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Abstract

Background – The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of single and chronic dosing with salmeterol on exercise capacity and lung function in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Methods – Twenty-nine patients of mean (SE) age 64 (1.5) years, forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) 42(3)% of predicted, and 5–15% reversibility to salbutamol 200 μg were randomised to receive four weeks treatment with salmeterol 50 μg twice daily or placebo in a double blind crossover fashion with a one week washout period in between. Measurements of spirometric parameters, static lung volumes, and exercise capacity were made one and six hours after a single dose, and six hours after the final dose of salmeterol or placebo.

Results – Salmeterol produced a small increase in FEV₁ at one and six hours after a single dose, and this was maintained after chronic dosing (mean difference and 95% CI versus placebo): single dosing at one hour 0.07 (95% CI 0.02 to 0.11) L, single dosing at six hours 0.16 (95% CI 0.09 to 0.22) L, chronic dosing at six hours 0.11 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.19) L. The increase in forced vital capacity (FVC) was greater with salmeterol than with placebo six hours after single but not chronic dosing: single dosing at six hours 0.17 (95% CI 0.04 to 0.29) L, chronic dosing at six hours 0.02 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.22) L. Slow vital capacity was increased after treatment with salmeterol compared with placebo one and six hours after single but not after chronic dosing. There were no significant differences in static lung volumes or exercise capacity after single or chronic dosing with salmeterol compared with placebo. Patients reported a significantly lower Borg score for perceived exertion following the six minute walk after chronic treatment with salmeterol compared with placebo.

Conclusions – Salmeterol produced a small improvement in spirometric values compared with placebo consistent with the degree of reversibility originally shown by the subjects to salbutamol 200 μg. This was not associated with improvements in static lung volumes or exercise capacity, but there was some symptomatic benefit in that patients were able to walk the same distance in six minutes with less perceived exertion.
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Although the long acting β₂ receptor agonist salmeterol produces prolonged, clinically significant bronchodilatation following both single and chronic dosing in asthmatic subjects, little information is available regarding the effects of salmeterol on lung function in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Furthermore, when evaluating bronchodilators in this context, in addition to the changes in spirometric parameters that may be expected to be small and of limited clinical significance in themselves, it is also important to consider the impact of the drug on the functional capacity of a patient.

Assessment of exercise capacity in this group of patients does, however, present difficulties. When evaluating exercise capacity in normal subjects an assessment of maximum oxygen consumption is usually made. This, however, requires repeated estimations to ensure adequate consistency of the measurement, and the procedure itself is demanding because it requires exercise to exhaustion which would be both hazardous and impractical in patients with COPD. Attempts have therefore been made to establish reliable indices of performance during submaximal exercise. Spiro et al suggested the concept of physiological strain. By measuring heart rate and ventilation at relatively low levels of oxygen consumption it is possible to relate the increase in heart rate or ventilation to an estimate of the subject’s maximum capacity to increase heart rate or ventilation. This capacity for increase is termed the adaptation capacity. Thus, an individual will be less fit and have a greater physiological strain if a given energy expenditure requires a larger proportion of the adaptation capacity. The primary aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of single and chronic dosing with salmeterol on exercise capacity in patients with COPD. The effects of single and chronic dosing with salmeterol on lung function were also assessed.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Patients with stable COPD with a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) of
25–75% of predicted normal and 5–15% reversibility to salbutamol 200 μg were recruited into the study. Patients with other significant systemic or musculoskeletal disease or those requiring maintenance therapy with oral steroids were excluded.

Forty six patients were initially recruited from a respiratory outpatient clinic of whom 29 were randomised to treatment and were therefore included in the intent-to-treat analysis. Reasons for withdrawal prior to randomisation were as follows: ineligibility (three patients), adverse events (three patients), defaulted (four patients), failure to return (six patients), and non-compliance (one patient). All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study which was approved by the Tayside committee for medical ethics.

The intent-to-treat population consisted of 22 men and seven women with a mean (SE) age of 64 (1.5) years, FEV₁ of 1.2 (0.08) l, 42 (3)% of predicted, and 12.5 (1.3)% reversibility to salbutamol 200 μg. All subjects had a history of current or previous tobacco smoking. Concurrent medication during the study was as follows: inhaled corticosteroids (24 patients), theophylline preparations (six), and inhaled anticholinergics (seven). Prior to the run-in period 28 patients were using inhaled β₂ agonists.

Of the 29 patients in the intent-to-treat population, 24 completed the study. Reasons for withdrawal after randomisation to treatment were as follows: adverse events (three patients), ineligibility (one), non-compliance (one), and one patient defaulted.

**MEASUREMENTS**

**Lung function**

Spirometric parameters were measured with a dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to American Thoracic Society criteria, with the best of three consistent recordings being used in the analysis. Measurements of static lung volumes and gas transfer were made by closed circuit helium dilution and single breath carbon monoxide methods, respectively, using a transfer test machine (PK Morgan Ltd, Rainham, Kent, UK).

