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Abstract

Background – Non-invasive mechanical ventilation is increasingly used in the treatment of acute respiratory failure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The aim of this study was to identify simple parameters to predict the success of this technique.

Methods – Fifty nine episodes of acute respiratory failure in 47 patients with COPD treated with non-invasive mechanical ventilation were analysed, considering each one as successful (78%) or unsuccessful (22%) according to survival and to the need for endotracheal intubation.

Results – Pneumonia was the cause of acute respiratory failure in 38% of the unsuccessful episodes but only in 9% of the successful ones. Success with non-invasive mechanical ventilation was associated with less severely abnormal baseline clinical and functional parameters, and with less severe levels of acidosis assessed during an initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation.

Conclusions – The severity of the episode of acute respiratory failure as assessed by clinical and functional compromise, and the level of acidosis and hypercapnia during an initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation, have an influence on the likelihood for success with non-invasive mechanical ventilation and may prove to be useful in deciding whether to continue with this treatment.
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Non-invasive mechanical ventilation is increasingly being used in the treatment of acute respiratory failure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). To date, controlled studies comparing non-invasive mechanical ventilation with endotracheal intubation are lacking, so the use of non-invasive mechanical ventilation as an alternative to intubation might, if unsuccessful, unduly delay endotracheal intubation. The aim of this study was to identify simple measures which could be used to predict whether patients with COPD could be successfully treated with non-invasive mechanical ventilation, and also to avoid unnecessary delay in intubation of those who deteriorate on non-invasive mechanical ventilation.

Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the data of 47 patients with COPD (31 men) undergoing 59 consecutive episodes of acute respiratory failure. All were chronically hypoxaemic and hypercapnic and on long term oxygen therapy. Patients with relevant concomitant diseases were excluded. All had undergone acute relapses of their primary disease and had been given non-invasive mechanical ventilation and met the following criteria: rapid deterioration in neurological status, acute onset of severe hypercapnia (PaCO₂ > 8.5 kPa), acute decrease in pH (< 7.35), tachypnoea and/or abdominal paradox. The attending physicians considered that all these patients were likely to require mechanical ventilation and performed a short (1–2 hours) trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation before endotracheal intubation when, according to their own clinical judgement, the clinical and functional status deteriorated despite non-invasive mechanical ventilation.

Mechanical ventilation was added to standard medical and oxygen therapy. Modalities of non-invasive mechanical ventilation were either pressure support ventilation (NPSV) (25 episodes) or intermittent positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) in assisted/controlled mode (34 episodes) delivered through either nasal or facial masks. The ventilatory settings were as previously described. The following data were considered from the case records:

1. Last demographic and anthropometric data available before non-invasive mechanical ventilation: age, sex, weight (kg), % of ideal body weight (%IBW) measured with reference to the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company table;
2. Last available serum levels of albumin;
3. Last functional characteristics recorded in stable state time before the acute respiratory failure (available in 42 patients) including spirometric parameters and arterial blood gas analysis breathing room air;
4. Causes of relapse including the following definitions: (a) pneumonia: the presence of lung infiltrates on the chest radiograph combined with any three of the following: fever, positive blood cultures, leucocytosis, or potential pathogenic bacterial cultures
from sputum; (b) exacerbation of COPD: increased dyspnoea with no other obvious cause for respiratory deterioration; (c) pulmonary embolism when confirmed by a perfusion and ventilation lung scan.

5. **APACHE II score** at the moment of starting non-invasive mechanical ventilation;

6. Neurological status assessed retrospectively from the case notes using a score proposed by Kelly and Matthay;

7. Subjective compliance to ventilation assessed by the physician in charge using an arbitrary score (1 = bad; 2 = insufficient; 3 = sufficient; 4 = good; 5 = very good);

8. Arterial blood gas tensions and heart rate assessed immediately before (baseline) and during an initial 1–2 hour trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation. Baseline arterial blood gas tensions were assessed with all patients breathing oxygen at an FiO₂ set initially by the physician in charge.

Non-invasive mechanical ventilation was considered successful when patients reached levels of pH > 7.35 during spontaneous breathing without further worsening of neurological signs, and with improvement in tachypnoea and in abdominal paradox for at least 48 hours (group 1). Failure of non-invasive mechanical ventilation (group 2) was defined by the need for endotracheal intubation according to the judgement of the physician in charge or death during non-invasive mechanical ventilation.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Comparison of differences of successful versus unsuccessful treatment was performed using a Student unpaired t test with p < 0.05 being considered significant. Comparison of baseline data with those recorded during non-invasive mechanical ventilation was performed using a paired t test, a p value of < 0.05 being considered significant. The predictive models were developed using discriminant analysis. All the previously described variables were tested in an attempt to establish differences between the successful and unsuccessful group. Single predictor variable values were computed, treating each variable as though it was the only predictor available. Finally, these variables were tested together with a stepwise logistic regression analysis to assess which of them best predicted the results of the treatment in this multivariable context.

