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Abstract

Background – There is evidence that administration of higher doses of aminoglycosides gives less frequently improves the bactericidal effect and reduces the potential to cause side effects. To investigate this, a prospectively randomised open label therapeutic trial was undertaken in stratified groups of patients with cystic fibrosis to examine the efficacy and toxic potential of an aminoglycoside dosing regimen designed to generate high peak drug concentrations at 12 hourly intervals compared with conventional dosing at eight hourly intervals.

Methods – Patients in group A received tobramycin eight hourly using a dose aimed at generating a peak concentration of 10 mg/l with trough concentrations below 2 mg/l, and those in group B received the total daily dose required to achieve eight hourly target concentrations administered as two equal 12 hourly doses. Clinical outcomes measured and assessed included vestibular symptoms, hearing and renal function, length of hospital stay, readmission rate, and mortality.

Results – Twenty-nine patients were recruited during a six month period, 20 to group A and nine to group B. The average peak tobramycin level was higher in group B (12.5 (2.2) mg/l) than in group A (7.9 (1.9) mg/l), whilst the average trough level was higher in group A (0.8 (0.3) mg/l) than in group B (0.5 (0.2) mg/l). There was a difference in the number of ototoxic events between patients in group A (seven of 18, 38%) and group B (none of eight), but no difference was found in other outcome measures assessed.

Conclusions – These results suggest that a 12 hourly high peak aminoglycoside dosing may be less toxic than equivalent eight hourly dosing, without any apparent difference in efficacy.

(Thorax 1996;51:369-373)
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Aminoglycoside antibiotics provide an effective and inexpensive treatment for Gram negative infections, yet their usefulness as antibiotics has been limited by their potential to cause ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity. The efficacy of larger less frequent aminoglycoside dosing regimens becomes a concern in patient groups whose clearance of the drug makes the interdose interval more critical, and these patient groups must be included in any rational analysis of this issue. Patients with cystic fibrosis represent such a group.

The primary pathogens implicated in the chronic lung infection associated with cystic fibrosis are *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the latter being responsible for chronic infection in 70–90% of all these patients. **P. aeruginosa** undergo phenotypic adaptation within the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis, the most important being the emergence of mucoid strains. Higher concentrations of aminoglycosides are required for bactericidal activity against mucoid strains of *P. aeruginosa*, consistent with observations that the best responses to aminoglycoside antibiotics have been seen in patients with cystic fibrosis with high plasma concentrations and correspondingly high sputum levels.

A larger volume of distribution coupled with an increased clearance of the drugs means that proportionally larger doses are also required to achieve adequate serum concentrations after dosing.

The aim of this study was to examine the efficacy and toxic potential of a dosing regimen of tobramycin which generates higher peak concentrations than those currently targeted in patients with cystic fibrosis. This was achieved by a randomised prospective comparison of two regimens of tobramycin in which the same total daily dose was administered at either eight or 12 hourly intervals.

Methods

Patients

Patients with cystic fibrosis admitted to the Alfred Healthcare Group with an exacerbation of pulmonary infection, for which tobramycin was indicated according to microbiological criteria, were eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria were known allergy to aminoglycosides, renal impairment, clinically apparent hearing loss or vestibular disease, or involvement in the heart/lung transplant programme. Patients were stratified according to the severity and progression of their disease state using criteria applicable at the Royal Children’s Hospital Australia to ensure that equal numbers of patients from each level of severity were represented in both groups. The three levels of
stratification were severe (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) < 50% predicted), moderate (FEV₁ 50–70% predicted) and mild (FEV₁ > 70% predicted), based on best results within the 12 months prior to the study, or the most recent result if more than 12 months. Randomisation took place before recruitment into the trial to accommodate potential involvement of patients transferred from the Royal Children’s Hospital to the Alfred Healthcare Group. Patients were randomised by use of a random number generator. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and patient confidentiality was maintained for all patients entering the study. Patients who had already consented to the study were eligible to be enrolled on subsequent admissions. Those readmitted during the period of the study received the same dose of tobramycin as that received immediately before their previous discharge, thereby placing them in the same dosing group.

