Pregnancy following a single lung transplant
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Abstract
Successful pregnancy in a single lung transplant recipient has not been reported previously. The long term effect of pregnancy on graft function and management of deteriorating pulmonary function is not defined. This case describes the management, outcome, and problems encountered when a single lung transplant recipient developed a progressive deterioration in pulmonary function during pregnancy, attributed to accelerated obliterative bronchiolitis.
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There have been a number of reports of pregnancy with successful outcome following solid organ transplantation. Most of the information available pertains to renal and heart transplant recipients. With improving survival and functional status after transplantation, more women are able to consider the possibility of starting a family. Single lung transplantation was first performed successfully in 1983. The indications are usually restrictive or obstructive pulmonary disease. This report describes the successful outcome of a pregnancy in a woman following single lung transplantation.

Case report
The patient was a 31 year old white non-smoker who first presented in 1988 at the age of 24 with breathlessness and a dry cough. She had suffered from Raynaud’s phenomenon since childhood and had two grand mal fits during adolescence, the last being 10 years previously. A cerebral computed tomographic scan had been normal. A diagnosis of fibrosing alveolitis was made on open lung biopsy and treatment consisted of high dose prednisolone and cyclophosphamide. She continued to receive sodium valproate for her epilepsy.

Her respiratory condition gradually deteriorated and she developed cyclophosphamide related cytisitis. She was accepted for a single lung transplant and placed on the waiting list in June 1992. At that stage she was receiving prednisolone 15 mg daily and her forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was 1.06 l (36% predicted), forced vital capacity (FVC) 1.17 l.

In April 1993 she underwent left single lung transplantation. The donor was a 28 year old female non-smoker with no history of cardiovascular disease. Total ischaemic time for the procedure was five hours. In the early postoperative period the patient required one course of methylprednisolone (1 g for three days) for acute rejection, and antibiotics for Staphylococcus aureus cultured from sputum. She made a complete recovery.

In April 1994 her exercise tolerance was excellent. She led a full active life and planned marriage. At her annual assessment FEV₁, was
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Figure 1. Lung function following transplantation. ■ = FEV₁; ● = FVC.

2.2 l (75% predicted) and FVC 2.5 l (74% predicted). Immunosuppression consisted of triple therapy with whole blood cyclosporin levels of 150–250 ng/ml (parent compound), azathioprine 1 mg/kg, and prednisolone (8 mg at one year).

In October 1994 the patient presented with a 10 week history of vomiting and deterioration in respiratory function tests. She also complained of suprapubic discomfort. A suprapubic mass was found on clinical examination and an ultrasound scan was therefore performed. This revealed a single live fetus of approximately 15 weeks gestation. At that time cyclosporin levels were 200 ng/ml and the spirometric parameters were stable with FEV₁, 2.1 l (73% predicted). Bronchoscopy was performed and Pseudomonas aeruginosa was grown from the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Appropriate antibiotics were commenced.

Her blood pressure at her first antenatal visit was 110/70 mm Hg and urinalysis was normal. Serum screening for Down's syndrome was performed with α-fetoprotein and human chorionic gonadotrophin, and this gave a combined risk of 1 in 45. Amniocentesis at 18 weeks revealed a normal fetal karyotype. A detailed fetal anomaly ultrasound scan at 19 weeks confirmed normal anatomy. Serial ultrasound scans were performed from 23 weeks and confirmed normal fetal growth. She was admitted to hospital at 26 weeks with a small painless antepartum haemorrhage for which no cause was found.

Respiratory function tests were performed daily by the patient and monthly in the lung function laboratory. They demonstrated a decline despite stable immunosuppression (fig 1). At 34 weeks gestation FEV₁ had fallen to 1.48 l (50% predicted) and FVC to 1.92 l (57% predicted), and the patient complained of breathlessness. She was admitted and given intravenous methylprednisolone 500 mg for three days. The lung function did not improve and a bronchoscopy was performed. No growth was found on culture of the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. No biopsy specimens were taken. She was commenced on oral prednisolone 1 mg/kg tailing to 0.2 mg/kg. She remained an inpatient and daily urinalysis revealed 1+ of proteinuria. Her blood pressure rose to a maximum of 130/90 mm Hg and the lung function continued to decline.

Elective lower segment Caesarean section was performed at 38 weeks gestation under a combined epidural-spinal anaesthetic and a healthy male infant was delivered with a birth weight of 2.56 kg. He was bottlefed and at nine months is thriving and developing normally.

There were no postoperative complications. Following delivery there was symptomatic improvement but her spirometric values continued to decline and at eight weeks postpartum the FEV₁ was 1.26 l and FVC 1.83 l. She was hypertensive and amlodipine 5 mg was started with good effect. The patient and her husband have used the sheath and spermicidal jelly for contraception.