**Exercise tests**

A standardised six minute walking test was performed with heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation being monitored before and after the walk. In addition, at the end of the walk patients were asked to state Borg scores for perceived exertion, breathlessness, chest pain, and fatigue. Patients then had a 30 minute rest period before commencing a progressive exercise test performed on a bicycle ergometer. During the test, tidal volume, minute ventilation, oxygen uptake, heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation were recorded. After an initial minute at rest during which baseline values were established, patients were requested to pedal at 50–60 rpm against zero load for a further minute after which a load of 10 W was introduced. At the end of each subsequent minute the load was increased by 10 W. The test was terminated either at the patient's request or when 75% of the predicted maximum heart rate was reached. The Borg symptom score was recorded at the end of the test. Values for ventilation and heart rate at oxygen consumption levels of 750 and 1000 ml/min were calculated and used to derive values for physiological strain.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Analysis of the data was performed using SAS and Statgraphics software packages. Spirometric data, static lung volumes, and cycle ergometry data were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The six minute walking
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Table 1  Mean spirometric values and static lung volumes one and six hours after a single dose of salmeterol 50 µg or placebo, and at six hours after a four week treatment period with salmeterol 50 µg twice daily or placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dFEV₁</td>
<td>0-08</td>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>0-02 to 0-11</td>
<td>0-03</td>
<td>0-13</td>
<td>0-09 to 0-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dFVC</td>
<td>0-17</td>
<td>0-23</td>
<td>0-08 to 0-20</td>
<td>0-03</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0-04 to 0-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVC</td>
<td>2-63</td>
<td>2-91</td>
<td>0-17 to 0-39</td>
<td>2-61</td>
<td>2-86</td>
<td>0-13 to 0-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (l)</td>
<td>5-66</td>
<td>5-88</td>
<td>0-12 to 0-56</td>
<td>5-67</td>
<td>5-83</td>
<td>0-06 to 0-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (l)</td>
<td>3-03</td>
<td>2-96</td>
<td>0-42 to 0-29</td>
<td>3-06</td>
<td>2-97</td>
<td>0-34 to 0-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLC = total lung capacity; RV = residual volume; SVC = slow vital capacity; dFEV₁ = change in forced expiratory volume in one second from baseline; dFVC = change in forced vital capacity from baseline.

Table 2  Changes from resting values in ventilation (dVent), oxygen uptake (dVO₂) and heart rate (dHR), oxygen saturation (do₂), and the derived parameters of physiological strain for heart rate and ventilation during bicycle ergometry six hours after a single dose of placebo or salmeterol 50 µg and after four weeks of treatment with placebo or salmeterol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Salmeterol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dVent (l/min)</td>
<td>18-33</td>
<td>17-65</td>
<td>3-17 to 1-61</td>
<td>17-84</td>
<td>18-99</td>
<td>1-5 to 2-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dVO₂ (ml/min)</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1-41 to 1-45</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>8-9 to 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dHR (beats/min)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1-12 to 1-19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1-7 to 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do₂ sat (%)</td>
<td>73-9</td>
<td>73-3</td>
<td>33-5 to 32-3</td>
<td>77-1</td>
<td>69-9</td>
<td>33 to 18-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiological strain [HR] (%)</td>
<td>63-5</td>
<td>58-3</td>
<td>16-3 to 5-8</td>
<td>64-6</td>
<td>64-5</td>
<td>8-4 to 8-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiological strain [Vent] (%)</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1-1 to 1-1</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1-1 to 1-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dVent = change in ventilation; dVO₂ = change in oxygen uptake; dHR = change in heart rate; do₂ = change in oxygen saturation; Vent = ventilation; HR = heart rate. All were measured six hours after treatment.

Results

SPIROMETRIC PARAMETERS

There was no significant difference in mean (SE) baseline values before treatment with placebo or salmeterol: FEV₁, 1-17 (0-08) l in the placebo group and 1-17 (0-08) l in the salmeterol group; FVC, 2-05 (0-11) l in the placebo group and 2-19 (0-12) l in the salmeterol group. Salmeterol produced a small but statistically significant (p<0.05) increase in FEV₁ compared with placebo both one and six hours after single dosing, and this was maintained after chronic dosing. The increase in FVC was significantly greater following salmeterol than with placebo six hours after single but not chronic dosing. Slow vital capacity was increased after treatment with salmeterol compared with placebo one and six hours after single but not chronic dosing (table 1, figure).

Of the 24 patients who completed the study eight were defined as bronchodilator responders with an increase in FEV₁ of >160 ml after a single dose of salmeterol, and seven patients fulfilled the criteria after chronic dosing with five fulfilling the criteria after both single and chronic dosing. With regard to FVC, nine patients had an increase of >330 ml after a single dose of salmeterol which was maintained in six after chronic dosing; five patients showed the increase after both single and chronic dosing. Only two patients showed a persistent improvement in both FEV₁ and FVC.

STATIC LUNG VOLUMES

There were no significant differences in static lung volumes following single or chronic dosing with salmeterol or with placebo (table 1).