**Tobramycin Dosage**

Once stratified according to their disease state, the patients were randomised into two groups (A and B). Details of height, weight, age, and serum creatinine were recorded on all patients. This information was then entered into the aminoglycoside computer monitoring programme and appropriate doses were simulated. Initial doses were calculated by use of Bayesian forecasting whereby dosage predictions are based on population derived values rather than known blood concentrations of tobramycin. Once patients were entered into the trial and dosed, the resultant serum tobramycin concentrations were used to determine subsequent dosage recommendations using the patients’ pharmacokinetic parameters. Patients in group A were recommended doses of tobramycin to be administered eight hourly to achieve target peak concentrations of 10 mg/l while maintaining trough concentrations below 2 mg/l. Those in group B were recommended the total daily dose required to achieve peak concentrations of 10 mg/l if dosed eight hourly, but they were to receive the drug as two doses separated by 12 hourly intervals. Doses were reviewed twice weekly when tobramycin serum concentrations were available and dosing recommendations made using the computerised aminoglycoside pharmacokinetic programme to maintain levels within the target range. All tobramycin doses were administered by either intravenous bolus (over 1–2 minutes) or intravenous infusion in 50 ml of compatible fluid over 30 minutes.

**Tobramycin Assay**

Serum concentrations of tobramycin were measured on all patients twice weekly according to standard policy of the unit. Serum “trough” samples were drawn immediately before the next dose and “peak” samples were drawn 30 minutes after completion of the infusion/bolus dose. In these patients 1 ml of blood was obtained by finger prick. Serum tobramycin concentrations were determined using fluorescent polarisation immunoassay (TDx, TDxFLx, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Illinois, USA) by the Chemical Pathology Department.

**Assessment of Clinical Outcome**

Data including patient weight, body temperature, serum creatinine, haematological results, tobramycin peak/trough plasma concentrations, sputum isolates and sensitivities, admission/discharge information, and concurrent medication were collected. Assessments made of clinical outcome included length of hospital stay, readmission rate, number of days between discharge and first readmission, response of measures of infection including sputum culture, antibiotic sensitivity data, and mortality. Patients were also required to complete a daily self-assessment form designed to determine the extent of patient well being with particular attention to symptoms suggestive of vestibular side effects.

**Assessment of Adverse Effects**

Nephrotoxicity was defined as an increase of ≥ 0·04 mmol/l in the serum creatinine concentration following treatment compared with the concentration before treatment, without any confounding factors. Serum creatinine levels were measured at admission and discharge. Because tobramycin almost exclusively undergoes renal elimination, the predose “trough” serum concentration can also indicate drug accumulation and therefore potential nephrotoxicity. Trough serum levels were monitored closely and, when indicated, additional serum creatinine levels were measured. Tobramycin clearance was calculated by the computer monitoring programme on commencement and twice weekly during treatment.

Otoxicity was defined as a loss of at least 15 dB in at least two adjacent frequencies, or 20 dB in any one frequency, in one or both ears, compared with evaluation before treatment. Audiometric tests were undertaken by the Department of Otoneurology to monitor hearing function in all patients before and after treatment with tobramycin, and in some instances during treatment. Hearing thresholds were determined by pure tone Hughson–Westlake audiometry using Madsen OB822, Maico MA41 (250–8000 Hz, TDH39 head-phones and calibrated to AS2586, 1983 standards), and Model AS10HF (8000–18 000 Hz, HV1A headphones) machines. The individual patient audiograms were then blindly assessed by the head of the Otoneurology Department for otoxicity as defined by the study criteria. Vestibular side effects were monitored daily by patient self-assessment forms. Patients were required to note whether they had experienced any feelings of vertigo, dizziness, or light-headedness during treatment. Provision was made for any suspected instances of vestibular toxicity to be referred immediately to the Department of Otoneurology for further assessment. The study protocol was approved by the AHG ethics committee.


