Effectiveness of nebulised salbutamol administered in ambulances to patients with severe acute asthma
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Abstract

Background – Nebulised salbutamol can now be administered by ambulance personnel to patients with severe acute asthma en route to hospital. This treatment, however, is not yet available in all ambulances. The safety and effectiveness of allowing ambulance crews to initiate treatment with nebulised salbutamol has been assessed in patients with acute severe asthma.

Methods – After a basic training course in the assessment of asthma and the use of a nebuliser, ambulance crews initiated treatment with nebulised salbutamol in asthmatic patients under the age of 40 years. Airflow obstruction was measured before and after treatment with a peak flow meter. A subjective assessment of any change in the patient’s condition was also made.

Results – Nebuliser treatment was associated with a significant increase in peak flow in almost 80% of patients who had recordable values before and after treatment. The mean percentage increase in peak flow was 56-5%. Subjective assessments correlated well with peak flow measurements. No unwanted side effects were recorded.

Conclusions – Nebulised salbutamol is an effective and safe treatment for acute asthma when administered by ambulance personnel after a short training course.
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Methods

No age limits were set for inclusion in the study. Ambulance personnel were allowed to initiate nebuliser therapy in asthmatic patients under 40 years of age who had not been seen by a medical practitioner, and to patients who were members of the asthma self-admission services of the Edinburgh respiratory units.3 Other patients were treated at the request of the attending general practitioner. Crews were given basic training (four hour tutorial) in the recognition and initial management of severe acute asthma. They were instructed on the administration of salbutamol via a nebuliser and the measurement of airflow obstruction with a peak flow monitor. Salbutamol (5 mg) was nebulised via a Unicorn nebuliser (System 22) using an oxygen flow rate of 6 l/min. The dose of salbutamol was reduced at the discretion of the general practitioner. The peak expiratory flow (PEF) was recorded before and after completion of treatment using a Vitalograph peak flow monitor (best of three attempts). A subjective assessment of any change in the condition of the patients was also made by the ambulance crew. This involved assessing the degree of respiratory disease and the ability to converse. The condition of the patients was recorded as improved, worse, or unchanged.

Results

Data from 131 treatments in 121 patients (58 men) were recorded. The mean age was 38 years (range 2-83). Two children under the age of 12 were included. In 50 patients the treatment was initiated by the ambulance crew, in 57 by the general practitioner, and 14 were treated automatically as members of the self-admission services. The dose of salbutamol was reduced to 2.5 mg by the general practitioner in 15 patients including the two children.

In 20 patients it was not possible to record PEF values either before or after treatment due to respiratory distress. Seventeen could not register a reading before treatment but improved sufficiently to record their PEF afterwards. Eight patients were unable to perform PEF tests after nebulised therapy but in seven this was due to the fact that their treatment had not been completed at the time of arrival at hospital. There were 86 patients with recordable PEF values before and after nebulisation. In this group the mean pretreatment PEF was 139 l/min (95% CI 125 to 154) and after treatment it was 202 l/min (95% CI 180 to 223) (paired t test, t = 8.41, p<0.001). The
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mean percentage increase in PEF was 56.5% (95% CI 39.5 to 73.5). Sixty eight patients (79%) had a >10% increase in PEF and in 14 (16%) there was no change. In four (5%) there was a >10% fall in PEF but all made an uneventful recovery after admission to hospital. Subjective assessments by ambulance personnel (131 treatments) showed 79% improved, 18% unchanged, and 3% worse. No unwanted side effects from nebuliser therapy were recorded. All patients were discharged uneventfully from hospital.

Discussion
Most patients with acute severe asthma given nebulised β agonist treatment in an emergency in route to hospital gain a significant improvement in airflow obstruction as measured by PEF. This supports subjective data on the response of patients obtained previously from ambulance personnel.245 Our protocol allowed crews to treat patients with asthma under the age of 40 who had not been seen by a medical practitioner. The age limit was set in an attempt to avoid the administration of high flow oxygen to patients with chronic bronchitis and emphysema who may have abnormal ventilatory responses. No adverse effects were seen and it is possible that delays in delivering an effective treatment were avoided. Giving a nebulised β agonist in an ambulance has advantages over using an air driven nebuliser in the patients’ homes before the journey to hospital. Transfer to hospital is not delayed which may be vital in rural areas where long distances may need to be travelled to the nearest hospital. In addition, the drug can be nebulised in oxygen which reduces the risk of increasing hypoxaemia which may occur in patients with severe asthma when bronchodilators are nebulised in air.

Our data show that salbutamol nebulised in high flow oxygen is an effective and safe treatment for acute asthma when administered by ambulance personnel. We would encourage all ambulances to be equipped with this simple, cheap and possibly life saving facility.


District nebuliser compressor service: reliability and costs
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Abstract

Background – There is little information on the costs of maintaining a district nebuliser compressor service. This retrospective study examines the issue, reliability, and maintenance costs of electrical compressors to assist the prediction of future costs, taking into account recent safety legislation.

Methods – Records of issue, repair, and replacement for the period 1982–91 were reviewed. The current policy of repairing and replacing as necessary, and three other theoretical costings, were considered.

Results – The number of compressors being issued is increasing. Repaired compressors are less reliable and frequency of repair is a function of compressor age. The current policy is the most cost effective.

Conclusions – To repair and replace nebuliser compressors as necessary is the most economical policy under the present terms offered by the manufacturers, but changes in safety legislation will affect the provision of such services. (Thorax 1995;50:82-84)
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