In view of the continuing deterioration she was converted to tacrolimus but, despite adequate blood levels (10–15 mg/ml), her lung function tests continued to decline so she underwent total lymphoid irradiation following which her condition has stabilised.

The patient continues to lead an independent life and is able to care for her child.

Discussion

Little information is available about pregnancy in single lung transplant recipients and this is the first case to be reported.

Pregnancy in “normal” women does not usually result in major changes in respiratory function tests and any decline in those of lung transplant recipients should therefore be viewed with suspicion. There should be a low threshold for the diagnosis of graft dysfunction, but management is hampered by a reluctance to perform chest radiography and invasive procedures such as bronchoscopy and transbronchial biopsies during pregnancy.

In renal transplant recipients changes in cyclosporin levels (caused by increasing blood volume, reduced haematocrit, and impairment of hepatic clearance) have been recorded in pregnancy. Cyclosporin levels must be monitored carefully and doses adjusted accordingly to maintain therapeutic levels. Cyclosporin nephrotoxicity, which may be present before pregnancy, and hypertension are prevalent in transplant recipients and may predispose to pre-eclamptic toxemia (PET).

The effect of immunosuppressive drugs on the fetus and neonate have been studied in the children of renal and heart transplant recipients. There appears to be no significant effect on fetal immunity and there is low risk of teratogenicity with these agents. However, the incidence of prematurity and fetal growth retardation in children of renal transplant recipients is high.

Chronic rejection/obliterative bronchiolitis is a serious long term complication of lung transplantation. We do not know if the risk is increased in pregnancy or the postpartum period. More aggressive investigation and immunosuppression therapy may be required to reduce long term graft dysfunction, but this has to
be balanced against the attendant risks of life-threatening infections and possible treatment with antibiotic regimens that may be teratogenic. The five year survival rate following single lung transplantation is 40%, and there is a significant risk that a mother will not live to see her child reach maturity.


Commentary

Paul A Corris

These three case reports all have lung transplantation as a common unifying theme but otherwise appear at first sight to comprise a heterogeneous collection. Each case, however, provides useful information regarding the management and understanding of lung disease in general. The careful study of patients undergoing lung transplantation for respiratory disease provides the potential for a rich source of information of help in our understanding of the basic mechanisms of lung diseases and their management that greatly extends beyond problems of alloreactivity alone. This is an exciting new avenue of research that is currently under extensive study in lung transplant centres worldwide.

Robinson et al describe a patient who underwent right single lung transplantation for severe acute interstitial pneumonia which subsequently improved in his native left lung after surgery. The patient had atypical clinical and radiological features of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and a lack of positive autoantibodies or raised systemic inflammatory markers. Failure to respond to high dose steroid therapy appeared to be the feature which prompted the decision to perform an open lung biopsy and, of course, the indications as to when and by which means lung biopsy specimens should be taken in patients presenting with diffuse parenchymal lung disease remains controversial. The improvement in radiographic appearances of the native left lung after right single lung transplantation is intriguing and contrasts markedly with our own experience of single lung transplantation in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. We have never recognised improvements in the native lung in 28 such patients. In fact, the lung is frequently seen to continue to shrink and end up completely consolidated. Although the improvement seen in this case may in part be explained by the transplantation allowing time for spontaneous resolution to occur, it is more tempting to ascribe it to the effects of immunosuppression. We are not told whether the patient had any episodes of rejection treated by pulsed methylprednisolone, but he certainly received immunosuppression comprising azathioprine, cyclosporin, and prednisolone. Important messages relate to the need to ensure that best medical treatment has been given prior to referral for transplantation and the appropriate use of a single lung graft compared with bilateral grafts in this case, in view of the subsequent events. The identification of a specific histological picture that is distinct from usual interstitial pneumonia underlines the value of open lung biopsies in atypical cases and should alert physicians to the need for intensification of immunosuppression in those patients with acute interstitial pneumonia who fail to respond to conventional therapy.

Egan et al describe a patient who developed irreversible graft dysfunction with alveolar fibrosis associated with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection on immunocytochemistry after heart lung transplantation. A specific antigen diagnostic of EBV replication was identified, although EBV latent membrane protein and nuclear antigen 2 were negative. The interpretation that EBV replication was the cause of graft failure and fibrosis is, of course, speculative and it remains possible that the EBV replication was coincidental. Nevertheless, there is current interest in the potential relationship between EBV and idiopathic lung fibrosis in general and this case at least provides some further evidence of the fibrogenic potential of EBV. It would have been both interesting and informative if the tissue had been examined for the presence of proliferative cytokines such as TGF-β. We have had the opportunity to study lung biopsies from a
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