Clinical and functional characteristics of patients two years after being affected by the soybean asthma epidemic in Barcelona
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Abstract

Background – Patients affected during the asthma outbreaks caused by soybean dust inhalation in Barcelona presented with sudden onset of severe asthma followed by the rapid relief of symptoms after treatment. Two years after the epidemics ended, a case–control study was conducted in which the clinical, functional, and immunological characteristics of these asthma patients (a randomised sample of asthmatic patients admitted as emergency cases on epidemic days, n = 213) were compared with those of a control group (a random sample of asthmatic patients admitted as emergency cases for attacks of asthma on non-epidemic days, n = 170).

Methods – The study included the administration of the ATS-DLD78 standardised respiratory questionnaire, the measurement of atopy, and performance of spirometric tests and a methacholine inhalation test.

Results – Patients with epidemic asthma reported fewer symptoms of asthma, had attended emergency departments less frequently during the previous year for acute attacks of asthma, were taking fewer inhaled corticosteroids at the time of the study, and attended medical follow up less frequently than did the patients with non-epidemic asthma. However, the cases and controls showed no differences in ventilatory capacity or reactivity to the methacholine bronchoprovocation test.

Conclusions – Two years after the end of the soybean epidemics, people affected by epidemic asthma had a favourable prognosis. This finding contrasts with a higher risk of life threatening asthma and death during the epidemics. This paradox could be the result of a complex interaction between host and conditions of exposure.

(The Thorax 1994;49:906–909)

The soybean dust-related outbreaks of epidemic asthma which occurred in Barcelona constitute one of the best examples of sudden severe asthma.¹ ² Patients presenting with acute asthma during the outbreaks (between 1981 and 1987) characteristically showed a sudden onset of their attack, with a relatively high proportion of deaths and admissions to intensive care units. Most of these epidemic asthma attacks, however, were followed by the rapid relief of symptoms after conventional treatment in hospital emergency departments or intensive care units.⁶ Despite this clinical picture, soybean epidemic asthma could not be linked to specific clinical or functional characteristics of the patients.

The elimination of asthma epidemics, which coincided with the enforcement of dust control regulations at the silo in Barcelona harbour in 1987,³ has enabled us to study subjects who suffered asthma in conditions which probably were similar to those before the onset of the epidemics. We considered whether there were any significant differences between the characteristics of the asthma in the patients in the epidemic and non-epidemic groups two years after the end of the outbreaks, and whether those affected during the epidemics had characteristics which made them generally more susceptible to acute severe asthma.

Methods

The study population was made up of patients over 14 years of age resident in Barcelona, who had been admitted to hospital emergency departments with an acute attack of asthma during the 1981–7 epidemics. Patients were selected from those registered in the “respiratory emergency room system of Barcelona,” developed to investigate the asthma epidemics.⁸

Two groups of patients were selected: (1) a random sample of 213 asthma patients who were admitted to emergency departments during the last epidemic in September 1987 (n = 93) or during the 26 epidemic days between 1981 and 1987 (n = 120), and (2) a random sample of 170 asthmatic patients who had not been in hospital on an epidemic day (non-epidemic asthma patients), but who had attended the hospital emergency departments during the three month period after the last epidemic.

The study was carried out two years after the epidemics ended and included the administration of the ATS-DLD78 standardised respiratory questionnaire⁹ with additional questions from IUATLD,¹⁰ measurement of atopy and total serum IgE concentrations, baseline spirometric values, and methacholine challenge test. Atopy was assessed by standard skin prick testing to 16 common allergens which included house dust, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, D. pteronyssinus, D. farinae, cat, horse, cow and dog danders, tree mix, graminaceae mix, weed mix, and mould
mix. A reaction was considered positive when a weal diameter $\geq 3$ mm for one or more allergens was observed. Total serum IgE concentration was assessed using a paper radioimmunosorbent test (Pharmacia Diagnosis AB, Barcelona, Spain). Results were expressed in IU/ml.

Baseline spirometric tests were carried out with a Datospir-92 spirometer with the patient seated and wearing a noseclip. Percentage predicted values of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV$_1$) and forced vital capacity (FVC) based on age, sex, and height in cm were calculated from the reference equations reported by Roca et al. 

After baseline measurements of pulmonary function, a methacholine challenge test was performed on patients with FEV$_1$ $>40$% of predicted. After inhalation of nebulised distilled water, five deep breaths of the methacholine dose were administered using a Hudson nebuliser at four minute intervals. The following increasing concentrations of methacholine were used: $0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10$ and $25$ mg/ml. The test was stopped when a fall in FEV$_1$, of $20$% or more compared with the baseline FEV$_1$ was recorded, or when the highest dose of methacholine had been administered. Subjects withheld their bronchodilator before spirometric testing. A bronchodilator test was carried out on subjects who did not perform the methacholine test.