### RESULTS

#### Study Population

During a six month period 49 patients were eligible according to the study criteria and were randomised accordingly. Of these patients, 29 (22 men) consented to participate in the trial. A random difference in refusals resulted in an imbalance in study groups. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of age and weight. All patients were treated with other intravenous antibiotics in addition to tobramycin – that is, anti-pseudomonal penicillins or third generation cephalosporins. There was no difference in the pattern of use of other antibiotics in the two groups that would influence outcome, and other management such as physiotherapy, diet supplements, and bronchodilators was the same in both groups.

The demographic features, admissions to hospitals, and tobramycin dosing data are summarised in table 1. Patients in group A had significantly higher measured trough levels of tobramycin than those in group B (group A, 0.8 (0.3) mg/l (range 0.3–1.7 mg/l); group B, 0.5 (0.2) mg/l (range 0.2–1.0 mg/l)). Patients in group B had significantly higher measured peak levels of tobramycin than those in group A (group A, 7.9 (1.9) mg/l (range 4.0–13.7 mg/l); group B, 12.5 (2.2) mg/l (range 6.6–16.5 mg/l)). No other significant differences were seen. Thirteen of the 20 patients (65.0%) in group A were readmitted during the course of the trial compared with three of the nine patients (33.3%) in group B.

#### Sputum Cultures and Sensitivities

Sputum cultures and sensitivities, when performed on admission and discharge, did not show total eradication of offending pathogens *P aeruginosa* in either group.

#### Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using either Fisher's exact test or the unpaired Student's *t* test. The unpaired Student's *t* test was used when comparing two continuous variables for a significant difference. Fisher's exact test was used to compare two groups of discrete variables as the total number of observations ranged from eight to 50. A level of *p*≤0.05 was considered significant.

### Discussion

The results of this randomised prospective comparative therapeutic trial of aminoglycoside dosing regimens hold immediate implications for the management of infection in patients with cystic fibrosis. The key result was that, under conditions of equivalent aggregate daily dosing, a 12 hourly dosing regimen produced apparently equivalent therapeutic outcomes with significantly reduced ototoxicity to an eight hourly regimen. The ototoxicity data potentially contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms involved in the production of damage to the cochlea.

The difference in toxicity reported reflects the measurement of a wide frequency range (250–18,000 Hz) including, in particular, the high frequency range (9000–18,000 Hz) where it is recognised that aminoglycoside otoxicity first occurs in most (71%) cases.31 Paradoxically, full frequency assessment has only been undertaken in a fractional minority of the aminoglycoside literature reviewed by us and by others,32 and we could only identify two previous publications involving tobramycin.32,32 We also adopted a rigorous definition of hearing change which is both sensitive and specific for toxicity; accordingly, these results, together with previous work,121,12 represent important data for tobramycin.

Apart from the differences in interdose interval and mean, peak, and trough concentrations, we can only identify a minor difference in dose as a potential contributing factor to the difference in toxicity observed. The doses used in both groups (group A, 7.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Ear</th>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>Hearing loss (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>12 and 13</td>
<td>≥15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≥20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assessment of Adverse Events

Neither group displayed nephrotoxicity as defined by the study criteria with serum creatinine concentrations before treatment (group A, 0.07 (0.01) mmol/l (range 0.04–0.10 mmol/l), *n* = 20; group B, 0.07 (0.02) mmol/l (range 0.05–0.08 mmol/l), *n* = 15; group B, 0.07 (0.02) mmol/l (range 0.05–0.11 mmol/l), *n* = 5). No deaths were observed during the study. There was a significant association between ototoxicity and dosing schedule. Seven of the 18 monitored patients (38.9%) displayed ototoxicity in group A, whilst no cases of ototoxicity were observed in group B (*p*≤0.05, Fisher’s exact test). The ototoxicity changes observed are summarised in table 2. Complete data were not available for some patients.

