Ironically, the recognised healthiness of vegetarians in terms of reduced mortality and morbidity may have biased the results in the direction of a spurious health benefit. If, for instance, out of the 400 original vegetarian and non-vegetarian tuberculosis cases between 1982 and 1993 the vegetarians amongst them survived in greater numbers and were more represented in the 56 cases in the study, then we are left with a false impression that vegetarian diet is more common amongst cases of tuberculosis.

In the clinic control group there could have been an abnormally low proportion of vegetarians deriving from their better health and lower clinic attendance. Then, even with a normal proportion of vegetarians in the cases of tuberculosis, this figure would have appeared higher than the proportion in the control group because of the spuriously elevated risk. The same selection mechanisms may have produced a spuriously correlated between the level of vegetarianism and risk of tuberculosis.

The postal questionnaire study of Chanarin quoted by the authors, purporting to show a 2.8-fold increased incidence of tuberculosis in Hindu Asian strict vegetarians, should be discounted since it does not take account of the fact that there were many more vegetarians in the older age groups where the risk of having had tuberculosis is higher.

Finally, stricter Hindu vegetarians may also be more inclined to follow the traditional habit of avoidance of sunlight exposure, which might give rise to a surrogate mistaken association of vegetarian diet with tuberculosis since, as the authors point out, vitamin D deficiency from lack of sunlight may weaken the immune system. While the data have been presented quite strongly as indicating a potential weakening effect of vegetarian diet on the immune system, they may also test the selection effects of a health-promoting influence of vegetarian diet consistent with a strengthening of the immune system.

Leslie Davis
Mahatma Ayur-Veda College of Natural Medicine, Menmon Towers, Leighton Buzzard, Bedfordshire LU7 8DD, UK

AUTHORS' REPLY We recognise that British vegetarians have somewhat lower mortality rates than non-vegetarians, but this difference is not large enough to introduce substantial bias as suggested by Dr Davis. In the study by Thorogood et al adjusted mortality rates from ischaemic heart disease and cancer among vegetarians were, respectively, 72% and 61% of the corresponding mortality rates for non-vegetarians. If all-cause mortality is reduced by about one third among vegetarians, who accounted for about half of our case group, then, on the most extreme assumption that 15% of non-vegetarian cases died over the follow up period, we would expect 10% of vegetarian cases to die also. This difference in survival would increase the proportion of vegetarians among survivors by no more than 1-2%, generating a spurious elevation in odds ratio of about 6%. This is far too small to account for the observed odds ratios of 2-5 or greater.

Our suggestion of an increased risk of tuberculosis among vegetarian Asians is not a recommendation against adherence to a vegetarian diet. Dietary advice needs to take account of the balance of risks and benefits across a wide range of major disease outcomes. The importance of our findings is that there may be pointing to a hitherto unrecognised risk associated with vegetarianism, which, if our current supposition is correct, may be remediable by vitamin supplementation without the need for major dietary change. Indeed, prevention of vitamin D deficiency may be particularly important for the stricter Hindu vegetarians with reduced sunlight exposure who are already recognised as a group at risk of osteomalacia.

D P Strachan
F J Millard
J D Maxwell
St George's Hospital Medical School, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, UK

Control and prevention of tuberculosis in the UK

Recent guidelines on the control and prevention of tuberculosis (December 1994;49: 1193-200) recommend chemoprophylaxis for children (≤16 years) with grade 2-4 HaF reactions who are close contacts of cases of pulmonary tuberculosis or newly arrived immigrants from high prevalence countries. Chemoprophylaxis, they advise, should also be considered for grade 3-4 HaF positive young adult settlers. The evidence from randomised controlled trials of prophylactic isoniazid underpinning this policy was summarised by Ferebee in 1970 and data abstracted from this review for populations comparable to those for whom chemoprophylaxis is recommended are shown in table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Tuberculosis morbidity</th>
<th>Difference in number/1000 (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 1: US children (1955-7) with &quot;asymptomatic primary TB&quot; and normal chest radiograph</td>
<td>5/495</td>
<td>3/556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial 2: Household contacts of new &quot;active&quot; cases (1957-9) in the USA, Puerto Rico, and Mexico</td>
<td>147/4992</td>
<td>57/4852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>Tuberculosis reaction &gt;10 mm</td>
<td>31/1616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>Tuberculosis reaction 5-9 mm</td>
<td>32/867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>Initial negative tuberculin reaction bars 5 mm at 12 months (recent converters)</td>
<td>&lt;15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuberculosis reaction &gt;4 mm</td>
<td>&quot; &quot;, &quot; &quot;, &quot; &quot;, &quot; &quot;, &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in the third column show the likely benefit per 1000 people treated; it may be substantially less than expected. US children in the first trial are comparable to non-immunised UK children undergoing BCG vaccination. The end point of this trial was symptomatic disease: two of the five children in the placebo arm developed tuberculous meningitis compared with none of those given chemoprophylaxis, although the difference is not statistically significant. The benefit (five symptomatic cases prevented per 1000 children treated) is small and raises questions about a policy of routine chemoprophylaxis for risk-low benefit groups.

