy profiles might explain the different results seen. An alternative and less conventional counting technique was used by Fournier, but this was not likely to explain the different results observed.

We chose to study stable non-rejecting transplant recipients in order to provide a suitable baseline of "normality" after pulmonary transplantation. On the other hand, the true stability of lung allografts is questionable. A steady increase in the incidence and severity of airway obstruction as a function of time after lung transplantation has been described. As well as rejection, other factors such as infection, ischaemia, and denervation may influence the immunopathology of EBB samples after transplantation.

Discussion
This study confirms the practicability of performing and analysing EBB samples in lung transplant recipients. Specimens can be safely taken at the time of routine bronchoscopic follow up.

The published literature on lung transplantation provides little evidence for ongoing inflammation in the airways of healthy lung transplant recipients. Our study suggests that inflammation is present, although its aetiology is uncertain.

Our data contrast markedly with a recent study performed in a similar group by Fournier et al who reported significantly decreased mucosal T cell numbers and HLA-DR positivity. The immunosuppression doses used in this French study were not detailed for individual patients but cytolytic induction therapy was used. We could not discern a correlation between our spot levels of cyclosporin and EBB findings. However, the possibility that the observed CD8 signal in the EBB samples is due...
Transplant airway immunopathology

The presence of covert airway inflammation in the setting of triple immunotherapy after transplantation parallels studies performed in asthmatic subjects. Corrigan has shown that not all asthmatic patients respond identically to treatment with glucocorticoids and cyclosporin in terms of inhibition of T lymphocyte function. Resistant patients have been shown to have significantly increased percentages of T cells expressing CD25 and HLA-DR surface markers of cell activation. The notion of a drug resistant population of cells in human lung allografts has been previously described. In addition, the transplantation of lungs from an asthmatic donor into a previously non-asthmatic recipient can precipitate apparent asthma despite triple immunosuppression.

Generally, the presence of clinical obliterative bronchiolitis has been associated with a relative CD8 lymphocytosis in BAL fluid and TBB samples. Hruban and coworkers have demonstrated similar changes when comparing necropsy material from the airways of patients with the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome and EBB samples from healthy transplant recipients. An early CD8 signal in EBB samples from healthy transplant recipients, as shown in our study, suggests the possibility of performing EBB (rather than TBB) to show early immunopathological changes that may be a marker of the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome.

In lung tissue from transplant recipients lymphocyte expression of HLA-DR and CD25 in samples of BAL fluid and TBB material has been weakly correlated with the presence of either rejection or infection. However, immunosuppression with cyclosporin and prednisolone (in the asthma model) would both tend to decrease the expression of CD25 and HLA-DR. The absence of clinical infection in our study population suggests that subtle rejection mediated cellular activation may be present. HLA-DR has been linked with lymphocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells, all of which have been implicated in allograft rejection and are present in the airway wall.

Increased macrophage numbers in our transplant population, as indicated by CD68 positivity, is also consistent with subtle allograft rejection. Paradis and coworkers have shown that macrophage numbers are increased in transplant recipients who reject the organ. Standard triple immunosuppressive therapy is relatively inefficient at inhibiting in vitro macrophage activity although the situation in vivo is not clear. The bronchiolar inflammation evident from TBB and EBB samples was not correlated with the development of the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome in the two recipients who subsequently developed this complication.

In conclusion, studies utilising EBB samples from lung transplant recipients are safe and provide information regarding bronchial inflammatory changes. It appears likely that there is ongoing inflammation (possibly rejection mediated) even in healthy asymptomatic stable lung transplant recipients, despite standard triple immunosuppression. This is an important finding given recent work showing that EBB evidence of inflammation in patients with chronic airflow limitation is inversely correlated with the FEV1. The potential roles of grade 1 acute rejection and bacterial or viral colonisation need to be clarified. Understanding the immune events that may precede the processes underlying airway scarring in transplant recipients may allow treatment to be directed to prevent the high mortality due to the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. However, longitudinal studies are now necessary to relate the relatively early and subtle changes in the airways we have described to the subsequent evolution of the bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome.

This study was supported by a grant from the Alfred Healthcare Foundation.
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