### Table 1. Prevalence of respiratory symptoms during the last year in patients with epidemic and non-epidemic asthma admitted to the emergency department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Epidemic asthmatics (n = 169)</th>
<th>Non-epidemic asthmatics (n = 147)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze</td>
<td>116 (68.6)</td>
<td>115 (78.2)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.5 to 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attacks of shortness of breath</td>
<td>93 (55.0)</td>
<td>87 (59.2)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.4 to 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tightness in the chest</td>
<td>62 (36.7)</td>
<td>79 (53.7)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.3 to 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woken up by attacks of cough</td>
<td>56 (33.1)</td>
<td>55 (37.4)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.5 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attacks of asthma</td>
<td>73 (43.2)</td>
<td>69 (46.9)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.5 to 1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits for asthma to</td>
<td>64 (37.9)</td>
<td>73 (49.7)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.4 to 1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emergency department</td>
<td>34 (20.1)</td>
<td>54 (36.7)</td>
<td>0.43 (0.3 to 0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Odds ratio adjusted by age and sex. Additional adjustment for smoking did not change the magnitude or the statistical significance of these odds ratios.

### Table 2. Results of skin prick testing to common allergens in patients with epidemic and non-epidemic asthma admitted to the emergency department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skin prick test</th>
<th>Epidemic asthmatics (n = 159)</th>
<th>Non-epidemic asthmatics (n = 147)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>124 (77.9)</td>
<td>87 (63.5)</td>
<td>2.62 (1.51 to 4.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 allergens</td>
<td>46 (28.6)</td>
<td>33 (23.5)</td>
<td>2.91 (1.51 to 5.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–10 allergens</td>
<td>66 (41.7)</td>
<td>44 (32.3)</td>
<td>3.39 (1.82 to 6.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 allergens</td>
<td>12 (7.6)</td>
<td>10 (7.3)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.66 to 3.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House dust</td>
<td>72 (45.3)</td>
<td>46 (33.1)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.95 to 1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatophagoides pneumoniae</td>
<td>85 (53.5)</td>
<td>67 (48.2)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.98 to 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatophagoides farinae</td>
<td>83 (52.2)</td>
<td>58 (41.7)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.99 to 1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat danders</td>
<td>23 (14.6)</td>
<td>22 (15.9)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.91 to 1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree I</td>
<td>25 (15.7)</td>
<td>14 (10.1)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.94 to 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graminaeae</td>
<td>32 (20.1)</td>
<td>15 (10.8)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.99 to 2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed mix</td>
<td>38 (23.9)</td>
<td>18 (12.9)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.98 to 1.82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted by age and sex. Odds ratio for skin test responsiveness to at least one allergen adjusted by age, sex, and smoking $= 2.75$ (95% CI 1.56 to 4.82).

### Results

Of the 383 eligible individuals 316 were studied, comprising 169 patients with epidemic asthma (cases) and 147 non-epidemic asthma patients (controls). The rate of response of those alive and residing in Barcelona was 79.1% and 86.5% for cases and controls, respectively. The proportion of men was 49.1% and 37.4%, respectively (p=0.05). The mean age of those affected by the epidemics was 52.3 years and that of the non-epidemic asthmatic patients was 46.9 years (p<0.01).

Patients suffering epidemic asthma reported fewer symptoms during the previous year than non-epidemic subjects (table 1). These differences were statistically significant for "tightness in the chest" and "attacks of asthma". In addition, 20.1% of patients with epidemic asthma had attended hospital emergency departments in the previous year compared with 36.7% of controls (p<0.001). These differences were not
modifications after adjusting for smoking. The onset of their asthma, according to the questionnaire, was similar in cases and controls (asthma started less than nine years earlier — that is, during the epidemics — in 40-3% of cases and 46-3% in controls; p>0.05). Considering the symptoms of lifetime asthma, the epidemic cases had fewer symptoms than controls. These differences were statistically significant for wheeze (odds ratio = 0.49, 95% CI 0.33 to 0.81) and attacks of shortness of breath (0.28, 95% CI 0.11 to 0.71).

Patients with epidemic asthma were more atopic than controls (77-9% vs 63-5%; p<0.01) in all age groups and in both sexes; no differences were found in reactions to the various types of allergens (table 2). The geometric mean of total IgE was higher in the patients with epidemic asthma (144-2 IU vs 90-0 IU; p<0.001) and this difference appeared in all age groups in men, although it was found only in older women (fig 1). After adjusting for smoking the differences for skin reactivity to any allergen changed from 2-62 to 2-75, whereas for specific allergens no changes occurred.