The end point of the second trial was new cases of tuberculosis in a population of mixed exposure risk (37 US centres, 19 Puerto Rican, and one Mexican). Extrapolation from these data to the UK suggests that nationwide compliance with the guidelines might result in about 980 people per 1000 receiving treatment without expectation of benefit. In view of this evidence, the studies Dr Harding quotes, and others. If we assume 60% efficacy and include a "knock on" factor (1.33) for secondary cases prevented as a result of cases prevented by chemoprophylaxis, we arrive at estimates of effectiveness for a range of at-risk populations as shown in table 2.

The 10 year risk of disease in infected children (most of which is in the first two years) is 6-1%, giving an NNT of 15. The two year risk of disease in contacts of smear
Higgins and colleagues have not addressed seasonal influences and have only looked at mean temperature rather than mean and minimum or dew point temperatures.

In addition, no allowance has been made for the effect of confounding (the tendency for adjacent observations within subjects to be more similar than those between subjects), a very important factor in air pollution epidemiology. Failure to allow for this can result in serious errors.

There is no measurement of particular matter either as PM10, TSP, or black smoke. Although the authors acknowledge this in their discussion, it is well recognised that levels of sulphur dioxide and particulates can co-vary, particularly in areas near power stations. Consequently, even if the missing confounding variables are addressed, any association which might remain with sulphur dioxide may in fact be attributable to particulates.

The OPSIS system gives values recorded many metres above street level and will thus report higher levels of ozone than at street level. Without measurements of particles or street level ozone levels, causal attribution to ozone would be unwise.

We have published an effect of sulphur dioxide and British smoke on hospital admissions that we were not aware which we clearly pointed out was an association without necessarily implying causality. Subsequent correspondence reinforced this cautious approach.

We have shown that maximum hourly sulphur dioxide levels correlate with the following morning's peak flow in patients with severe asthma, but we have had difficulty in deciding how to interpret these data without circular values and consequently this has not been published in full; again a more cautious approach.

The first Birmingham panel study, published in abstract form, shows a very limited problem of asthmatic subjects in the summer, but a more significant effect of aerosol strong acid (which Higgins and colleagues did not measure) in the summer although less so in the winter.

The authors also state that nitrogen dioxide has been shown to cause respiratory effects in challenge studies. If the authors read the full literature on nitrogen dioxide challenge they would see that effects have only been seen with extremely high levels of exposure, considerably above those normally seen in ambient air.

We would encourage the authors to reanalyse their data using the Wittmone and Korn analysis, accounting for autocorrelation and adequately controlling for confounders to see if there is any residual association. Until then these data are very difficult to interpret and the conclusions drawn by Higgins and colleagues will remain untenable.

Air pollution and COPD

A number of factors in the panel study reported by Higgins and colleagues (February 1995:50; 149–55) need to be addressed before any conclusions can be made as a result of this study.

The most important concern is the method of analysis. Whitemore and Korn have shown that the most appropriate method for panel study analysis is individual regression calculation with summary analysis of individual regression coefficients being used to determine whether or not an effect of an environmental factor has occurred. They found that the greatest predictor of a change in symptoms was whether or not an individual had an attack or was symptomatic on the previous day, and recommended that this needed to be addressed in further studies. They also showed a clear cut effect of season and included in their model temperature and relative humidity.


AUTHORS' Reply

Dr Ayres and Professor Harrison raise a number of points concerning our study and, in particular, question our method of analysis. We agree that this type of data presents its own particular analytical difficulties, but do not agree that there is only one way of dealing with this.

Whitemore and Korn describe their method of analysing panel data in a study in which records were kept for over two years. From this prolonged record of symptoms episodes were made for the association between symptoms and pollution levels. A summary analysis of these individual data was then performed to determine the overall effect in the group. In our study records were kept for only two periods, we used an analysis which effectively combined the two steps of the Whitemore and Korn procedure using pooled data from all subjects to estimate the mean effect of pollution in the group. This seems more appropriate for our data as we have a relatively large number of subjects followed for a relatively short period of time, and should give a reasonably clear estimate of the true regression coefficient. It is important to emphasise that differences between subjects are allowed for in this method, which is effectively that recently described by Bland and Altman.

The relatively short period of observation is also relevant to the question of autocorrelation. The statistical methods for time series analysis are at their best when the number of repeated observations is large and the number of subjects is small. Furthermore, these methods do not overcome the problem of having to make assumptions about the error structure in the data. A series of simulations suggests that there is little to choose between the various statistical methods proposed for this experimental design. We have therefore deliberately used the simplest model available. However, we agree that in studies in which more prolonged measurements are made, tests for autocorrelation should be applied.

Regarding the possibility that the relationships demonstrated in our study are the result of confounding by covarying unmeasured pollutants, we acknowledge this possibility in our paper, particularly with regard to particulates. At the time we performed our study the means to measure the whole range of potentially relevant pollutants was not available and, indeed, other work from that time, including that of Dr Ayres' group, considered only a limited number of agents. Happily the monitoring of an increased number of the wide range of pollutants has become more widely available and interesting data should emerge.

The validity of measurements from the OPSIS system is also questioned. We have available the traditional measurement methods for sulphur dioxide and these
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