**Results**

The causes of acute respiratory failure, demographic, anthropometric, and clinical status of the patients are shown in table 1.

Non-invasive mechanical ventilation was successful in 46 episodes of acute respiratory failure (78%). The overall mortality was 8.5% (four of 47 patients). Three patients died during non-invasive mechanical ventilation, endotracheal intubation not having been offered. In one of them the cause of acute respiratory failure was pneumonia. Of the 10 patients undergoing endotracheal intubation one further patient with pneumonia died one week later.

The most frequent cause of acute respiratory failure in all patients was an exacerbation of COPD without clinical or radiological signs of pneumonia. Clinical, radiological, and laboratory evidence of pneumonia was found in 38.5% of episodes of acute respiratory failure unsuccessfully treated with non-invasive mechanical ventilation, but only in 8.7% of those successfully treated. Patients in whom non-invasive mechanical ventilation was unsuccessful were significantly overweight in comparison with those in whom non-invasive mechanical ventilation was successful. Non-invasive mechanical ventilation was also unsuccessful in patients with a significantly greater level of neurological deterioration, a higher APACHE II score, and a reduced level of compliance.

Arterial blood gas tensions and heart rate (available only in 34 patients in group 1 and seven in group 2) before and during the initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation are shown in table 2. Patients in group 2 showed significantly more abnormal levels of baseline pH and PaCO₂ and a significantly higher heart rate than those in group 1. The level of oxygenation as assessed by PaO₂, SaO₂, and PaO₂/FiO₂ was similar in the two groups. Non-invasive mechanical ventilation significantly improved pH and PaCO₂ in both groups (mean reduction in PaCO₂ of 15% and 10% in groups 1 and 2, respectively); nevertheless, even during non-invasive mechanical ventilation pH and PaCO₂ of patients in group 2 remained severely compromised.
Table 3. Accuracy of the single indexes used to predict outcome of non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NMV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Predictability</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%IBW</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological status score</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II score</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance score</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline PacO2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMV PacO2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline pH</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMV pH</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

groups 1 and 2, respectively; p<0.05). Because of the small number of cases with this variable, neither forced vital capacity nor heart rate were processed further.

The accuracy of the indices used to predict the success of non-invasive mechanical ventilation is illustrated in table 3. The discriminant analysis showed that five of the variables considered had a predictive value >0.80 and were important in allowing a distinction between patients who were successfully treated and those who were not.

Nevertheless, the logistic regression analysis demonstrated that, when these variables were tested together, only baseline pH maintained a significant predictive effect, indicating that all other variables were in some way dependent on it. By this analysis baseline pH showed a sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 71%.

Discussion

This retrospective study shows that unsuccessful non-invasive mechanical ventilation was associated with pneumonia, reduced compliance with treatment, and with having a more severe clinical and functional condition. The response to an initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation was also an important predictor of success.

In a previous report we have shown that non-invasive mechanical ventilation induced a significant reduction in the need for endotracheal intubation compared with an historical control group treated medically. The success rate of 78% and the mortality rate of 8.5% in this study are in agreement with previous studies.1-4

The response to non-invasive mechanical ventilation could be predicted by the level of acidosis before initiating ventilation. Non-invasive mechanical ventilation was effective in improving PacO2 and pH in both groups of patients. Nevertheless, the absolute level of PacO2 and pH after the initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation accurately identified those patients who could be successfully ventilated. Our study confirms and extends a previous observation by Meduri et al on a larger number of patients with COPD. The use of arterial blood gas tensions measured at different levels of FiO2 may be criticised. Nevertheless, in this retrospective study we recorded the last available arterial blood gas tension at the moment the doctor decided on non-invasive mechanical ventilation. The level of PaO2, Sato2, and PacO2/FiO2 did not differ between successful and unsuccessful cases, so we are confident that the level of oxygenation did not influence the values in PacO2 of the two groups.

The incidence of the causes of acute respiratory failure in this study is similar to previously reported data. Pneumonia was associated with most unsuccessful episodes. The occurrence of nosocomial pneumonia requiring admission to an ICU is associated with an increased risk of fatalities. Nevertheless, the association between malnutrition and COPD has been recognised for many years. Factors related to nutritional status are considered as an independent influence on the course of COPD and, in a previous study, we found %IBW to be related to ICU admission.11

Failure of non-invasive mechanical ventilation was associated also with a worse neurological status and reduced compliance with treatment. The importance of a compromised neurological status is easy to understand in view of the need for cooperation required to perform non-invasive mechanical ventilation.

In conclusion, the severity of the episode of acute respiratory failure as assessed by clinical and functional compromise and the level of acidosis and hypercapnia during an initial trial of non-invasive mechanical ventilation have an influence on the likelihood of success with non-invasive mechanical ventilation, and may prove useful in deciding the duration of a trial of this treatment. The results suggest that non-invasive mechanical ventilation should be instituted early in every patient before a severe acidosis ensues.
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