---

**Table 1** Summary of mean (SD) results of patients studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (8 hourly)</th>
<th>Group B (12 hourly)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 20, 16 men)</td>
<td>(n = 9, 6 men)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>26.7 (9.5)</td>
<td>28.7 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.8 (8.2)</td>
<td>59.5 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>15.4 (9.89)</td>
<td>13.0 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between discharge and first readmission (days)</td>
<td>63.6 (38.1)</td>
<td>75.7 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average dose of tobramycin (mg/patient/day)</td>
<td>407.5 (56.9)</td>
<td>406.5 (103.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average dose of tobramycin (mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>6.8 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean tobramycin clearance (l/hour)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.1)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trough aminoglycoside level (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak aminoglycoside level (mg/l)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.9)</td>
<td>12.0 (2.2)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *p*≤0.001.
(0·5) mg/kg/day and group B, 6·8 (0·6) mg/kg/day) are, however, within the currently accepted ceiling for safe tobramycin dosing in patients with cystic fibrosis.11,12 Accordingly we conclude that the dose delivery characteristics, rather than the dose themselves, have determined the difference in toxicity detected.

Peak concentrations were significantly higher in the 12 hourly dosing group, so peak concentrations alone can be dismissed as a causative influence in toxicity. This is consistent with other published series in which the toxic role of peak plasma concentrations has been assessed.6 7 10 17 19-30 The association between trough concentrations and ototoxicity has been minimally documented, but the currently acceptable trough level is <2 mg/l.39 Accordingly, the results we observe indicate a need to re-examine the relationship between trough concentrations and ototoxicity as suggested by isolated examples published previously.40 41

If animal data relating to cochlear/vestibular tissue binding and plasma/tissue concentration differentials are considered, the plasma concentration range at which inner ear binding is likely to saturate is 1·8–10 mg/l.42 43 If cochlear safety is related to the time during which tissues are not exposed to concentrations which saturate uptake, then the net time that each regimen is below such concentrations can be compared. Assuming a single compartment pharmacokinetic model44 and directly comparing the resultant drug plasma profiles for both groups, we calculate that the 12 hour regimen will result in longer times when the plasma concentration is below 2·5 mg/l than the eight hour regimen. Differences in outcome would therefore be expected between eight hourly and 12 hourly regimens if the plasma threshold concentration for the toxic effect was proposed to be at or below 2·5 mg/l.

A simpler hypothesis would propose that the tissue uptake saturates rapidly at relatively low plasma concentrations. If a saturation point exists, it is the aminoglycoside concentration at which this occurs that must dictate the significant correlation between the drug plasma profiles in each group and the resulting ototoxicity. A balance must exist between the time of saturation, above which no further toxicity occurs, and the recovery time when drug concentrations fall below this saturation point. Multiple peak administration regimens would have a more pronounced influence on ototoxicity if the recovery time was the critical factor. Aminoglycoside dosing regimens that promote lower trough levels would potentially allow for longer recovery times. Our data would suggest that a 1·2 hourly interdose interval would allow such a recovery process to come to completion.

The disproportionate distribution of patients between the two groups does not allow for a definitive conclusion; however, the incidence of ototoxicity observed in this study is remarkably similar to that reported by other authors.21

Twelve hour dosing intervals appear to hold other advantages for patients. It was our experience that the trial patients in the 12 hourly arm adopted social patterns of greater mobility outside hospital and expressed a strong preference for the 12 hourly regimen. Such a regimen also has major economic advantages from the point of view of the use of clinical consumables and nursing assistance.38 46

On the basis of our findings, the following alterations to cystic fibrosis dosing guidelines could be suggested subject to more extensive review of clinical efficacy. We propose a target post-distributional peak of 16·5 mg/l, knowing it to be safe,17 and an interdose interval of 12 hours linked to such dosing exposure as this removes the apparent processes of ototoxicity. It may be possible to increase target peaks and interdose interval; however, any such change would require further prospective trials. It is therefore likely that, in patients with cystic fibrosis and high renal clearance, 12 hourly interdose intervals should be preferred to eight hourly dosing intervals.
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