Baseline spirometric values were similar for cases and controls. The mean (SD) FEV1 (% predicted) was 70 (24-7) in the cases and 69-6 (25-4) in controls, and the FVC was 84-5 (19-2) and 86-5 (18-9), respectively. No differences were found between the two groups when baseline FEV1 values were stratified according to age, sex, and smoking habit.

Of the 288 subjects in whom baseline spirometric tests were performed, 50 were excluded from the methacholine inhalation test because baseline FEV1 was less than 40% of predicted, 15 declined to participate, and five did not complete the test. In eight cases and 11 controls the baseline FEV1 fell >20% after saline administration. The percentage of subjects who showed a fall <20% of baseline FEV1 at each dose of methacholine was similar in all groups (fig 2). The prevalence of bronchial hyperreactivity (PC20 <25 mg/ml) was 94.5% in the cases and 92.2% in controls (58% and 66.1% of cases had a severe hyperreactivity (PC20 <1 mg/ml), p = NS). In the group of 50 patients in whom the methacholine inhalation test could not be performed, a bronchodilator test was administered to 39 subjects: baseline FEV1, increased by 15% or more in 12 (52.2%) cases and in 16 (56.2%) controls.

No differences were found in the types of medication taken at the time of the study except for inhaled corticosteroids which were taken by fewer of the patients with epidemic asthma (34.3% v 49.0%; p<0.01) (table 3). Patients with epidemic asthma also took medication and underwent medical follow up less frequently than did patients with non-epidemic asthma.

Logistic regression analysis (table 4) showed that the previously described differences between cases and controls in age, smoking history, symptoms of asthma, atopy, and taking inhaled corticosteroids persisted after simultaneous adjustment.

**Discussion**

In a previous paper aimed at identifying risk factors of epidemic soybean asthma apart from soybean dust inhalation, we found that patients with epidemic asthma were older, more likely to be male, and more skin reactive to common aeroallergens.12 The male predominance was partially explained by the smoking habit which also appeared to constitute a risk factor. These characteristics have been reported in the New Orleans asthma epidemics, although the specific causative factor was not established.13 In the present study we assessed possible differences in clinical, functional, and immunological characteristics between patients with epidemic and non-epidemic asthma.

Compared with controls, the patients with epidemic asthma had fewer symptoms of asthma during the previous year, had been admitted to emergency departments for asthma in the previous year on fewer occasions, and had needed treatment with inhaled steroids less frequently. The degree of bronchial obstruction...
and the response to methacholine were almost identical in the epidemic and non-epidemic asthmatic patients. Although severity of asthma is hard to define, it is normally evaluated by the frequency of exacerbations, the presence of night time symptoms, the need for daily medication, the duration of the disease, and the presence of chronic airways obstruction. Based on these criteria, our results indicate that the patients who had suffered as a result of the epidemics, studied two years later, had less severe asthma than the control group.

It is possible that the characteristics of the patients with epidemic asthma would have remained unchanged if the epidemic had not occurred or, possibly, that their asthma is still influenced by the residual effect of their exposure to soybean during the epidemics. Although Platts-Mills and colleagues showed that avoiding contact with the allergen led to an improvement in clinical and bronchial hyperreactivity, several follow up studies of occupational asthma have shown that these abnormalities persist after the elimination or reduction of exposure to various agents including "red cedar" and toluene diisocyanate. It is possible, therefore, that the state of the patients previously affected by the epidemics may still be a consequence of the soybean allergen or a residual influence as low levels of soybean still exist in Barcelona. The observation of a type of asthma in the epidemic patients quite similar to that in the non-epidemic patients suggests that circumstances of exposure are a relevant determinant of sudden and life threatening asthma. Picado suggested that the small size of soybean particles might have facilitated their deposition deeper within the lung, provoking an unusually severe form of asthma. Another hypothesis could be related to the allergenic activity of the molecule. The main allergens implicated in the Barcelona epidemics have only been partially characterised and we cannot establish their allergenic potential. Another possibility is that the dose of allergen is also a relevant factor in triggering a sudden severe attack of asthma.

Our results suggest that, two years after the elimination of the epidemics, those people affected had a favourable prognosis. This contrasts with a higher risk of life threatening asthma and death during the epidemics. This paradox could be the result of a complex interaction between host and exposure. Host conditions and, in particular, a higher prevalence of atopy, made people more susceptible to sensitisation by soybean dust and to the development of soybean asthma. We suggest that the circumstances of exposure or the nature of the allergen might have been responsible for the unusual severity of the attacks of soybean epidemic asthma.
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