SIX MINUTE WALKING TEST

There was no significant increase in the distance walked six hours after single or chronic dosing with salmeterol compared with placebo. The median (interquartile range) values following a single dose were 450 (371–491) m for placebo and 456 (390–473) m for salmeterol, and following chronic dosing the results were 450 (371–491) m for placebo and 452 (392–473) m for salmeterol. Borg scores for perceived exertion were significantly lower with salmeterol than with placebo (chronic (p = 0-004) but not single dosing (p = 0-06), with median (interquartile range) values following single dosing of 0-5 (0-1) for placebo and 1 (0-2) for salmeterol, and following chronic dosing 1 (0-2) for placebo and 0-5 (0-1) for salmeterol.

BICYCLE ERGOLOGY

There were no significant differences in oxygen uptake, ventilation, and heart rate responses or oxygen saturation during exercise following treatment with salmeterol or placebo. In addition, there were no significant differences in derived variables of physiological strain for heart rate and ventilation after treatment with salmeterol or placebo. Treatment with salmeterol was not associated with a reduction in Borg scores following bicycle ergometry compared with placebo (table 2).
Discussion

The results of this study show that salmeterol produced a small but statistically significant improvement in FEV₁, compared with placebo after both single and chronic dosing. This was associated with an improvement in FVC and SVC after single but not chronic dosing. In terms of exercise performance, neither single nor chronic dosing produced significant improvement. Following chronic dosing, however, Borg scores for perceived exertion after the six minute walking test were significantly lower with salmeterol than with placebo.

The benefits of long acting β₂ agonists in terms of improving lung function, exercise capacity, or symptoms in patients with relatively fixed airways obstruction has not yet been established. The available evidence regarding the benefits of short acting β₂ agonists in this regard is, however, conflicting. Both single and chronic dosing with conventional and high doses of β₂ agonists have been shown in some studies to improve spirometric values, walking distance, and symptom scores in patients with relatively fixed airways obstruction. It has also been noted that symptoms can appear to improve without significant measurable improvement in lung function or exercise performance. It is difficult, however, to compare these studies directly as there were differences in patient selection criteria, particularly with regard to the measures taken to exclude a significant reversible component to the airway obstruction. Variations in sample size, and hence the power of the study, and in the doses of bronchodilator used may also have influenced the results.

In the present study patients with a mean reversibility of 12.5% to salbutamol 200 μg were selected. This dose of salbutamol has been found to be of equivalent bronchodilator efficacy in asthmatic subjects to 50 μg of salmeterol. The finding of a mean improvement in FEV₁ of 12% in this group of patients following treatment with salmeterol 50 μg is therefore consistent with the inclusion criteria for this study. It is worth noting that this mean value encompasses a wide range of individual responses with some responses small enough to be attributable to natural variability, whilst in a few subjects increases in FEV₁ and FVC were large enough to exclude natural variability with confidence. It is possible that higher than conventional doses may have produced additional benefit in terms of bronchodilation as has been shown with β₂ agonists such as salbutamol and terbutaline.

In this study two tests were used to measure different aspects of exercise capacity. The walking test is a self paced measurement, using a familiar form of exercise, and therefore may be influenced by factors other than pulmonary function including the attitude and motivation of a particular patient. These factors have, in fact, been shown to be better predictors of performance on this test than measures of FEV₁ and FVC. Cycle ergometry follows a set pace using a less familiar form of exercise. It does, however, permit more complex physiological monitoring. Both forms of exercise test are subject to training effects with repeated testing. In the present study the use of two tests...
during the run-in period and the crossover design of the study would, however, have minimised the influence of such an effect on the results. In patients with COPD exercise limitation may be due to a combination of abnormalities including abnormalities of ventilatory mechanics, respiratory muscles, alveolar gas exchange and cardiac function. Alterations in ventilatory mechanics provide one of the most important limitations to exercise performance. Expiratory airflow obstruction is the main pathophysiological consequence of the underlying disease and, as a result, resting expiratory airflows in the patients in this study would be near to their achievable maximum. The prolongation of expiration together with the increased breathing rate during exercise will lead to hyperinflation and consequently an increase in the work of breathing. Thus, it could be predicted that relieving some of the expiratory obstruction may improve pulmonary mechanics by enabling breathing at a lower residual volume and therefore exercise capacity. In this study the small improvements in spirometric values were not, however, associated with an improvement in exercise capacity. This is perhaps not surprising given that "gas trapping" measured at rest was not significantly improved either in terms of a reduction in residual volume or the ratio of residual volume to total lung capacity.

From a practical point of view this study suggests that relatively complex exercise testing does not provide any additional useful information in terms of detecting clinically relevant improvements compared with more straightforward tests of lung function and six minute walking distance. With the exception of the Borg symptom scores following the six minute walking test, the responses were consistent after both single dosing and a period of regular treatment.

The symptomatic improvement which allows a comparable distance to be walked in six minutes with less perceived exertion after chronic dosing with salmeterol may represent a significant benefit to these patients in whom treatment options are relatively limited. In this regard a more detailed assessment of functional capacity and quality of life may have provided further evidence of benefit from treatment with salmeterol, as observed in a recent study which evaluated the effects of chronic dosing with salmeterol or placebo on quality of life in patients with COPD. We would like to thank Glaxo Research and Development Ltd for their support of this study.
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